

# Gamification in Information Retrieval: State of the art, Challenges and Opportunities

Cristina Ioana Muntean, Franco Maria Nardini

ISTI-CNR, Pisa, Italy

{name.surname}@isti.cnr.it

**Abstract.** Gamification aims at applying game design principles and elements, such as points, badges, feedbacks or leader boards in non-gaming environments. An interesting goal of gamification is to combine and exploit the fun factor for targeting other aspects like achieving more accurate work, more cost effective solutions and better retention rates. The application of gamification techniques to IR tasks poses interesting research challenges. In this paper, we propose an analysis of the state of the art in this field and we summarize interesting challenges and opportunities for the near future.

## 1 Gamification in IR

Gamification relates with the use of game thinking and techniques in non-game contexts to enable the engagement of users with solving problems and to increase users' self contributions [15]. It is defined in [5] as *the use of game-play mechanics for non-game applications*. For this reason, any application, task, process or context can theoretically be gamified [12].

The main goal of gamification is to increase the engagement of users by using game-like techniques such as scoreboards and personalized fast feedback [7], making people feel more ownership and purpose when engaging with tasks [13]. Gamification also implies a social game and interaction with other participants. It employs game mechanics, i.e., points, levels, challenges, virtual goods, leaderboards, gifting and charity, and aesthetics (motivations), i.e., reward, status, achievement, self expression, competition, altruism to enact such interactions [14]. There are precise gamification effects that one may wish to stimulate and clear game mechanics that address these changes. For example in order to stimulate the sense of achievement, challenges can be proposed to the user. Similarly status can be stimulated through levels, competition through leaderboards and rewards through virtual goods and points.

In this rule-bounded, goal-oriented play, valuable content is also an important incentive. According to Groh [9] it is important for a product to offer real benefits and real value rather than just rewards which in the long run may seem less appealing to users. Thus by stripping a site down of its point and badges there is still meaningful content in it. Gamification is about making an application or a task more fun, rather than actually stimulating playfulness.

Gamification can be applied in several domains, typically for increasing the engagement of a user, for teaching, entertaining, measuring, and to improve the

perceived ease of use of information systems. Successful examples are Foursquare, Twitter, Stack Overflow, Hacker News. While both Foursquare and Twitter employ gamification for increasing their user engagement, Stack Overflow<sup>1</sup> and Hacker News<sup>2</sup> are powerful platforms for question answering that promotes also authoritativeness of trustworthy users with both reward and punishment mechanisms. Gamification is thus used for stimulating and promoting users to be authoritative and active. In gamification it is important to understand users and create scenarios that appeal to their personality types: explorers, achievers, socializers and/or killers. Gamification can bring various benefits like increased engagement, loyalty, time spent, influence, fun or productivity. A possible benefit for IR is the understanding that the contribution of user will help raise the quality of the service and content, thus indirectly the user satisfaction. Bartle argues that although game design is an art form, gamification is an application of psychology, thus one of the most important aspects of gamifying Information Retrieval (IR) tasks relies on understanding of the human motivation [2].

### **Relevance Assessment, Feedback and Ranking**

An interesting application in IR is relevance assessment. Chamberlain introduces a model for rewarding and evaluating users using retrospective validation, with only a small gold standard required to initiate the system [4]. The model is not based on the quantity of tasks performed but rather is focused on an agreement-based reward which prizes the quality of the solutions. The evaluation of the theoretical model indicated that the reward mechanism succeeds in awarding the high quality answers, but in practice it is not such a strong signal for predicting the user performance. Harris exploits groups' ability to assess relevance of documents and images and also rank their choices [10]. During their experiment participants are divided in two groups, one making judgements based on their own assessments, while the other makes judgements based on the estimate of consensus decision. To motivate participant, financial rewards are offered. When participants use consensus opinion as a guide, relevance assessment are homogenous probably due to the fact that they are more conservative. Another paper that uses player feedback is [3]. Here, authors' objective is improving image recognition accuracy. The process is divided in three steps: first the systems performs recognition, then the information on which they wish to retrieve feedback is compiled and lastly they retrieve the feedback form the players through a crowd-sourced gamified approach. They also propose a method for leveraging ambiguous feedback by introducing a measure of certainty. They conclude that due to the feedback received from the players the accuracy of the recognition systems improves. Fort *et al.* propose a Game With a Purpose that allows annotation of corpora with dependency syntax [8]. Students in linguistics are trained to annotate certain phenomena whereas a previous pre-annotation step gives an idea of whether there are significant inconsistencies between the two. They do not motivate users by claiming it is useful for research instead they focus on the playful aspect of the game and user personal interests. The

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://stackoverflow.com/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://news.ycombinator.com/>

quality of the data implies: the trustworthiness of players and the assessment of the correctness of analyses.

