# A fast one dimensional total variation regularization algorithm

A. Makovetskii<sup>1</sup>, S. Voronin<sup>1</sup>, V. Kober<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chelyabinsk State University, ul. Bratiev Kashirinykh, 129, 454001, Chelyabinsk, Russia

## Abstract

Denoising has numerous applications in communications, control, machine learning, and many other fields of engineering and science. A common way to solve the problem utilizes the total variation (TV) regularization. Many efficient numerical algorithms have been developed for solving the TV regularization problem. Condat described a fast direct algorithm to compute the processed 1D signal. In this paper, we propose a variant of the Condat's algorithm based on the direct 1D TV regularization problem. The usage of the Condat's method with the taut string approach leads to a clear geometric description of the extremal function.

Keywords: Image restoration; total variation; denoising; exact solutions

## 1. Introduction

One of the most known techniques for denosing of noisy signals and images was proposed by Rudin, Osher, and Fatemi [1]. This is a total variation (TV) regularization problem. Let J(u) be the following functional in the functional space L<sub>2</sub>:

$$J(u) = \| u - u_0 \|_{L_2}^2 + \lambda T V(u), \tag{1}$$

where  $|| u - u_0 ||_{L_2}^2$  is called a fidelity term and  $\lambda TV(u)$  is called a regularization term. Here  $u_0$  is an observed signal that is distorted by additive noise n,

$$u_0 = v + n. \tag{2}$$

Consider the following variational problem:

$$u_* = \arg\min_{u \in BV(\Omega)} J(u). \tag{3}$$

where  $u_*$  is an extremal function for J(u). Numerical results have shown that TV regularization is quite useful in image restoration [2-4]. Here we consider a one dimensional TV (1D TV) regularization problem. In [5,6] Strong and Chan considered the behavior of explicit solutions to the 1D TV problem when the parameter  $\lambda$  in Eq. (1) is sufficiently small. The exact solutions to one dimensional TV regularization problem and to two dimensional radial symmetric TV regularization problem were considered in [7-10]. Recently, Condat [11,12] proposed explicit solutions to the 1D TV problem as well as a direct fast algorithm for the case of discrete functions. The algorithm is very fast and has complexity of O(n) for typical discrete functions. In contrast, the proposed approach for finding exact solutions has a clear geometrical meaning.

In this paper, we propose a variant of the Condat's algorithm based on the direct 1D TV regularization problem. The usage of the Condat's method with the taut string method [12] leads to a clear geometric description of the extremal function.

## 2. Formulation of 1D TV regularization as a discrete problem

Let  $u_0$  be a discrete function  $u_0 = \{u_0^1, ..., u_0^n\}$ . For the function  $u_0$  the problem in Eq. (1) takes following form:  $J(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u^i - u_0^i)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |u^{i+1} - u^i|.$ (4)

The functional J(u) is convex. Thus for the extremal (minimum) function  $u_*$  the subgradient  $\nabla J(u)$  satisfies the condition:  $\mathbf{0} \in \nabla J(u_*)$ . (5)

**Remark**. The subgradient  $\nabla f(x)$  of the function f(x) = |x|:

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0 \\ -1, & x < 0 \\ [-1; 1], & x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

#### 2.1. Computation of the subgradient

Consider subgradient  $\nabla I(u)$ :

$$\nabla J(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla (u^{i} - u_{0}^{i})^{2} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nabla |u^{i+1} - u^{i}|.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla (u^{i} - u_{0}^{i})^{2} = (u^{1} - u_{0}^{1}, u^{2} - u_{0}^{2}, \dots, u^{1n-1} - u_{0}^{n-1}, u^{n} - u_{0}^{n}).$$
(8)

In a similar manner with Eq. (6) the subgradients  $\nabla |u^{i+1} - u^i|$ , i = 1, ..., n-1, can be written as

Image Processing, Geoinformation Technology and Information Security / A. Makovetskii, S. Voronin, V. Kober  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $u^2 > u^1$ 

...

