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Abstract¹

We summarize the AAAI-20 Workshop on Artificial Intelligence Safety (SafeAI 2020), held at the Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence on February 7, New York, USA.

Introduction

Safety in Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly becoming a substantial aspect of AI research, deeply intertwined with the ethical, legal and societal issues associated with AI systems. Even if AI safety is considered a design principle, there are varying levels of safety, diverse sets of ethical standards and values, and varying degrees of liability, for which we need to deal with trade-offs or alternative solutions. These choices can only be analyzed holistically if we integrate technological and ethical perspectives into the engineering problem, considering both the theoretical and practical challenges of AI safety. This view must cover a wide range of AI paradigms, considering systems that are application-specific, and also those that are more general, which may lead to unanticipated risks. We must bridge the short-term with the long-term perspectives, idealistic goals with pragmatic solutions, operational with policy issues, and industry with academia, in order to build, evaluate, deploy, operate and maintain AI-based systems that are truly safe.

The AAAI-20 Workshop on Artificial Intelligence Safety (SafeAI 2020) seeks to explore new ideas in AI safety with a particular focus on addressing the following questions:

- What is the status of existing approaches for ensuring AI and Machine Learning (ML) safety and what are the gaps?
- How can we engineer trustworthy AI software architectures?
- How can we make AI-based systems more ethically aligned?
- What safety engineering considerations are required to develop safe human-machine interaction?

¹ Copyright © 2020 for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).
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• What AI safety considerations and experiences are relevant to industry?
• How can we characterize or evaluate AI systems according to their potential risks and vulnerabilities?
• How can we develop solid technical visions and new paradigms about AI safety?
• How do metrics of capability and generality, and trade-offs with performance, affect safety?

These are the main topics of the series of SafeAI workshops. They aim to achieve a holistic view of AI and safety engineering, taking ethical and legal issues into account, in order to build trustworthy intelligent autonomous machines. The first edition of SafeAI was held in January 27, 2019, in Honolulu, Hawaii (USA) as part of the Thirty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-19). This second edition was co-located with the Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence on February 7, New York, USA.¹

Program

The Program Committee (PC) received 45 submissions. Each paper was peer-reviewed by at least two PC members, by following a single-blind reviewing process. The committee decided to accept 13 full papers, 2 talks and 15 posters, resulting in a full-paper acceptance rate of 29% and an overall acceptance rate of 67%.

The SafeAI 2019 program was organized in five thematic sessions, one keynote and two (invited) talks. We also included a short update report on the AI Safety Landscape Initiative, and poster pitches.

The thematic sessions followed a highly interactive format. They were structured into short pitches and a group debate panel slot to discuss both individual paper contributions and shared topic issues. Three specific roles were part of this format: session chairs, presenters and session discussants.

- **Session Chairs** introduced sessions and participants. The Chair moderated sessions and plenary discussions, monitored time, and moderated questions and discussions from the audience.
- **Presenters** gave a 10-minute paper talk and participated in the debate slot.
- **Session Discussants** gave a critical review of the session papers, and participated in the plenary debate.

Papers were grouped by topic as follows:

---

¹ The workshop was preceding by an independent, but related, day on the AI Safety Landscape (https://www.ai-safety.org/ai-safety-landscape). This event aimed to define an AI safety landscape: a “view” of the current needs, challenges and state of the art and the practice of this field, as a key step towards developing a body of knowledge for AI safety.

---

**Session 1: Adversarial Machine Learning**

- Bio-Inspired Adversarial Attack Against Deep Neural Networks, Bowei Xi, Yujie Chen, Fei Fan, Zhan Tu and Xinyan Deng.
- Nothing to See Here: Hiding Model Biases by Fooling Post hoc Explanation Methods, Dylan Slack, Sophie Hildard, Emily Jia, Sameer Singh and Himabindu Lakkaraju.

**Session 2: Assurance Cases for AI-based Systems**


**Session 3: Considerations for the AI Safety Landscape**

- Founding the Domain of AI Forensics, Vahid Behzadan and Ibrahim Baggili.
- Exploring AI Safety in Degrees: Generality, Capability and Control, John Burden and José Hernández-Orallo.

**Session 4: Fairness and Bias**

- A Study on Multimodal and Interactive Explanations for Visual Question Answering, Kamran Alipour, Jurgen P. Schulze, Yi Yao, Avi Ziskind and Giedrius Burachas.
- Models can be Learned to Conceal Unfairness from Explanation Methods, Botty Dimanov, Umang Bhatt, Mateja Jamnik and Adrian Weller.

**Session 5: Uncertainty and Safe AI**

- A Saddle-Point Dynamical System Approach for Robust Deep Learning, Yasaman Esfandiari, Keivan Ebrahimi, Aditya Balu, Umesh Vaidya, Nicola Elia and Soumik Sarkar.
• PURSS: Towards Perceptual Uncertainty Aware Responsibility Sensitive Safety with ML, Rick Salay, Krzysztof Czarnecki, Maria Elll, Igancio Alvarez, Sean Sedwards and Jack Weast.

SafeAI was pleased to have several additional researchers as invited speakers:

Keynote
• Ece Kamar (Microsoft Research AI), AI in the Open World: Discovering Blind Spots of AI.

Invited Talks
• François Terrier (Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique), Considerations for Evolutionary Qualification of Safety-Critical Systems with AI-based Components
• Sameer Singh (University of California, Irving), Evaluating and Testing Natural Language Processing Systems

Posters were presented with 2-minute pitches. Most posters have also been included as short papers within this volume.

Posters
• “How do I fool you?”: Manipulating User Trust via Misleading Black Box Explanations, Himabindu Lakkaraju and Osbert Bastani.
• Assessing the Adversarial Robustness of Monte Carlo and Distillation Methods for Deep Bayesian Neural Network Classification, Meet Vadera, Satya Narayan Shukla, Brian Jalaian and Benjamin Marlin.
• Fair Representation for Safe Artificial Intelligence via Adversarial Learning of Unbiased Information Bottleneck, Jin-Young Kim and Sung-Bae Cho.
• SafeLife 1.0: Exploring Side Effects in Complex Environments, Carroll Wainwright and Peter Eckersley.
• (When) Is Truth-telling Favored in AI Debate?, Vojtech Kovarik and Ryan Carey.
• Continuous Safe Learning Based on First Principles and Constraints for Autonomous Driving, Lifeng Liu, Yingxuan Zhu and Jian Li.
• The Incentives that Shape Behavior, Ryan Carey, Eric Langlois, Tom Everett and Shane Legg.
• Recurrent Neural Network Properties and their Verification with Monte Carlo Techniques, Dmitry Vengertsev and Elena Sherman.
• Toward Operational Safety Verification Via Hybrid Automata Mining Using I/O Traces of AI-Enabled CPS, Imane Lamrani, Ayan Banerjee and Sandeep Gupta.
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