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Abstract. A new restart rule is proposed for genetic algorithms (GAs) with multiple restarts. This rule is based on the Schnabel census method, originally developed for statistical estimation of the animal population size. It is assumed that during a number of latest iterations, the population of a GA was in the stationary distribution and the Schnabel census method is applicable for estimating the quantity of different solutions that can be visited with a positive probability. The rule is to restart a GA as soon as the maximum likelihood estimate reaches the number of different solutions observed at the last iterations.

We demonstrate how the new restart rule can be incorporated into a GA with non-binary representation for the Set Cover Problem. Computational experiments on benchmarks from OR-Library show a significant advantage of the GA with the new restarting rule over the original GA. On the unicost instances, the new restarting rule also turned out to be in advantage to restarting the GA as soon as the current iteration number becomes twice the iteration number when the best incumbent was found.
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1 Introduction

Genetic algorithms (GAs) are randomized search heuristics based on biological analogy of selective breeding in nature, originating from the work of J. Holland and applicable to a wide range of optimization problems. The basic components of a GA are a population of individuals and random operators that are introduced to model mutation and crossover in nature. An individual is a pair of genotype $g$ and phenotype $x(g)$ corresponding to a search point in the space of solutions $D$ to a given optimization problem. Here $g$ is a fixed length string of symbols (called genes) from some alphabet $A$. The function $x(g)$ maps $g$ to its phenotype.

* This research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 17-18-01536.
x(g) ∈ D, thus defining a representation of solutions in GA. The search in GAs is
guided by the values of the fitness function \( \Phi(g) = \phi(f(x(g))) \) on the genotypes
of the current population \( \Pi^t \) on iteration \( t \). Here \( f : D \rightarrow R \) is the objective
function of a problem, and \( \phi : R \rightarrow R \) may be an identical mapping or a
monotone function, chosen appropriately to intensify the search. The genotypes
of the initial population are randomly generated according to some a priori
defined probability distribution.

In this paper, a new restart rule is proposed for the GAs. The rule is based
on the Schnabel Census method, originally developed for statistical estimation
of size \( \nu \) of animal populations [6] where one takes repeated samples of size 1 (at
suitable intervals of time) and counts the number of distinct animals seen. This
method was also adapted to estimate the number of local optima on the basis
of repeated local search [4]. Experiments [4] showed that the estimates based on
this approach were good for isotropic landscapes (i.e. those with uniform basin
sizes), but have a negative bias when basin sizes significantly differ.

We show how the new restart rule can be incorporated into a GA with Non-
Binary Representation (NBGA) [3] for the Set Cover Problem (SCP). A detailed
description of this algorithm is provided in the appendix. Computational exper-
iments on benchmark instances from OR-Library show a significant advantage
of the GA with the new restarting rule in comparison to the original version of
the GA from [3]. In particular, given equal CPU time, in 35 out of 74 SCP in-
stances the new version of GA had greater frequency of finding optimal solutions
and only in 5 out of 74 instances the new version showed inferior results. The
new restarting rule also turned out to be in advantage to the well-known rule
of restarting the GA as soon as the current iteration number becomes twice the
iteration number when the best incumbent was found.

The Schnabel Census method as a means of estimation of the number of un-
visited solutions [4], as well as the genetic algorithm, both emerged as a transfer
of ideas from biology into computer science. Interestingly enough, in the present
paper both methods are combined together. However Schnabel Census, originally
developed for estimation of animals population size, is not used for counting indi-
viduals here, but for estimation of the number of solutions which may be visited
if the distribution of offspring in the GA remains unchanged.

2 Restart Rule Based on Schnabel Census

One of the methods developed in biometrics for statistical estimation of size
of animal populations is the Schnabel Census method [6]. According to this
method, one takes repeated samples of size \( n_0 \) (at suitable intervals of time)
from the same population and counts the number of distinct animals seen. The
usual assumption is that the probability of catching any particular animal is the
same. The sampled individuals are marked (unless they were marked previously)
and returned back into the population. Then statistical estimates for the total
number \( \nu \) of individuals in population are computed on the basis of the num-
ber of already marked individuals observed in the samples. In what follows, we
will apply the Schnabel Census method to estimate the number of values that a discrete random variable may take with non-zero probability.

Let \( r \) be a parameter that defines the length of a historical period that is considered for statistical analysis. Given some value of a parameter \( r \), the new restart rule assumes that during the \( r \) latest iterations, the GA population was at a stationary distribution and all tentative solutions produced on these iterations may be treated analogously to sampled animals in the Schnabel Census method. The Schnabel Census method is applied here to estimate the number \( \nu \) of different solutions that may be visited with positive probability if the current distribution of offspring remains unchanged.