$$\nabla |u^2 - u^1| = \begin{cases} (-1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0), & if \ u^2 > u^2 \\ (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0), & if \ u^2 < u^1 \\ \{(\delta^1, -\delta^1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) | \delta^1 \in [-1; 1]\}, & if \ u^2 = u^1 \end{cases}$$
(9)

$$\nabla |u^{3} - u^{2}| = \begin{cases} (0, -1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0), & \text{if } u^{3} > u^{2} \\ (0, 1, -1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0), & \text{if } u^{3} < u^{2} \\ \{(0, \delta^{2}, -\delta^{2}, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) | \delta^{2} \in [-1; 1]\}, & \text{if } u^{3} = u^{2} \end{cases}$$
(10)

$$\nabla |u^{n-1} - u^{n-2}| = \begin{cases} (0,0,0,0,0,\dots,-1,1,0), & \text{if } u^{n-1} > u^{n-2} \\ (0,0,0,0,0,\dots,1,-1,0), & \text{if } u^{n-1} < u^{n-2} \\ \{(0,0,0,0,0,\dots,\delta^{n-2},-\delta^{n-2},0) | \delta^{n-2} \in [-1;1]\}, & \text{if } u^{n-1} = u^{n-2} \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$\nabla |u^{n} - u^{n-1}| = \begin{cases} (0,0,0,0,0,\dots,0,-1,1), & \text{if } u^{n} > u^{n-1} \\ (0,0,0,0,0,\dots,0,1,-1), & \text{if } u^{n} < u^{n-1} \\ \{(0,0,0,0,0,\dots,0,\delta^{n-1},-\delta^{n-1}) | \delta^{n-1} \in [-1;1]\}, & \text{if } u^{n} = u^{n-1} \end{cases}$$
(12)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nabla | \ u^{i+1} - u^i | = \{ (\delta^1, \delta^2 - \delta^1, \delta^3 - \delta^2, \delta^4 - \delta^3, \dots, \delta^{n-1} - \delta^{n-2}, -\delta^{n-1} \ ) \ | \ \delta^i = -1, if \ u^{i+1} > u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^{i+1} < u^i, \ \delta^i = 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^{i+$$

$$\delta^{i} \in [-1; 1], if \ u^{i+1} = u^{i}, i = 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$
(13)

From expressions (8) and (13) we get the following parameterization of the subradient:

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla J(u))^{1} = (u^{1} - u_{0}^{1}) + \lambda \delta^{1} \\ (\nabla J(u))^{2} = (u^{2} - u_{0}^{2}) + \lambda \delta^{2} - \lambda \delta^{1} \\ (\nabla J(u))^{3} = (u^{3} - u_{0}^{3}) + \lambda \delta^{3} - \lambda \delta^{2} \\ & \dots \\ (\nabla J(u))^{n-1} = (u^{n-1} - u_{0}^{n-1}) + \lambda \delta^{n-1} - \lambda \delta^{n-2} \\ (\nabla J(u))^{n} = (u^{n} - u_{0}^{n}) + \lambda \delta^{n-1} \end{cases}$$
(14)

where

$$\delta^{i} = \begin{cases} -1, if \ u^{i+1} > u^{i} \\ 1, if \ u^{i+1} < u^{i} \\ \in [-1; 1], if \ u^{i+1} = u^{i} \end{cases}$$
(15)

Since  $(\nabla J(u_*))^i = 0, i = 1, ..., n - 1$  for some values of the parameters  $\delta^i$  satisfying Eq. (15) we get:

$$\begin{cases}
 u_*^{1} = u_0^{1} - \lambda \delta^{1} \\
 u_*^{2} = u_0^{2} - \lambda \delta^{2} + \lambda \delta^{1} \\
 u_*^{3} = u_0^{3} - \lambda \delta^{3} + \lambda \delta^{2} \\
 \dots \\
 u_*^{n-1} = u_0^{n-1} - \lambda \delta^{n-1} + \lambda \delta^{n-2} \\
 u_*^{n} = u_0^{n} + \lambda \delta^{n-1}
\end{cases}$$
(16)

