Reduction of flexible joint manipulator mathematical model

O.V. Vidilina¹, N.V. Voropaeva¹

¹Samara National Research University, 34, Moskovskoye shosse, 443086, Samara, Russia

Abstract
The singularly perturbed differential systems which describe the dynamics of the manipulator with flexible joints are investigated under the condition of weak dissipation. The method of integral manifolds is used to construct the reduced model of robot. Integral manifolds may be constructed as an asymptotic power series. The simplified model is used to construct the control law for the robot with two flexible joints.
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1. Introduction
The dynamic and control problems for robotic systems are connected with difficulties caused by high dimensions of models and availability of several time scales. Thereby the reduction problem (the problem of the construction the lower order corrected models) is topical.

We investigate the model of \( n \)-links robot-manipulator with flexible joints where dissipation is small. The dynamics of such manipulators is described by quasi-oscillating singularly perturbed differential systems, which contain small parameter at the leading derivative. The conditions ensuring the possibility of using classical asymptotic methods are described in the well-known Tikhonov’s theorem. The main of them is the asymptotic stability of the so-called boundary layer system. For investigated class of systems this condition of Tikhonov’s theorem is not satisfied.

One of the approaches, which allows to reduce the complex multirate dynamic systems, is based on the theory of integral manifolds [1–14]. The conditions of the existence of an attractive slow integral manifold are investigated. This makes it possible to use the slow subsystem, which describes the motion on the manifold, as the simplified model of the manipulator. Similar questions for other classes of quasi-oscillating systems are studied in [10-13].

We consider the dynamic model of \( n \)-links robot with flexible joints Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. The link of the manipulator.](image)

The dynamics of manipulator is described by the system [15 – 18]

\[
\begin{align*}
D(q_1) \ddot{q}_1 + c(q_1, \dot{q}_1) + K(q_1 - q_2) + B(\dot{q}_1 - \dot{q}_2) &= 0, \\
J\ddot{q}_2 - K(q_1 - q_2) - B(\dot{q}_1 - \dot{q}_2) &= u,
\end{align*}
\]

where the coordinates of vectors \( q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m \) are the angles which characterize links and rotors positions respectively, \( D(q_1) \) is inertia matrix due to the links, \( J \) is diagonal inertia matrix of drive rotors, vector \( c(q_1, \dot{q}_1) \) is determined by coriolis, centrifugal and gravitation components. The flexibility of joint is represented by torsion spring with sufficiently large elastic coefficient. Let \( K = k \text{diag}(K_1, \ldots, K_n) \) be the matrix of elastic coefficients, \( B = \text{diag}(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \) be the matrix of damping ratios, \( u \) be the unit torque.

Let \( \mu = 1/k \) be a small positive parameter. Note that the more hard restriction on the matrix \( B \) was imposed in [15 – 18]. It was supposed that \( B_j = B_j/\mu \), or \( B_j = B_j/\sqrt{\mu} \). In fact, it was assumed that there is a sufficiently high dissipation. Such assumption guarantees the fulfillment of Tichonov’s theorem condition about the asymptotic stability of the boundary layer system. Let us suppose that \( B_j = O(1) \). Then the main condition of this theorem is not fulfilled.
2. Reduction of the model

Putting \( q = q_1 \), \( z = k(q_1 - q_2) \) gives us the following system

\[
\ddot{q} = a_1(q, \dot{q}) + A_1(q)z + \mu A_3(q)\dot{z} + M_2u, \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1(q, \dot{q}) &= a_2(q, \dot{q}) = -D^{-1}(q)k(q, \dot{q}), & A_1(q) &= -D^{-1}(q)K, \\
A_2(q) &= -(D^{-1}(q) + J_1^{-1}K), & A_3(q) &= -D^{-1}(q)B, \\
A_4(q) &= -(D^{-1}(q) + J_1^{-1}B), & M_2 &= -J_1^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using the coordinates \( x_1 = q, x_2 = \dot{q}, y_1 = z, y_2 = \dot{z} \) we can rewrite system (2) to the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= a_1(x) + A_1(x)y_1 + \mu A_3(x)y_2, \\
\mu \dot{y}_1 &= \mu y_2, \\
\mu \dot{y}_2 &= a_2(x) + A_2(x)y_1 + \mu A_4(x)y_2 + M_2u(t, x, \mu).
\end{align*}
\] (3)

