# SoC opportunities for boosting SDR GNSS performance

A A Kumarin<sup>1</sup>, I A Kudryavtsev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Samara National Research University, Moskovskoe Shosse, 34A, Samara, Russia, 443086

e-mail: alky\_samara@mail.ru

**Abstract.** Software-defined-radio (SDR) becomes an attractive technique for the development of GNSS receivers due to universal hardware and high flexibility. However, the performance of signal processing can be a challenging task. Real-time mode implementation requires fast floating point calculations in several threads, not available for most part of embedded systems. This paper describes the system-on-chip based device drastically increasing computational performance. A summary of computational complexity of each stage of GNSS receiver is provided and several particular solutions are proposed.

#### **1. Introduction**

Modern global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) such as GPS, GLONASS and Galileo allow users to obtain positioning accuracy of about several meters using relatively simple receiver. However, there are many areas, where either better accuracy or special measurements are required [1]. In addition, GNSS signal structure is being modified permanently. A software defined radio (SDR) based receiver may be a solution, providing flexibility and advantages of combined usage of several systems. SDR usually has a universal RF-front-end capable of handling different types of signals in different bands, however, this simplicity requires complex software signal processing. One of the problems is the necessity to execute all the computations in real time mode.

In a typical SDR-based GNSS receiver signal acquisition and tracking are performed independently for each available satellite and each processed signal type e.g. C/A code, L2C, L5 etc. It implies significant computational burden, motivating engineers to seek efficient methods of hardware and/ or software implementations.

Maintaining real-time computations in software is a challenging task. Using generalpurpose microprocessor is a relatively expensive and power consuming solution. A less power consuming option is using digital signal processor (DSP). However, both aforementioned solutions are limited by the number of parallel threads. Field programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) allow performance and implementation boosting computational of many parallel channels. Thus, this paper presents an attempt of using system-on-chip (SoC) to build a GNSS receiver. It becomes an attractive platform nowadays [2]. A typical SoC is a combination of FPGA and a hardware processor system (HPS), allowing parallel acquisition and/or tracking and sequential computation of coordinates. The analysis if recent publications [3-7] shows, that SoC is considered to be used for SDR-based GNSS receivers, however most of the authors use FPGA parts of their SoCs to synthesize additional cores rather than to design their own implementation of computational modules. Another problem is that SoC's FPGA part is not as large as of FPGA-only solutions. Therefore, most of the researches use highend devices such as Intel Arria-10 or implement just a fraction of the acquisition module. Other authors consider only using FPGA [8-9]. It is our belief that only combining FPGA and HPS opportunities an effective solution can be achieved.

### 2. Algorithm overview

NSS signal acquisition usually involves search of a correlation peak in a 2D array, containing time delay and Doppler frequency. The frequency dimension is usually about 40 cells for rough acquisition. In every frequency cell it is necessary to perform several FFT and IFFT calculations of several thousand points length. Is is a heavy computational load and we need a powerful floating point computational unit to execute it in an appropriate time period [10-11].

Signal tracking algorithm includes scalar product calculation for more than 20 thousand complex elements-long arrays. It can take over 80% of operational time and it is important to note that we have to repeat it for every period of PRN sequence in order to maintain continuous tracking. Position calculation usually uses least squares method to assess position vector. It also involves some data corrections and auxiliary conversions.

# 3. Serial algorithm performance

Finding the best way to reduce computation time, is to optimize the part of the algorithm consuming the most amount of processor time. For that purpose, a performance profiler tool of Visual Studio has been used on C++ GNSS receiver implementation, designed in this study based on [12]. The acquisition stage and the tracking stage have been assessed. Assuming the "worst case scenario" with minimum of tracking of four satellites performed every 1ms and acquisition performed once per second on 32 channels, with position computed 10 times per second, tracking stage takes about 87% of processing time. In other cases, it would take even more time. Thus, boosting and parallelizing tracking is the primary task.

The tracking algorithm consists of preparation and the main loop. In the main loop there is trigonometric function (SINCOS) computation, value scaling and correlation computation. Finally, after the loop processing there is the frequency correction procedure based on the correlation value. Performance profiling indicates that computations outside the loop take negligible CPU time. The load distribution is shown in the diagram (figure 1). The correlation computation and the scaling are relatively simple and takes that much processor time probably due to cache issues. Sine and cosine computation on the other hand is a complex task and is discussed further in this paper.



Figure 1. Serial algorithm processing time distribution: a) the whole algorithm, b) tracking only.

# 4. Possible architectures and details of implementation

There are several possible architectures in this study. Using only FPGA or only HPS is not considered. The simplest solution is creating custom FPGA-based operation modules to expand the main assembler instruction set (figure 2a). For example, matrix operations can be implemented. As mentioned, position calculation uses least squares method which involves linearized equation system solving. It's described by the formula:

$$A^T A x = A^T b \tag{1}$$

This task requires LU, QR or similar algorithms requiring much CPU time. Executing such algorithm using FPGA frees the HPS for performing other tasks.



Figure 2. Possible SoC GNSS receiver architecture.