In the sequel, we assume that in the latest \( r \) iterations of a GA we have a sample of \( r \) independent offspring solutions and the random variable \( K \) is the number of distinct solutions among them. In addition we make a simplifying assumption that all solutions that may be generated in the stationary distribution have equal probabilities. The rule consists in restarting the GA as soon as the estimate \( \hat{\nu}^{ML} \) becomes equal to \( k \). The value of parameter \( r \) is chosen adaptively during the GA execution. The rationale behind this rule is that once the equality \( \hat{\nu}^{ML} = k \) is satisfied, most likely there are no more non-visited solutions in the area where the GA population spent the latest \( r \) iterations. In such a case it is more appropriate to restart the GA rather than to wait till the population distribution will significantly change.

3 The Genetic Algorithm for Set Covering Problem

In this section, we describe the GA application which is used for testing the new restart rule. The Set Cover Problem (SCP) can be stated as follows. Consider a set \( M = \{1,\ldots,m\} \) and the subsets \( M_j \subseteq M \), where \( j \in \mathcal{N} = \{1,\ldots,n\} \). A subset \( J \subseteq \mathcal{N} \) is a cover of \( M \) if \( \bigcup_{j \in J} M_j = M \). For each \( M_j \), a positive cost \( c_j \) is assigned. The SCP is to find a cover of minimum summary cost.

The SCP may be formulated as an integer linear programming problem:

\[
\min \{ c^T x : A x \geq e, x \in \{0,1\}^n \},
\]

where \( c \) is an \( n \)-vector of costs, \( e \) is the \( m \)-vector of 1s, \( A = (a_{ij}) \) is an \( m \times n \) matrix of 0s and 1s, where \( a_{ij} = 1 \), iff \( i \in M_j \). Using this formulation one can regard SCP as a problem of optimal covering all rows of \( A \) by a subset of columns.

In this paper, we use the same GA for the Set Covering Problem as proposed in our earlier work [3]. The GA is based on the elitist steady-state population management strategy. It is denoted as NBGA because it uses non-binary representation of solutions. The NBGA uses an optimized problem-specific crossover operator, a proportional selection and a mutation operator that makes random changes in every gene with a given probability \( p_m \). Each new genotype undergoes greedy improvement procedures before it is added into the population. A detailed description of this algorithm is provided in the appendix.
4 Results of Computational Experiments

The NBGA was tested on OR-Library benchmark problem sets 4-6, A-H, and two sets of combinatorial problems CLR and Stein. The sets 4-6 and A-H consist of problems with randomly generated costs $c_j$ from 1,...,100, while CLR and Stein consist of uncost problems, i.e. here $c_j = 1$ for all $j$. We compared three modes of GA execution.

- Mode (i): single run without restarts.
- Mode (ii): restarting the GA as soon as the current iteration number becomes twice the iteration number when the best incumbent was found. This rule was used successfully by different authors for restarting random hill-climbing and genetic algorithms.
- Mode (iii): restarting the GA using the new rule proposed in Section 2. The historic period used for statistical analysis was chosen as $r$ latest iterations of the GA, where value $r$ is chosen adaptively as follows: Whenever the best found solution is improved, $r$ is set to be the population size. If the best incumbent was not improved during the latest $2r$ iterations, we double the value of $r$. Here we reset $r$ to the population size, assuming that whenever the best incumbent is improved, the population reaches a new unexplored area and we should reduce the length of the historic period for analysis. If the best incumbent is not improved long enough, our rule extends the historic period. In order to reduce the CPU cost, the termination condition is checked only at those iterations when the value of $r$ is updated. Fig 1 illustrates a typical behavior of parameter $r$, together with the number of different offspring solutions $k$ and the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\nu}_{ML}$ over a single GA run, until the termination condition was satisfied.

In our experiments, $N = 30$ trials of GA in each of the three modes were carried out. The population size was 100 and the total budget of GA iterations over all runs was equal to 10 000. A single experiment with the given budget we call a trial. Let $\sigma := \sum_{k=1}^{30} \frac{f_k - f^*}{30f^*} \cdot 100\%$, where $f_k$ is the cost of solution found in $k$-th trial and $f^*$ is the optimal cost. In what follows, $F_{bst}$ will denote the frequency of obtaining a solution with the best known cost from the literature, estimated by 30 trials. The statistically significant difference at level $p \leq 0.05$ between the frequencies of finding optimal solutions is reported below.

For all non-unicost problems, we set the mutation probability $p_m = 0.1$. Comparing the GA results in modes (i) and (iii), among 37 instances, where these two modes yield different frequencies $F_{bst}$, mode (iii) has a higher value $F_{bst}$ in 31 cases and in 16 out of these 31 cases the difference is statistically significant. Mode (i) has a statistically significant advantage over mode (iii) only on a single instance. Numbers of instances where mode (i) or mode (iii) had a higher frequency of finding optima are shown in Fig. 2 (denoted “>freq”). This figure also shows the numbers of instances where these modes had statistically significant advantage (denoted “$p < 0.05$”).