We obtained [14] the conditions for the existence of attractive slow integral manifold of system (3)

\[ y = h(t, x, \mu), \tag{4} \]

where \( x = \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right), \quad y = \left( \begin{array}{c} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{array} \right), \quad h(t, x, \mu) = \left( \begin{array}{c} h_1(t, x, \mu) \\ h_2(t, x, \mu) \end{array} \right). \]

Let function \( u(t, x, \mu) \) is represented in the form \( u(t, x, \mu) = u_0(t, x) + \mu u_1(t, x) + \ldots \). Integral manifold (4) may be constructed as an asymptotic power series of the small parameter \( \mu \)

\[ y_i = h_i^{(0)}(t, x) + \mu h_i^{(1)}(t, x) + \mu^2 h_i^{(2)}(t, x) + \ldots, \quad i = 1, 2 \] (5)

with any degree of accuracy. Substituting (5) to the equations

\[
\frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} (a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)} + \mu A_3(x_1)h_2^{(0)}) = h_2^{(0)},
\]

\[
\mu \frac{\partial h_2^{(0)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_2^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial h_2^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} (a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)} + \mu A_3(x_1)h_2^{(0)}) =
\]

\[ = a_2(x) + A_2(x_1)y_1 + \mu A_4(x_1)y_2 + M_2u(t, x, \mu), \\
h_i = h_i(t, x, \mu) \]

and equating the coefficients at the same powers of \( \mu \) we can get \( h_i^{(j)} = h_i^{(j)}(t, x) \) for any \( j \). In particular

\[
h_1^{(0)} = -A_2^{-1}(x_1)[a_2(x) + M_2u_0(t, x)], \quad h_2^{(0)} = \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} [a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)}],
\]

\[
h_1^{(1)} = A_2^{-1}(x_1) \left[ \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} [a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)}] - A_4(x_1)h_2^{(0)} - M_2u_1(t, x) \right],
\]

\[
h_2^{(1)} = \frac{\partial h_2^{(1)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_2^{(1)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial h_2^{(1)}}{\partial x_2} [a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)}] + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} [A_1(x_1)h_1^{(1)} + A_3(x_1)h_2^{(0)}].
\]

For \( h_i^{(j)} \), \( i = 1, 2 \) from (6) we have

\[
h_1^{(j)} = A_2^{-1}(x_1) \left[ M_2u_j(t, x) - A_4(x_1)h_2^{(j-1)} - \frac{\partial h_2^{(j-1)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 - \frac{\partial h_2^{(j-1)}}{\partial x_2} [a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)}] - \right.
\]

\[
- \sum_{s=0}^{j-2} \frac{\partial h_2^{(s)}}{\partial x_2} [A_1(x_1)h_1^{(j-s-1)} + A_3(x_1)h_2^{(j-s-2)}],
\]

\[
h_2^{(j)} = \frac{\partial h_1^{(j)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial h_1^{(0)}}{\partial x_2} [a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1^{(0)}] + \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \frac{\partial h_1^{(s)}}{\partial x_2} [A_1(x_1)h_1^{(j-s)} + A_3(x_1)h_2^{(j-s-1)}].
\] (7)
The system, which describes the motion on the slow integral manifold, is
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= a_1(x) + A_1(x_1)h_1(t, x, \mu) + \mu A_2(x_1)h_2(t, x, \mu).
\end{align*}
\] (8)

The dimension of this system is half of the dimension of the initial system. The slow subsystem has no fast variables, but nonetheless reliably describes the behavior of full system near the slow integral manifold. This allows to use it as a simplified model of flexible joints robot. The proposed approach to construct the reduced model is used in [11 – 13] to solve the problems of control and estimation for robot with one flexible joint.