In the second architecture (figure 2b) the entire tracking stage is implemented in an FPGA. In this case HPS executes signal acquisition and position computation. After the signal is acquired, its parameters are transferred into a tracking module following signal changes as long as it is available. If the signal is lost, the module sets a flag making the HPS to try reacquisition. As soon as enough navigation data is available, the HPS starts position calculating. It may be assisted by FPGA-executed instructions.

The third architecture (figure 2c) performs both acquisition and tracking in FPGA. The acquisition module constantly tries to acquire all available signals, which are not found yet. Acquired signals are automatically tracked by the tracking modules. These modules may use assistance of the HPS to perform serial algorithms, though this may slow down the process. When there is enough data, the position calculation algorithm is performed by the HPS. It can be assisted by FPGA-based operations.

#### 5. Possible tracking solutions

Since the tracking stage is the heaviest computational load, this paper proposes using the second architecture (figure 2b). Tracking has the structure shown on schematic diagram (figure 3).



Figure 3. Tracking stage.

Figure 4. CORDIC rotators connected in series.

The most challenging task is the implementation of trigonometric functions computing in the carrier generator. In this paper a modified CORDIC algorithm has been developed for that purpose. It computes new values based on previous ones. Considering the arguments are supplied in arithmetic progression, with the small difference between adjacent steps. Thus, there is no need to rotate vector by big angles and number of iterations can be reduced. For example, for the difference of 1° number of iterations can be reduced by 6. The computation module is built as a combination of rotator modules as shown in figure 4. The rotator internal structure, generated by Quartus Prime RTL Viewer tool from developed Verilog code is shown in figure 5.



Figure 5. CORDIC rotator internal structure.

# 6. Discussion

The first architecture is the simplest to implement by a software engineer. It can be as close as needed to processor-only version and has the same logic. It does not require a very sophisticated SoC. The performance gain of this architecture depends on FPGA-based operations set and their implementation. For example, calculating the sum of more than 20 thousand complex numbers elements for each signal in every 1ms period of the signal is a heavy computational load and takes about 80% of time. This task could be executed in parallel by FPGA, drastically reducing operational time. The number of adders, that can be synthesized is limited only by the quantity of available logic cells. However, the HPS is still heavily loaded by signal gathering which have to be done with relatively low latency due to the limited input data buffers' capacity.

The second architecture allows much more freedom for HPS because tracking is executed without involving processor core. It allows the HPS to perform other important tasks, not necessarily navigational. The position calculation routine is typically called once per second. Reacquisition and search of new signals are not frequent tasks either. Thus, this architecture allows using HPS as a system CPU, not just for positioning.

The third architecture allows executing heavy computational loads other than navigation. Moreover, the HPS can postpone its navigational tasks in favor of more urgent tasks without substantial loss of performance. However, such architecture requires much more logic cells, which implies using high-consuming FPGAs, which could be inacceptable sometimes.

In each architecture it should be taken into account, that FPGA is limited in using floating point arithmetic. Some FPGA provide DSP latches, which contain hardware adders and multipliers. However, the number of such latches is limited. In addition, operations like root, sine, cosine etc. should be implemented in logic cell usage-efficient way. For example, CORDIC can be used. Thus, the developer should choose the architecture based on the amount of available logic cells, channel number and latency requirements.

Since the choice of the most appropriate architecture depends on the number of channels, complexity of the signal processing algorithms and the power of used HPS and programmable logic, it looks reasonable to compare software and hardware implementations of the critical computational blocks. The most time-consuming part, which has to be executed cyclically to avoid data loss, is tracking. In fact, the main task of tracking is to monitor Doppler frequency and code delay changes with subsequent determination of bit boundaries. In most cases, position calculation is usually performed in the moments when a new data word is received and decoded. The idea of the algorithm, used for GPS, is the following:

- 1. Calculation of IP/QP, IL/QL, IE/QE for a chunk of data, equal at least to one signal period (1ms);
- 2. Correction of the phase and frequency of local code and carrier generators;
- 3. Determination of a phase change on the bit boundary (if any) on every 20<sup>th</sup> signal period;

4. Collection of the received bits with grouping them into words with parity check;

5. Collection of the words in data blocks with subsequent data extraction;

6. Preparing data for a pseudorange calculation.

These steps are performed every signal period, however, steps 3-6 are performed relatively rare, thus the main computational burden is confined in the steps 1 and 2. To demonstrate  $C^{++}$  implementation, used by the authors it is reasonable to look only at the most "heavy" loop, shown in Fig. 7.

```
for (unsigned int i = 0; i < Size; i++)</pre>
{
                                           // Elementary phase
          Tm += Mult;
          cosT = cos(Tm);
          sinT = sin(Tm);
          V = Datt[i].I;
                                           // Data[] is an array of data samples
          W = -Datt[i].Q;
                                           // I and Q are real and imaginary parts
          ICON = cosT*V - W*sinT;
          QCON = sinT*V + W*cosT;
          TauCode += CodeTau;
                                           // Data spacing for the code dicriminator
          Tx = TauCode - Spacing;
          if (Tx < 0) Tx = 1023.0 + Tx;
          if (C_A[(unsigned int)Tx] == 1){
                    IE += ICON;
                    QE += QCON;
          }else{
                    IE -= ICON;
                    QE -= QCON;
          }
          ... To save space here, similar operations with IP/QP and IL/QL are omitted
}
```

Figure 7. Code fragment of the main part of tracking implementation.

