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Abstract

We deal with methods of parameter continuation in applied optimal control problem using the maximum principle and the direct method of descent in the space of controls. Universal method for solving boundary-value problem with fixed right end is suggested. The example of the problems of dynamic portfolio is presented. The problem was solved by reducing to a linear programming (LP) one by integrating system the explicit Euler method. When one asked prescribed accuracy of the calculations due to the fineness of the partition of the segment we obtained LP problem of large dimension. This raises two major problems: (1) optimal solution within a reasonable time; (2) incorrectness of the LP problem. To find the optimal solution we apply the method of continuation the parameter. We divide the interval of integration into a number of nested segments and use parallel calculations.

1 Introduction

We consider canonical Dubovitski-Milyutin problem. There are many cases in which maximum principle degenerates. Introducing the parameters help to overcome difficulties connected with triviality of maximum principle. The proposed method was used for solution portfolio dynamic problem. Non regular points in a two-sector economic model of foreign debt are also considered. Typical statements of problems are described by ordinary differential equations and balance relations in the form of equalities and inequalities. Additional state-control and state constraints are imposed on system. The presence of non regular points in such a system implies a locally uncontrollable situation which results in the failure of the corresponding constraints and change in qualitative picture of solutions. Moreover, non regular points are of interest from the viewpoint of nonlinear dynamical systems with regard to problem parameters. For example, for autonomous systems one can consider problems of stability, bifurcation of solutions, finding periodic solutions, etc.
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2 A Problem of Dynamic Portfolio

Problem of dynamic portfolio and restrictions on the control and state coordinates, respectively

\[ Q_1 \leq Q(t) \leq Q_2, R_1 \leq R(t) \leq R_2, t \in [0, T], \]  
\[ V(t) \geq 0, F(t) \geq 0, S(T) \geq 0, t \in [0, T]. \]  

One required to find \( \max S(T) \) involving the const \( A \) mathematical model of the dynamics of the securities market has the form (problem \( A_0 \))

\[ \dot{V} = Q, \dot{F} = R, \dot{S} = -\rho_0 V + \rho F + Q - R, t \in [0, T]. \]

Constraints (1) – (2) with zero initial and boundary conditions

\[ V(0) = 0, F(0) = 0, S(0) = 0, V(T) = 0, F(T) = 0. \]  

Here \( F(t) \) — the portfolio of securities, \( V(t) \) — the value of bank debt on the loan, \( S(t) \) — the balance of the account transactions, \( Q(t) \) — the rate of change in volume of funds used for the line of credit, \( R(t) \) — the rate of change of portfolio securities field, \( \rho_0 \) — the rate paid on the loan, \( \rho \) — the rate of received dividends; \( Q(t), R(t) \) — control functions; \( V(t), F(t), S(t) \) — the phase variables.

To find the optimal solution we apply the method of continuation the parameter. We divide the interval of integration into a number of nested segments

\[ [0, t_1] \subset [0, t_2] \subset \ldots \subset [0, t_m], t_m = T. \]

On the segment we carry out discretization of the problem based on the explicit Euler method. Since the segment is small, we obtain as a result of the linear programming (LP) problem of small dimension. For this problem is fulfilled the conditions (3)

\[ V(0) = 0, F(0) = 0, S(0) = 0, V(t_1) = 0, F(t_1) = 0, S(t_1) \rightarrow \max. \]

Note that the solution of the problem always exists and is unique. As a result we obtain the optimal control \( u_{10} = (Q_{10}, R_{10}) \).

Next, we use this solution as a first approximation to the solution of the problem for a segment \([0, t_2]\). This process can be extended up to \( t_m = T \). Note that the optimal solution obtained at the previous interval, is admissible in a subsequent extended interval.

It is well known that the maximum principle for the problem \( A_0 \) is trivial for some value \( \rho_0 \) and \( \rho \). To obtain meaningful maximum principle we consider the perturbed system (problem \( A_1 \))

\[ \dot{V} = Q - \alpha V, \dot{F} = R, \dot{S} = -\rho_0 V + \rho F + Q - R, t \in [0, T]. \]  

All the remaining constraints (1) – (3) are unchanged. The following theorems hold true.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \alpha > \rho_0 \). Then for the problem \( A_1 \) a non-trivial principle of the maximum \( \Pi_0 \) in the form of Dubovitskii-Milyutin [Dikusar & Milyutin, 1989] is valid.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \alpha > \rho_0 \). Then the values of the functional \( S_0(T) \) and \( S_1(T) \) for the problems \( A_0 \) and \( A_1 \) asymptotically coincide.

The maximum principle \( \Pi_0 \) [Dikusar & Umnov, 2002] reduces the original problem to a boundary value problem for the selection \( \psi_V(0) \) and \( \psi_F(0) \) thus to satisfy the boundary conditions (3). Here \( \psi_V(0) \) and \( \psi_F(0) \) — the conjugate variables.