3. Example

Let us consider the control problem for the robot with two flexible joints. The dynamics of manipulator is described by system (1), where [18]

\[
D(q_1) = \begin{pmatrix}
\theta_1 + \theta_2 + 2 \theta_3 \cos \varphi_2 \\
\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos \varphi_2
\end{pmatrix}, 
q_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_1 \\
\varphi_2
\end{pmatrix}, 
q_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_1 \\
\psi_2
\end{pmatrix}, 
\begin{aligned}
\theta_1 &= m_1 l_1^2 + m_2 l_1^2 + l_1, \\
\theta_2 &= m_2 l_2^2 + l_2,
\end{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\theta_3 &= m_2 l_1 l_3, \\
\theta_4 &= m_1 l_1, \\
\theta_5 &= m_3 l_2.
\end{aligned}
\]

Using the coordinates \(x_1 = q_1, \ x_2 = q_2, y_1 = z, \ y_2 = \dot{z}\) we rewrite system (2) to the form (3), where

\[
x = \begin{pmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{pmatrix}, 
 q_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
x_1^{(1)} \\
x_2^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix}, 
 q_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
x_1^{(2)} \\
x_2^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix}, 
 J = \begin{pmatrix}
J_1 & 0 \\
0 & J_2
\end{pmatrix}, 
 K = \begin{pmatrix}
k & 0 \\
0 & k
\end{pmatrix}, 
 B = \begin{pmatrix}
b & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

\[
a_1(x) = a_2(x) = \frac{-\theta_2 \sin x_2^{(1)} (2x_1^{(2)} + x_2^{(2)} + \theta_1 g \cos x_1^{(1)} + \theta_2 g \cos (x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)}))}{\Delta}.
\]

\[
A_1(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix}
\theta_2 & \theta_1 + 2 \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)} \\
\theta_2 & \theta_1 + 2 \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix}, 
A_2(x) = \frac{k}{\Delta J_1 J_2} \begin{pmatrix}
-J_3 (\theta_2 J_1 + \Delta) & J_3 (\theta_2 J_1 + \Delta) \\
J_1 J_2 (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)}) & J_1 J_2 (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)} + \Delta)
\end{pmatrix}, 
A_3(x) = \frac{b}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix}
\theta_2 & \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)} \\
\theta_2 & \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix}, 
A_4(x) = \frac{b}{\Delta J_1 J_2} \begin{pmatrix}
-J_3 (\theta_2 J_1 + \Delta) & J_3 (\theta_2 J_1 + \Delta) \\
J_1 J_2 (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)}) & J_1 J_2 (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)} + \Delta)
\end{pmatrix}, 
M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 / J_1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 / J_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The slow integral manifold (4) takes the form (5), where coefficients \(h_{ij}^{(4)}\) are obtained from (7) by using the computer algebra system Maple. In particular

\[
h_{1,1}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{kS} \left[ a_1^{(0)} (\theta_2 + J_1 \theta_1 + J_2 \theta_2 - \theta_2^2 (\cos x_2^{(1)})^2 + 2 J_2 \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)}) + u_2^{(0)} J_1 (\theta_2 + \theta_1 \cos x_2^{(1)}) - J_2 \theta_3^2 (x_1^{(2)})^2 \cos x_2^{(1)} \sin x_2^{(1)} - J_1 \theta_1 \sin x_1^{(1)} (\theta_2 (x_1^{(2)} + x_2^{(2)})^2 + J_2 x_2^{(2)} (2 x_1^{(2)} + x_2^{(2)})) + J_1 g (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_1^{(1)} (\theta_2 + J_1 \theta_1 + J_2 \theta_2 + \cos x_1^{(1)} + x_1^{(1)} J_1 g \theta_2 (J_2 - \theta_2 \cos x_2^{(1)})) \right],
\]