It could be seen that the main computational load here is concentrated in sine and cosine calculation. It is worth to notice that we use floating-point variables of the double size. Intel did not modify the implementation of sine and cosine computation for a long time and the only acceleration, which we can achieve here, is a combined cosine/sine computation, using *fsincos* instruction [13]. This instruction takes about 100-200 CPU cycles depending on an architecture and argument type. Variable size in the experiment varies in the range from 30000 to 50000 depending on sampling frequency of RF Front-End. Intel CPU implementation of the code above could involve some lowlevel improvements of the code, for example, using SIMD extensions allows parallel execution of arithmetic operations for IP/QP and other variables. We do not discuss here cash memory issues, like false sharing and other similar ones. Using debugging tools, one can see that these sine/cosine computations takes about 70-80% of the whole time, thus it is reasonable to select this operation and accelerate it using hardware implementation. This paper considers using coordinate rotation digital computer (CORDIC) algorithm [14]. It allows simultaneous sine and cosine computation without explicit multiplication operations. Since the angle changing step remains constant during cycle (figure 5), an optimized algorithm can be used: the microrotation directions can be calculated once before executing main loop. Thus, proposed FPGA implementation scheme is shown in figure 3 and 4.

Each iteration increases the accuracy. The result is provided on the adder/subtractor output. The number of iterations usually equals to the desired result size s. Therefore, serial computation requires about s times *Size* cycles.

It has to be noted that FPGA allows pipeline implementation of this algorithm. The latency of the CORDIC would be about s + 2 due to the necessity of scaling the output data. The overall cycle iteration duration is estimated as 5 cycles in pipeline implementation. Serial computation uses about 20 macro operations or about 230 cycles. This makes the operational time of 50MHz FPGA execution comparable to 2.3 GHz CPU serial execution in a single thread. A CPU implementation typically uses sequential tracking channel-after-channel or using several processor cores in parallel. The number of available cores is usually less than required number of tracking channels, that reduces overall performance. FPGA, on the contrary, relatively easily provides any required number of processing modules even for multisystem GNSS receivers. This boosts computation performance drastically, allowing the HPS to perform other tasks.

Thus, FPGA implementation and partial execution of certain GNSS receiver algorithms assists HPS, allowing reducing computational load in comparison with a CPU-only solution. Multichannel pipeline architecture allows fast execution of the heaviest parts of the algorithm.

# 7. References

[1] Shafran S V, Gizatulova E A, Kudryavtsev I A 2018 Snapshot technology in GNSS receivers 25th Saint Petersburg International Conference on Integrated Navigation Systems 1-3

[2] Guruprasad S 2016 Feature Article: Design and Implementation of a Low-Cost SoC-Based *Software GNSS Receiver* **10** 14-19

[3] Wang B S 2011 A new solution to GNSS receiver baseband signal processing SoC platform based on OpenRISC processor 7th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, WiCOM 1-4

[4] Wang E 2008 Implementation of an Embedded GPS Receiver Based on FPGA and Micro Blaze *Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing. Dalian, China* 2008-2011

[5] Fridman A, Semenov S 2013 System-on-Chip FPGA-based GNSS receiver *Proceedings of IEEE East-West Design and Test Symposium, EWDTS* 1-7

[6] Kappen G, Noll T G 2006 Application Specific Instruction Processor Based Implementation of a GNSS Receiver on an FPGA *Proceedings of the Design Automation & Test in Europe Conference* 2-7
[7] Namassivaya N, Pal S and Ratnam D V 2018 Design of Software-based Global Navigation

Satellite System Receiver for Satellite Applications IETE J. Res. (Taylor & Francis) 1-10

[8] Engel F 2004 An Open GNSS Receiver Platform Architecture J. Glob. Position. Syst. 3(1-2) 63-69
[9] Rodriguez S 2017 Design of a dual-antenna and dual-band GPS receiver for CubeSats IEEE URUCON (Montevideo, Uruguay) 1-4

[10] Psiaki M L 2001 Block Acquisition of Weak GPS Signals in a Software Receiver *Proceedings of ION GPS* 1-13

[11] Guruprasad S 2016 Design and Implementation of a Low-Cost SoC-Based Software GNSS Receiver *IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine* **31(4)** 14-19

[12] Borre K *Easy Suite* URL: https://ssau.ru/english/easysuite/ (27.06.2019)

[13] Agner Fog Lists of instruction latencies, throughputs and micro-operation breakdowns for Intel, AMD and VIA CPUs URL: www.agner.org/optimize/ (01.02.2019)

[14] Meher P K, Member S and Park S Y 2013 CORDIC Designs for Fixed Angle of Rotation *IEEE* transactions on very large scale integration systems **21(2)** 217-228