Let put \( T = t_1 \) (4) and on the segment \([0, t_1]\) we solve the boundary value problem. In this case, we obtain, the initial values \( \psi_{V_1} = \psi_V(0) \) and \( \psi_{F_1} = \psi_F(0) \). We use the obtained values \( \psi_{V_1} \) and \( \psi_{F_1} \) for the solution of the boundary value problem \( A_1 \) as a first approximation. Forecasting methods allow us to generate information in order to calculate the next approximation for \( \psi_{V_i} \) and \( \psi_{F_i} \) on the segment \([0, t_i], i = 2, \ldots, m \).
3 Discrete Scheme for Solution of Portfolio Dynamic Problem

The proposed scheme was used for solution portfolio dynamic problem. Let us denote: 

- \( S(k) \) — the rest of assets on current account, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( Q(k) \) — volume of assets used on credit line, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( R(k) \) — volume of portfolio, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( q(k) \) — payment of interest for credit, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( r(k) \) — return on current account, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( V(k) \) — quantity of debt on credit, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( \rho_0(k) \) — price of assets on credit, \( k = [1, N] \);
- \( \rho_0(k) \) — coupon yield of assets, \( k = [1, N] \).

The proposed scheme was used for solution portfolio dynamic problem. Let us denote:

3. Discrete Scheme for Solution of Portfolio Dynamic Problem

1°. Dynamic of account

\[
S(k + 1) = S(k) + Q(k) - R(k) + r(k) - q(k).
\] (6)

2°. Dynamic of interest payment for credit

\[
q(k + 1) = q(k) + (S_0(k + 1) - \rho_0(k)) \cdot V(k) + \rho_0(k) \cdot Q(k).
\] (7)

3°. Dynamic of obtaining dividends

\[
r(k + 1) = r(k) + (\rho(k + 1) - \rho(k)) \cdot F(k) + \rho(k) \cdot R(k).
\] (8)

In difference equations (7)–(9) the quantities \( S(k), q(k), r(k), V(k), F(k) \) are state variables; \( Q(k), R(k) \) are control variables of lower level that enter in (7)–(9) by linearly for fixed \( \rho_0(k) \) and \( \rho(k); \rho_0(k), \rho(k) \) are control variables of upper level.

The state and control variables must satisfy the following constraints:

- \( S(k) \geq 0, \forall k \in [1, N] \) (overdraft is not allowed) \( q(k) \geq 0, r(k) \geq 0 \forall k \in [1, N] \);
- \( Q \leq Q(k) \leq Q_0; \ R \leq R(k) \leq R_0; \ Q, R \leq 0; \ Q, R \geq 0, \forall k \in [1, N] \);
- initial conditions \( S(1) = V(1) = F(1) = 0 \);
- boundary conditions \( V(N) = F(N) = 0 \).

To be maximized is a functional

\[
P(N) = \max_{(S, q, r, Q, R)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (r(k) - q(k))
\]

that in difference form is equivalent

\[
P(k + 1) - P(k) = r(k) - q(k), \forall k \in [1, N - 1].
\]

The following statement are true

1°. In the case \( V(1) = F(1) = S(1) = 0 \) we have

\[
S(k) = P(k) + V(k), \forall k \in [1, N].
\]

2°. For boundary conditions \( F(N) = V(N) = 0 \) we get

\[
P(N) \to \max \iff S(N) \to \max.
\]

In result we have more simple form of dynamical system

\[
\begin{align*}
V(k + 1) &- V(k) = Q(k), \\
F(k + 1) &- F(k) = R(k), \\
S(k + 1) &- S(k) = -\rho_0(k)V(k) + \rho(k) \cdot F(k) + Q(k) - R(k).
\end{align*}
\] (9)

Here we consider piecewise constant constant control variables \( \rho_0(k) \) and \( \rho(k) \)

\[
\rho_0(k) = \begin{cases} a_0, & k < k_0, \\ b_0, & k_0 \leq k \leq k_0 + \Delta, \\ a_0, & k > k_0 + \Delta; \end{cases}
\]

\[
\rho(k) = \begin{cases} a, & k < k_1, \\ b, & k_1 \leq k \leq k_1 + \Delta, \\ a, & k > k_1 + \Delta.\end{cases}
\] (10)
The upper level control variables were computed iteratively

\[ P_{n+1}(k,j) = P_n(k,j) + \sigma_n \omega_n(k,j), \quad j = [1,l], \quad k = [1,N], \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots \]

The quantities \( \omega_n \) and \( \sigma_n \) were estimated by Newton’s method in the case of local quadratical approximation.