### Web Search

Azzopardi *et al.* proposed a gamification-enhanced sequel of Page-Fetch, a game where participants, given a web page, must enter the query that they consider most suitable for that page [1]. The shorter the query the higher the score they receive for the task. Users are also time constrained, they gain points, are ranked in leaderboards and receive badges. The system allows the evaluation of the quality of competing search engines or evaluating the capacity of players for performing a task. Fernandez-Luna *et al.* focus on gamifying a collaborative information seeking system (CIS), defined as a process of information seeking “that is defined explicitly among the participants, interactive, and mutually beneficial” [6]. They proposed several ways to gamify a CIS system that could end up in intensifying a seeker’s engagement. He *et al.* apply gamification to crowdsourcing tasks to make them more appealing and so making the users play, rather than work. Nevertheless, differences in task design and incentives elicit different player behavior [11]. The proposed solution simulates user behavior when performing a search task. Authors propose a faceted interface, which diminishes the rank bias present in a typical SERP and is preferred by the users.

## 2 Challenges and Opportunities

In this paper we discussed the latest research results employing gamification approaches within IR tasks. We believe that the application of gamification to IR tasks are still in a preliminary stage. It opens the way to many research challenges, in particular for tasks that are difficult to quantify or qualify, based on crowdsensing, or requiring user evaluation. Here we highlight some promising directions that we believe could be natural scenarios where gamification can be successfully exploited.

**User profiling:** modern Web search engines track the activities of the users in order to derive their *profile* to be used and then exploited again during search, for personalizing the search experience. This activity is done by distilling implicit feedback from clicked results, query logs, etc. Gamification approaches that enable users to select preferences on specific domains, i.e., documents, images, videos, etc. contribute to building the user profile explicitly, that in turn allows deriving more precise and detailed information.

**Document annotation:** modern Web search engines deeply rely on machine learning to perform several important tasks ranging from document and query classification to document ranking and spam detection. Machine learning needs labeled data during the training phase. Labeled data is produced by employing hundreds of human assessors judging documents returned by a query and who assign a relevance label. Human labeling is a complex, hard and time-consuming task. For this reason, we believe that by exploiting gamification, and thus by adding fun and competitiveness to an annotation platform, will lead to more motivated annotators producing higher quality results. The annotation task can be referred to: indicating a certain class of a document (domain, part-of-speech

etc.), offering a relevance judgement (true or false) or ranking objects according to preference, correctness etc.

**Diversification and Query Intent Discovery:** diversification of Web search results is an important research field studying the best way to answer ambiguous or “multi-faceted” search queries. Roughly, diversification aims at covering all (the majority of) the possible meanings behind a search query in a single results page. We believe gamification approaches could help in discovering the most popular interpretations behind a given ambiguous query. The same approach could help in determining the quality of a diversified results page.

Gamification opens the way to many research challenges that has been only partially addressed so far, especially in the IR field. The proposed literature review also revealed that more rigorous methodologies ought to be used in further research on gamification.

## References

1. Azzopardi, L., Bevc, M., Gardner, A., Maxwell, D., Razzouk, A.: Pagefetch 2: Gamification the sequel. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
2. Bartle, R.A.: Information reconstruction: Unpicking the gamifir call for papers. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
3. Brenner, M., Mirza, N., Izquierdo, E.: People recognition using gamified ambiguous feedback. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
4. Chamberlain, J.: The annotation-validation (av) model: Rewarding contribution using retrospective agreement. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
5. Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., Nacke, L.: From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining “gamification”. In: Proc. MindTrek '11. ACM
6. Fernández-Luna, J.M., Huete, J.F., Rodríguez-Avila, H., Rodríguez-Cano, J.C.: Enhancing collaborative search systems engagement through gamification. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
7. Flatla, D.R., Gutwin, C., Nacke, L.E., Bateman, S., Mandryk, R.L.: Calibration games: making calibration tasks enjoyable by adding motivating game elements. In: Proc ACM UIST'11. pp. 403–412. ACM
8. Fort, K., Guillaume, B., Chastant, H.: Creating zombilingo, a game with a purpose for dependency syntax annotation. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
9. Groh, F.: Gamification: State of the art definition and utilization. Institute of Media Informatics Ulm University 39 (2012)
10. Harris, C.G.: The beauty contest revisited: Measuring consensus rankings of relevance using a game. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
11. He, J., Bron, M., Azzopardi, L., de Vries, A.: Studying user browsing behavior through gamified search tasks. In: Proc. GamifIR '14. ACM
12. Muntean, C.I.: Raising engagement in e-learning through gamification. In: Proc. 6th International Conference on Virtual Learning ICVL. pp. 323–329 (2011)
13. Pavlus, J.: The game of life. *Scientific American* 303(6), 43–44 (2010)
14. Viola, F.: Gamification - I Videogiochi nella Vita Quotidiana. Fabio Viola (2011), <http://books.google.it/books?id=nEiwmXn9J2EC>
15. Zichermann, G., Cunningham, C.: Gamification by design: Implementing game mechanics in web and mobile apps. ” O'Reilly Media, Inc.” (2011)