\[
h_{1,2}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{kS} \left[ a_1^{(0)} J_2 (\theta_2 + \theta_1 \cos x_2^{(1)}) + u_1^{(0)} (\theta_2 \theta_2 + J_1 \theta_2 - \theta_2^2 (\cos x_2^{(1)})^2 - J_2 g (\theta_1 + \theta_2) \cos x_1^{(1)} (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)}) +
\right].
\]
+ J_2 \theta_0 \cos(x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)})(\theta_1 + J_1 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(2)}) + J_2 \theta_2^3 \cos x_1^{(1)} \sin x_2^{(1)}(2x_1^{(2)}x_2^{(2)} + (x_2^{(2)})^2 + 2(x_1^{(2)})^2) + \\
+ J_2 \theta_3 \sin x_2^{(1)}((\theta_1 + \theta_2)(x_1^{(1)})^2 + 2\theta_2 x_1^{(2)}x_2^{(2)} + \theta_2^2(x_2^{(2)})^2 + J_1(x_1^{(1)})^2)),
S = (J_2 \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 + J_1 \theta_2 + J_2 J_2 - \theta_2^2(\cos x_2^{(1)})^2 + 2J_2 J_3 \cos x_1^{(1)}).

The reduced system (8) takes the form
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1^{(1)} &= x_1^{(2)}, \\
\dot{x}_2^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{S}(u_1^{(0)}(\theta_1 + J_2 - u_2^{(0)}(\theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_2^{(2)}) - g(\theta_4 + \theta_5) \cos x_1^{(1)}(\theta_2 + J_2) + \theta_2^3 \cos x_1^{(1)} \sin x_2^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)})^2) + \\
&+ \theta_3 \sin x_2^{(1)}(\theta_2 x_1^{(2)} + x_2^{(2)})^2 + J_2 \theta_2^2(x_2^{(2)})^2 + 2\theta_2 x_1^{(2)}x_2^{(2)}) + g\theta_0 \cos(x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)})(\theta_4 \cos x_1^{(1)} - J_2) + O(\mu),
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_2^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{S}(u_1^{(0)}(\theta_1 + \theta_3 \cos x_1^{(1)}) - u_2^{(0)}(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \cos x_1^{(1)} + J_1) - \theta_3 \sin x_2^{(1)}(\theta_2 x_1^{(2)} + x_2^{(2)})^2 + (x_1^{(2)})^2(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + J_1) + \theta_2^3 \cos x_1^{(1)} \sin x_2^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)})^2 + \\
&+ g\theta_0 \cos(x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)})(\theta_3 \cos x_2^{(1)} + J_1 + \theta_3)) + O(\mu).
\end{align*}
\]

We omitted here the terms containing \( \mu \) because of their bulkiness.

We construct the control law to move both links of manipulator to the fixed stable positions. We choose the control law based on the reduced system in accordance with the concept of linearizing feedback.

The Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 demonstrate the dynamics of the angles which characterize links positions with the control law formed by reduced system for the following parameters

\[ m_1 = 10, \ m_2 = 5, \ l_1 = 1, \ l_2 = 1, \ l_c = 0.5, \ l_{c2} = 0.5, \ J_1 = 1, \ J_2 = 1, \ \tilde{K}_1 = 1, \ \mu = 0.01, \ \tilde{K}_2 = 1. \]

\[ \text{Fig. 1. The first link angle } \varphi(t). \]
\[ \text{Fig. 2. The second link angle } \varphi(t). \]

It can be seen that the trajectories of initial system, which is characterized by damped high-frequency oscillations tend to the trajectories of reduced system, and those tend to the required fixed positions.

4. Conclusion

The application of the integral manifolds method allows us to reduce the dimension and simplify the problem of the control law construction.
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