Meaningful analysis the obtained results shows that in case of instable market of value assets there is unique jump reaction of market on value credit lines in the moment \( k_0 \) depending on revenue (11) in the moment \( k_1 \). In other words, there is functional dependence \( k_0 \) from \( k_1 \). That can be used by governing units for improvement situation on financial markets and, for example, for optimization of taxes.

4 Parametric Linearization Method in the Discrete Optimal Control Problem

The problem has parameters \( \rho_0 \) and \( \rho \). So we give parallel calculations in Sobolev-Statnikov algorithm. We make the net and calculations.

We concentrate on the treatment of the following class of nonlinear discrete optimal control problems. To be minimized is a functional

\[ I(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} F(x(k),u(k),k), \quad u \in R^s, \quad x \in R^n \]  

under conditions

\[ x(k+1) = f_i(x(k),u(k),k), \quad i = [1,n], \quad k = [1,N-1], \]

\[ g_j(x(k),u(k),k) \geq 0, \quad j = [1,m], \quad k = [1,N], \]

where \( x(k) \) is state vector, \( u(k) \) is control vector; all functions are continuously differentiable with respect to their argument. We suggest also that control-state constraints (3) are regulars [Dikusar & Milyutin, 1989].

As was showed, for example [1, 2, 3, 4], the solution of the problem (1)–(3) can be based on necessary and sufficient optimality conditions such as:

1. Principle optimality of Bellman for dynamical systems;
2. Maximum principle for optimal control problems with complicated constraints of general type;
3. Necessary and sufficient optimality conditions in mathematical programming problems.

Our paper is devoted special, but widely occurred in applications, class of nonlinear discrete optimal control problems with control-state constraints, allowing linearization of the original problem by parametrization a subset of control functions.

Let \( p(k), p(k) \in R^l \) be subset of control, which reduced initial problem (1)–(3) to linear one for fixed \( p(k) \).

In result we have linear parametric optimization problem

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} (d^T(k,p(k))x(k) + e^T(k,p(k))u(k)) \rightarrow \min_{\{x,u\}} \]  

subject to

\[ x(k+1) = A(k,p(k))x(k) + B(k,p(k))u(k) + s(k,p(k)); \forall k = [1,N-1], \]

\[ G(k,p(k))x(k) + K(k,p(k))u(k) + w(k,p(k)) \geq 0, \]

where \( A(k,p(k)), B(k,p(k)), s(k,p(k)), G(k,p(k)), K(k,p(k)), w(k,p(k)) \) are matrices of corresponded dimensions.

We assume that solution the problem (4)–(6) exists and satisfies optimality condition in the form of Dubovitski–Milyutin.

Linearity of the problem (4)–(6) give us opportunity to use two level scheme for its solution.

At first we solve linear problem (4)–(6) on lower level for fixed \( p(k) \), \( k = [1,N] \). After, on upper level, we seek the minimum (4) on set of \( p(k), k = [1,N] \) for fixed \( x_*(k) \) and \( u_*(k) \) obtained from the solutions on lower level. Then we continue the process iteratively.

Algorithm for solution of the problem (4)–(6) depends on form of the functions \( d(k,p(k)), e(k,p(k)), G(k,p(k)), K(k,p(k)), w(k,p(k)) \), \( k = [1,N] \) and we must take into account that explicit relation of the solution on the parameter \( p(k) \) is unknown.

For getting solution (4)–(6) and forming output files we used C++ and OC Windows 2K-XP with basic version of algorithm for analysis incomplete mathematical models.
Our next results connected with development the interpreter of language “L” (subset language C++) to increase effectiveness of procedure input-output data and analysis of obtained solution.

The proposed scheme was used for solution portfolio dynamic problem. Let us denote: $S(k)$ — the rest of assets on current account, $k = [1, N]$; $Q(k)$ — volume of assets used on credit line, $k = [1, N]$; $R(k)$ — volume of portfolio, $k = [1, N]$; $q(k)$ — payment of interest for credit, $k = [1, N]$; $r(k)$ — return on current account, $k = [1, N]$; $V(k)$ — quantity of debt on credit, $k = [1, N]$; $\rho_0(k)$ — price of assets on credit, $k = [1, N]$; $\rho_0(k)$ — coupon yield of assets, $k = [1, N]$.

The variable are connected by following dynamics for $k = [1, N - 1]$.

