

---

# Inference of Cause and Effect with Unsupervised Inverse Regression

---

Eleni Sgouritsa

Dominik Janzing

Philipp Hennig

Bernhard Schölkopf

Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tübingen, Germany  
{eleni.sgouritsa, dominik.janzing, philipp.hennig, bs}@tuebingen.mpg.de

## Abstract

We address the problem of causal discovery in the two-variable case, given a sample from their joint distribution. Since  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow X$  are Markov equivalent, conditional-independence-based methods [Spirtes et al., 2000, Pearl, 2009] can not recover the causal graph. Alternative methods, introduce asymmetries between cause and effect by restricting the function class (e.g., [Hoyer et al., 2009]).

The proposed causal discovery method, CURE (Causal discovery with Unsupervised inverse REgression), is based on the principle of independence of causal mechanisms [Janzing and Schölkopf, 2010]. For the case of only two variables, it states that the marginal distribution of the cause, say  $P(X)$ , and the conditional of the effect given the cause  $P(Y|X)$  are “independent”, in the sense that they do not contain information about each other. This independence can be violated in the backward direction: the distribution of the effect  $P(Y)$  and the conditional  $P(X|Y)$  may contain information about each other because each of them inherits properties from both  $P(X)$  and  $P(Y|X)$ , hence introducing an asymmetry between cause and effect. For deterministic causal relations ( $Y = f(X)$ ), all the information about the conditional  $P(Y|X)$  is contained in the function  $f$ . In this case, previous work [Janzing et al., 2012] formalizes “independence” as uncorrelatedness between  $\log f'$  and the density of  $P(X)$ , both viewed as random variables. For non-deterministic relations, we propose an implicit notion of independence, namely that  $p_{Y|X}$  cannot be estimated based on  $p_X$  (lower case denotes density). However, it may be possible to estimate  $p_{X|Y}$  based on the density of the effect,  $p_Y$ .

In practice, we are given empirical data  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  from  $P(X, Y)$  and estimate  $p_{X|Y}$  based on  $\mathbf{y}$  (intentionally hiding  $\mathbf{x}$ ). The relationship between the observed  $\mathbf{y}$  and the *latent*  $\mathbf{x}$  is modeled by a Gaussian Process (GP). Then, the required conditional  $p_{X|Y}$  is estimated as  $\hat{p}_{X|Y}^{\mathbf{y}} : (x, y) \mapsto p(x|y, \mathbf{y})$ , with  $p(x|y, \mathbf{y})$  estimated by marginalizing out the latent  $\mathbf{x}$  and the GP hyperparameters.

CURE infers the causal direction using the procedure above two times: one to estimate  $p_{X|Y}$  based only on  $\mathbf{y}$  and another to estimate  $p_{Y|X}$  based only on  $\mathbf{x}$ . If the first estimation is better,  $X \rightarrow Y$  is inferred. Otherwise,  $Y \rightarrow X$ . To evaluate the conditional’s estimation, we compare it to the one using both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . CURE was evaluated on synthetic and real data and often outperformed existing methods. On the downside, its computational cost is comparably high. This work was recently published at AISTATS 2015 [Sgouritsa et al., 2015].

## References

- P. Spirtes, C. Glymour, and R. Scheines. *Causation, Prediction, and Search*. MIT Press, 2nd edition, 2000.
- J. Pearl. *Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference*. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 2009.
- P. Hoyer, D. Janzing, J. M. Mooij, J. Peters, and B. Schölkopf. Nonlinear causal discovery with additive noise models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 21 (NIPS)*, 2009.
- D. Janzing and B. Schölkopf. Causal inference using the algorithmic Markov condition. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 56:5168–5194, 2010.
- D. Janzing, J. M. Mooij, K. Zhang, J. Lemeire, J. Zscheischler, P. Daniusis, B. Steudel, and B. Schölkopf. Information-geometric approach to inferring causal directions. *Artificial Intelligence*, 182-183:1–31, 2012.
- E. Sgouritsa, D. Janzing, P. Hennig, and B. Schölkopf. Inference of cause and effect with unsupervised inverse regression. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS)*, 2015.