Consider the sequence of the cumulative sums:

$$u_{*}^{1} = u_{0}^{1} - \lambda \delta^{1}$$

$$u_{*}^{2} + u_{*}^{1} = u_{0}^{2} + u_{0}^{1} - \lambda \delta^{2}$$

$$u_{*}^{3} + u_{*}^{2} + u_{*}^{1} = u_{0}^{3} + u_{0}^{2} + u_{0}^{1} - \lambda \delta^{3}$$

$$...$$

$$u_{*}^{n-1} + \dots + u_{*}^{1} = u_{0}^{n-1} + \dots + u_{0}^{1} - \lambda \delta^{n-1}$$

$$u_{*}^{n} + \dots + u_{*}^{1} = u_{0}^{n} + \dots + u_{0}^{1}$$

$$(17)$$

Consider such variables  $U^1, \ldots, U^n$  and  $U^1_0, \ldots, U^n_0$ , that

$$\begin{cases} U^{1} = u_{*}^{1}, U_{0}^{1} = u_{0}^{1} \\ U^{2} = u_{*}^{2} + u_{*}^{1}, U_{0}^{2} = u_{0}^{2} + u_{0}^{1} \\ \dots \\ U^{n-1} = u_{*}^{n-1} + \dots + u_{*}^{1}, U_{0}^{n-1} = u_{0}^{n-1} + \dots + u_{0}^{1} \\ U^{n} = u_{*}^{n} + \dots + u_{*}^{1}, U_{0}^{n} = u_{0}^{n} + \dots + u_{0}^{1} \end{cases}$$

$$(18)$$

Image Processing, Geoinformation Technology and Information Security / A. Makovetskii, S. Voronin, V. Kober So the solution to the problem in Eq. (3) is reduced to the solution of the problem:

$$\begin{cases}
U^{1} = U_{0}^{1} - \lambda \delta^{1} \\
U^{2} = U_{0}^{2} - \lambda \delta^{2} \\
U^{3} = U_{0}^{3} - \lambda \delta^{3} \\
\dots \\
U^{n-1} = U_{0}^{n-1} - \lambda \delta^{n-1} \\
U^{n} = U_{0}^{n}
\end{cases}$$
(19)

with given discrete function  $U_0$  and unknown discrete functions U and  $\delta$  satisfying to the conditions in Eq. (15).

Consider additional variables  $U^0 = U_0^0 = 0$ . Note that then for any i = 1, ..., n-1 the condition  $u^{i+1} > u^i$  is equivalent to the condition  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} > 0$ , the condition  $u^{i+1} < u^i$  is equivalent to the condition  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} < 0$ , the condition  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} < 0$ , the condition  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} < 0$ , the condition  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} < 0$ .

Then the set of equations in Eq. (19) can be rewritten taking into account additional variables:

$$\begin{cases}
U^{0} = U_{0}^{0} = 0 \\
U^{1} = U_{0}^{1} - \lambda \delta^{1} \\
U^{2} = U_{0}^{2} - \lambda \delta^{2} \\
U^{3} = U_{0}^{3} - \lambda \delta^{3} , \\
\dots \\
U^{n-1} = U_{0}^{n-1} - \lambda \delta^{n-1} \\
U^{n} = U_{0}^{n}
\end{cases}$$
(20)

where

$$\delta^{i} = \begin{cases} -1, if \ U^{i+1} - 2U^{i} + U^{i-1} > 0\\ 1, if \ U^{i+1} - 2U^{i} + U^{i-1} < 0\\ \in [-1; 1], if \ U^{i+1} - 2U^{i} + U^{i-1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(21)$$

## 2.2. Construction the ...tube"

The values  $U_0^0$ ,  $U_0^1$ , ...,  $U_0^n$  of the discrete function  $U_0$  defines a piecewise linear curve, which is an axial line of the tube. The values  $U_0^0$ ,  $U_0^1 + \lambda$ , ...,  $U_0^{n-1} + \lambda$ ,  $U_0^n$  form the upper piecewise linear border of the tube, the values  $U_0^0$ ,  $U_0^1 - \lambda$ , ...,  $U_0^{n-1} - \lambda$ ,  $U_0^n$  form the bottom piecewise linear border of the tube. Figure 1 shows an example of a tube.