Modes (ii) and (iii) show different frequencies $F_{bst}$ on 28 instances. On 16 of these instances mode (iii) has a higher value $F_{bst}$ than mode (ii) and in 5
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Fig. 1. Dynamics of parameter $r$, the number of different offspring solutions $k$ and the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\nu}^{\text{ML}}$ during 1300 iterations on instance CLR.13, until the termination condition was satisfied ($k = \hat{\nu}^{\text{ML}} = 82$). Here $r$ is reset to the population size 100 when the best incumbent is improved in iterations 359, 430, 639 and 683.

Out of these 16 cases the difference is statistically significant. Mode (ii) has a statistically significant advantage over mode (iii) only on a single instance. In terms of percentage of deviation $\sigma$, averaged over all instances of series 4-6, A,C,D and E,F,G,H, mode (iii) gives the least error.

Fig. 2. Comparison of the GA results in modes (i) and (iii)

The three modes of running the GA were also tested on two series of unicom SCP instances CLR and Stein. Again we use the population size of 100 individuals and the total budget of GA iterations, equal to 10 000 in each trial.
The mutation probability $p_m$ is set to 0.01 for all instances. On the unicost instances, restart mode (iii) shows better or equal results compared to the other two modes, except for a single instance CLR.13. On CLR.13, the best known solution is found only in mode (i) and it took more than 4000 iterations. Mode (iii) was irrelevant on this instance, which is probably due to a negative bias of the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\nu}_{ML}$ (see [4]).

5 Conclusions

For genetic algorithms, a new restart rule is proposed using the Schnabel Census Method, originally developed to estimate the number of animals in a population. Performance of the new restart rule is shown on a GA with a non-binary representation of solutions for the set cover problem. Computational experiments show a significant advantage of the GA with the new restart rule over the GA without restarting and the GA restarting as soon as the current iteration number becomes twice the number of the current iteration. The new restart rule also demonstrated the most stable behavior compared to the other two GA modes.

Applying Schnabel Census in this research is an attempt to further benefit from the convergence between computer science and biology. That interface had been crucial for evolutionary computation to emerge, but was scarcely maintained systematically afterwards. As the present research shows, developing this transdisciplinary integration can be productive.

Appendix

This appendix contains a description of the NBGA [3] for SCP. We will assume that the columns are ordered according to nondecreasing values of $c_j$-s and if two columns have equal costs then the one which covers more rows stands first.

Denote the set of columns that cover the row $i$ by $\mathcal{N}_i = \{ j \in \mathcal{N} : a_{ij} = 1 \}$. The NBGA is based on a non-binary representation where the genotype
consists of \( m \) genes \( g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \ldots, g^{(m)} \), such that \( g^{(i)} \in \mathcal{N}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). In this representation \( x(\cdot) \) maps a genotype \( g \) to the phenotype \( x(g) \), where ones correspond to the columns present in genes of \( g \). Obviously, it is a feasible solution. For local improvements we use greedy heuristics that find approximate solutions to a corresponding reduced version \( P_g \) of the given SCP. Problem \( P_g \) has a matrix that consists of the columns of \( A \) represented in genes of \( g \).

An improved genotype is added to the population only if there are no individuals with the same phenotype in it yet. Genotypes of \( \Pi_0 \) are generated independently and each gene \( g^{(i)} \) is uniformly distributed over \( \mathbb{N}_i \).

Consider the population on iteration \( t \) as a vector of genotypes of its individuals \( \Pi_t = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_s) \). Then the fitness function on iteration \( t \) is \( \Phi_t(g) = cx(g_{l(t)}) - cx(g) \), where \( l(t) \) is the index of the individual of the largest cover cost in \( \Pi_t \).

The selection operator in our GA implements the proportional selection scheme, where the probability to choose the \( k \)-th individual is

\[
p(g_k) = \Phi_t(g_k) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} \Phi_t(g_l) \right)^{-1}.
\]

**General outline of NBGA**

1. While the initial population is not complete do
   1.1. Generate a random genotype \( g \).
   1.2. Apply the column elimination procedure \( \text{Prime} \) to \( g \) and add \( g \) to the population.
2. For \( t=1 \) to \( t_{\text{max}} \) do
   2.1. Choose the parent genotypes \( g_u, g_v \) with the help of the proportional selection.
   2.2. Produce an offspring \( g' \) from \( g_u \) and \( g_v \) using a crossover operator.
   2.3. Mutate each gene of \( g \) with probability \( p_m \).
   2.4. Obtain genotype \( g' \), applying column elimination procedures \( \text{Greedy} \) and \( \text{Dual Greedy} \) to \( g \).
   2.5. If there are no individuals in \( \Pi_t \) with the phenotype \( x(g') \), then
      2.5.1. substitute the least fit genotype in \( \Pi_t \) by \( g' \),
      2.5.2. otherwise substitute the least fit genotype in \( \Pi_t \) by \( g \).