1°. Dynamic of account

$$S(k + 1) = S(k) + Q(k) - R(k) + r(k) - q(k).$$ (17)

2°. Dynamic of interest payment for credit

$$q(k + 1) = q(k) + (S_0(k + 1) - \rho_0(k)) \cdot V(k) + \rho_0(k) \cdot Q(k).$$ (18)

3°. Dynamic of obtaining dividends

$$r(k + 1) = r(k) + (\rho(k + 1) - \rho(k)) \cdot F(k) + \rho(k) \cdot R(k).$$ (19)

In difference equations (7)–(9) the quantities $S(k)$, $q(k)$, $r(k)$, $V(k)$, $F(k)$ are state variables; $Q(k)$, $R(k)$ are control variables of lower level that enter in (7)–(9) by linearly for fixed $\rho_0(k)$ and $\rho(k)$; $\rho_0(k)$, $\rho(k)$ are control variables of upper level.

The state and control variables must satisfy the following constraints:

$$S(k) \geq 0, \forall k \in [1, N] \text{ (overdraft is not allowed)} \; q(k) \geq 0, \; r(k) \geq 0 \; \forall k \in [1, N];$$

$$Q \leq Q(k) \leq \bar{Q}; \quad R \leq R(k) \leq \bar{R}; \quad Q \cdot R < 0; \quad \bar{Q}, \bar{R} > 0; \quad \forall k \in [1, N];$$

initial conditions $S(1) = V(1) = F(1) = 0$;
boundary conditions $V(N) = F(N) = 0$.

To be maximized is a functional

$$P(N) = \max_{(S,Q,R)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (r(k) - q(k))$$

that in difference form is equivalent

$$P(k + 1) - P(k) = r(k) - q(k), \quad \forall k \in [1, N - 1].$$

The following statement are true

1°. In the case $V(1) = F(1) = S(1) = 0$ we have

$$S(k) = P(k) + V(k), \quad \forall k \in [1, N].$$

2°. For boundary conditions $F(N) = V(N) = 0$ we get

$$P(N) \rightarrow \max \iff S(N) \rightarrow \max.$$ 

In result we have more simple form of dynamical system

$$V(k + 1) - V(k) = Q(k),$$

$$F(k + 1) - F(k) = R(k),$$

$$S(k + 1) - S(k) = -\rho_0(k)V(k) + \rho(k) \cdot F(k) + Q(k) - R(k).$$ (20)

Here we consider piecewise constant control variables $\rho_0(k)$ and $\rho(k)$

$$\rho_0(k) = \begin{cases} a_0, & k < k_0, \\ b_0, & k_0 \leq k \leq k_0 + \Delta, \\ a_0, & k > k_0 + \Delta; \end{cases}$$

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} a, & k < k_1, \\ b, & k_1 \leq k \leq k_1 + \Delta, \\ a, & k > k_1 + \Delta. \end{cases}$$ (21)
The upper level control variables were computed iteratively

\[ P_{n+1}(k,j) = P_n(k,j) + \sigma_n \omega_n(k,j), \quad j = [1,l], \quad k = [1,N], \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots \]

The quantities \( \omega_n \) and \( \sigma_n \) were estimated by Newton’s method in the case of local quadratical approximation.

Meaningful analysis the obtained results shows that in case of instable market of value assets there is unique jump reaction of market on value credit lines in the moment \( k_0 \) depending on revenue (11) in the moment \( k_1 \). In other words, there is functional dependence \( k_0 \) from \( k_1 \). That can be used by governing units for improvement situation on financial markets and, for example, for optimization of taxes.

5 Parallel Calculations

Message passing interface (MPI) is complex enough but serves as standard de-facto for parallel calculations [Dolmatova & Olenev, 2014]. MathWorks has developed an application for the creation of parallel and distributed programs using the MPI library funds and their implementation on the platform of MATLAB, which simplifies the practical use of parallel computing on multicore computers, clusters, and GRID-systems.

To determine the optimal geometry of the trajectories of the initial problem it is reduced to a discrete one and then it is solved by methods of linear and non-linear programming. The boundary value problem is solved with the use of continuous analogue of the Newton and gradient methods. The Jacobian matrix is calculated using parallel procedures. To solve the problems of linear and non-linear programming methods factor analysis is used.

It solved the problem of eigenvalues for the matrix of observations in the case of linear large-scale problems. Based on MATLAB software package designed for problems of an optimal control with the use of distributed computing and GRID-technologies for the numerical solution of this problem of eigenvalues.

For the administration and configuration of parallel calculations in MATLAB, they use two applications:

1. Parallel Computing Toolbox (PCT),
2. MATLAB Distributed Computing Server (MDCS).

You can develop your program on a multicore desktop computer using Parallel Computing Toolbox and then scale up it to use a cluster supercomputer, a cloud, or a grid by running it on MATLAB Distributed Computing Server [Olenev et al., 2015].

Parallel calculations in MATLAB [Olenev et al., 2015] are used here to find parameters \( \rho_0 \) and \( \rho \) in the problem of dynamic portfolio. As a result, the process of calculations was speeded up by an order of magnitude.
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