2.3. Description of the extremal function U

Since  $\delta^i$ , i = 1, ..., n - 1, take values in the segment [-1; 1], a piecewise linear curve defined by the values U<sup>1</sup>, ..., U<sup>n</sup> of a discrete function U (i.e. solution to the problem in Eq. (20)) entirely belongs to the tube. If the second discrete derivative equals zero,  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} = 0$  then the piecewise linear curve defined by the values

 $U^1, ..., U^n$  of a discrete function U in the neighborhood of the point *i* is a straight line.

If the second discrete derivative is positive,  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} > 0$  then from Eq. (21) we see that  $\delta^i = -1$  and Eq. (20) shows us that  $U^i = U_0^i + \lambda$ , i.e.  $U^i$  belongs to the upper border of the tube. If the second discrete derivative is negative,  $U^{i+1} - 2U^i + U^{i-1} < 0$  then from Eq. (21) we see that  $\delta^i = 1$  and Eq. (20)

shows us that  $U^i = U_0^i - \lambda$ , i.e.  $U^i$  belongs to the lower border of the tube.

It means that a piecewise linear curve defined by the values  $U^0, ..., U^n$  of a discrete function U exactly coincides with so called ,,taut string" connecting the endpoints of the tube.



## Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a variant of the Condat's method based on the direct 1D TV regularization problem. The usage of the Condat's method with the taut string method leads to a clear geometric description of the extremal function.

### Acknowledgements

The work was supported by Russian Science Foundation grant №15-19-10010.

## References

- [1] Rudin L, Osher S, Fatemi E. Nonlinear total variation based noised removal algorithms. Phys. D 1992; 60: 259–268.
- [2] Chambolle A, Lions PL. Image recovery via total variational minimization and related problems. Numer. Math. 1997; 76: 167–188.
- [3] Osher S, Burger M, Goldfarb D, Xu J, Yin W. An iterative regularization method for total variation based image restoration. Multiscale Modelling and Simulation 2005; 4: 460–489.
- [4] Chambolle A. An Algorithm for Total Variation Minimization and Applications. Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision 2004; 20: 89–97.
- [5] Strong DM, Chan TF. Exact Solutions to Total Variation Regularization Problems. UCLA CAM Report, 1996.
- [6] Strong DM, Chan TF. Edge-preserving and scale-dependent properties of total variation regularization. Inverse Problems 2003; 19: 165–187.
- [7] Voronin S, Makovetskii A, Kober V, Karnauhov V. Properties of exact solutions of the total variation regularization functions of one variable. Journal of Communications Technology and Electronics 2015; 60: 1356–1359.
- [8] Voronin S, Makovetskii A, Kober V. Explicit solutions of one-dimensional total variation problem. Proc. SPIE's 60 Annual Meeting: Applications of Digital Image Processing XXXVIII 2015; 9599: 959926–1.
- [9] Voronin S, Makovetskii A, Kober V. An efficient algorithm for total variation denoising. Proc. Int. Conference of Analysis of Images, Social Networks, and Texts (AIST) 2016; 236–248.
- [10] Voronin S, Makovetskii A, Kober V. Total variation regularization with bounded linear variations. Proc. SPIE's 61 Annual Meeting: Applications of Digital Image Processing XXXIX 2016; 9971: 99712T–9.
- [11] Condat L. A Direct Algorithm for 1-D Total Variation Denoising. IEEE Signal Processing Letters 2013; 20(11): 1054–1057.
- [12] Davies PL, Kovac A. Local extremes, runs, strings and multiresolution. Ann. Statist. 2001; 29(1): 1–65.