The operators of crossover, mutation and the local improvement procedures \( \text{Prime}, \text{Greedy} \) and \( \text{Dual Greedy} \) will be described in what follows.

We use an optimized crossover operator, the \( \text{LP-crossover} \), designed for SCP. The goal of this operator is to find the best possible combination of the genes of parent genotypes \( g_u \) and \( g_v \), if it is possible without extensive computations. Consider a problem of optimal crossover \( P_{oc} \), which is a reduced version of the initial SCP but with the covering subsets restricted by the set of indices \( \mathcal{N}' = \{ j : x(g_u)_j = 1 \} \cup \{ j : x(g_v)_j = 1 \} \).

First, in \( \text{LP-crossover} \) a trivial reduction is applied to \( P_{oc} \). Denote

\[
S = \{ i \in \mathcal{M} : |\mathcal{N}_i \cap \mathcal{N}'| = 1 \}.
\]
Then each row $i \in S$ may be covered by a single column $j(i)$ in $P_{oc}$. We call $Q = \{j : j = j(i), i \in S\}$ a set of fixed columns. For each $i \in \bigcup_{j \in Q} M_j$, one of the columns that cover the gene $g^{(i)}$ in $Q$ is assigned. We refer to these genes as fixed too. As a result of the reduction we obtain a new subproblem $P_r$, that consists of the rows and columns that were not fixed during this procedure.

Second, we solve the linear relaxation of $P_r$, i.e. an LP problem where the Boolean constraints are replaced with the conditions $x_j \geq 0$ for all $j$. If the obtained solution $x'$ turns out to be integer, then it is used to complete the genotype $g$ by an assignment of the non-fixed genes that corresponds to $x'$. To avoid time-consuming computations we do not solve $P_r$ if the number of rows in $P_r$ exceeds a threshold $\mu$ (we use $\mu = 150$). If this is the case or if the number of simplex iterations exceeds its limit (equal to 300 in our experiments), or if the solution $x'$ is fractional, then LP-crossover returns an unchanged genotype $g_u$.

The mutation operator works as follows: Suppose, $i$-th gene is to be mutated, then the probability to assign a column $j \in N_i$ to $g^{(i)}$ is

$$p_i(j) = \frac{1}{c_j} \left( \sum_{k \in N_i} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1}.$$

We use three greedy-type heuristics to exclude redundant columns from a solution. The most simple heuristic $Prime$ starts with a given cover and discards the columns in decreasing order of indices. A column is discarded only if the remaining solution is still a cover. The second heuristic is the well-known $Greedy$ algorithm [2]. This algorithm may find a solution which is not minimal, therefore $Prime$ is run after it to eliminate redundant columns. The third heuristic we call the $Dual Greedy$. It combines the successive columns discarding of $Prime$ and the adaptive columns pricing similar to $Greedy$. Denote the set of columns in the subproblem $P_g$ by $N' := \{j \in N : x(g)_j = 1\}$. A cover $J$ is obtained as follows.

**The Dual Greedy Algorithm**

1. Set $N'_i := N_i \cap N'$ for all $i = 1, ..., m$, $M' := M$, $J' := N'$, $J := \emptyset$.
2. While $J' \neq \emptyset$ do
   2.1. If there is $i \in M'$ such that $|N'_i| = 1$, then using the $j \in N'_i$, set $J := J \cup \{j\}$, $M' := M' \setminus (M_j \cap M')$.
   Otherwise choose $j \in J'$ such that $\frac{c_j}{|M_j \cap M'|} \geq \frac{c_k}{|M_k \cap M'|}$ for all $k \in J'$.
   2.2. Set $J' := J' \setminus \{j\}$, $N'_i := N'_i \setminus \{j\}$ for all $i \in M_j$.

In Step 1.2 of NBGA we use the $Prime$ algorithm, while $Greedy$ and $Dual Greedy$ are used in Step 2.4 of NBGA (both heuristics are called and the best of the two obtained solutions is accepted to construct a new genotype $g'$).

Before solving the non-unicost problems (where $c_j$ have different values) we apply a core-refining reduction which is similar to the approach used in [1]. The
reduction keeps only the columns belonging to the set \( \mathcal{N}_{\text{core}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^{(10)} \), were \( \alpha_i^{(10)} \) is the set of the least 10 indices in \( \mathcal{N}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).
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