















similarities between the QVT and the TGG concepts[8] and like TGG, relation definition between  $S_M$  and  $T_M$  is not in the meta-model layer.

## 5 Conclusion

We introduced declarative MT by an example, and motivated its implementation by discussing its usefulness for incrementality. PhD objectives are implementing declarative MT, developing precise semantics for incrementality based on introduced declarative method, and applying incremental method to some MT scenarios.

## References

1. András Balogh and Dániel Varró. Advanced model transformation language constructs in the viatra2 framework. In *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM symposium on Applied computing*, pages 1280–1287. ACM, 2006.
2. François Bancilhon and Nicolas Spyratos. Update semantics of relational views. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS)*, 6(4):557–575, 1981.
3. Marco Brambilla, Jordi Cabot, and Manuel Wimmer. *Model-Driven Software Engineering in Practice*. Synthesis Lectures on Software Engineering. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2012.
4. Zinovy Diskin. Model synchronization: mappings, tiles, and categories. In *Generative and Transformational Techniques in Software Engineering III*, pages 92–165. Springer, 2011.
5. Hartmut Ehrig and Karsten Ehrig. Overview of formal concepts for model transformations based on typed attributed graph transformation. *Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 152:3–22, March 2006.
6. Thorsten Fischer, Jörg Niere, Lars Torunski, and Albert Zündorf. Story diagrams: A new graph rewrite language based on the unified modeling language and java. In *Theory and Application of Graph Transformations*, pages 296–309. Springer, 2000.
7. Ulrike Golas, Leen Lambers, Hartmut Ehrig, and Holger Giese. Toward bridging the gap between formal foundations and current practice for triple graph grammars. In *Graph Transformations*, pages 141–155. Springer, 2012.
8. Joel Greenyer and Ekkart Kindler. Reconciling tggs with qvt. In *Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, pages 16–30. Springer, 2007.
9. Michael Johnson and Robert Rosebrugh. Constant complements, reversibility and universal view updates. In *Algebraic Methodology and Software Technology*, pages 238–252. Springer, 2008.
10. Frédéric Jouault, Freddy Allilaire, Jean Bézivin, and Ivan Kurtev. Atl: A model transformation tool. *Science of Computer Programming*, 72(1-2):31–39, June 2008.
11. Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A. C. Polack. Eclipse development tools for epsilon. In *In Eclipse Summit Europe, Eclipse Modeling Symposium*, 2006.
12. OMG, [http://http://www.omg.org/spec/](http://www.omg.org/spec/). *OMG Specifications*, 2013.
13. David Steinberg, Frank Budinsky, Marcelo Paternostro, and Ed Merks. *EMF: Eclipse Modeling Framework 2.0*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2nd edition, 2009.
14. Perdita Stevens. Bidirectional model transformations in qvt: semantic issues and open questions. *Software and Systems Modeling*, 9:7–20, 2010.