

# Analyzing Wikidata Transclusion on English Wikipedia

Isaac Johnson

Wikimedia Foundation [isaac@wikimedia.org](mailto:isaac@wikimedia.org)

**Abstract.** Wikidata is steadily becoming more central to Wikipedia, not just in maintaining interlanguage links, but in automated population of content within the articles themselves. It is not well understood, however, how widespread this transclusion of Wikidata content is within Wikipedia. This work presents a taxonomy of Wikidata transclusion from the perspective of its potential impact on readers and an associated in-depth analysis of Wikidata transclusion within English Wikipedia. It finds that Wikidata transclusion that impacts the content of Wikipedia articles happens at a much lower rate (5%) than previous statistics had suggested (61%). Recommendations are made for how to adjust current tracking mechanisms of Wikidata transclusion to better support metrics and patrollers in their evaluation of Wikidata transclusion.

**Keywords:** Wikidata · Wikipedia · Patrolling

## 1 Introduction

Wikidata is steadily becoming more central to Wikipedia, not just in maintaining interlanguage links, but in automated population of content within the articles themselves. This transclusion of Wikidata content within Wikipedia can help to reduce maintenance of certain facts and links by shifting the burden to maintain up-to-date, referenced material from each individual Wikipedia to a single repository, Wikidata.

Current best estimates suggest that, as of August 2020, 62% of Wikipedia articles across all languages transclude Wikidata content. This statistic ranges from Arabic Wikipedia (arwiki) and Basque Wikipedia (euwiki), where nearly 100% of articles transclude Wikidata content in some form, to Japanese Wikipedia (jawiki) at 38% of articles and many small wikis that lack any Wikidata transclusion. English Wikipedia, the largest language edition, sits in the middle at 61% articles having some form of Wikidata transclusion.<sup>1</sup>

The challenge in interpreting these numbers, however, is that they are based on a database table called `wbc_entity_usage`<sup>2</sup> that was not built to provide insight

<sup>1</sup> <https://wmdeanalytics.wmflabs.org/WD-percentUsageDashboard/>

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Wikibase/Schema/wbc\\_entity\\_usage](https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Wikibase/Schema/wbc_entity_usage)

Copyright © 2020 for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

into the nature of Wikidata transclusion. Specifically, the table serves to support the Mediawiki software in updating its cache of rendered Wikipedia articles when updates are made to transcluded Wikidata items. In many cases, however, the data is very coarse and gives little indication as to how the Wikidata content appears in the Wikipedia article. Anecdotally, Portuguese Wikipedia (ptwiki) has a featured list article<sup>3</sup> that is generated purely from Wikidata content using a template<sup>4</sup> and many languages make extensive use of Wikidata-powered infoboxes whereas, by consensus,<sup>5</sup> transclusion of Wikidata into infoboxes and article content in English Wikipedia (enwiki) is quite restricted.

The goal of this research is to contextualize the coarse Wikidata transclusion numbers so as to better understand the diversity of ways in which Wikidata is being used within Wikipedia and how these different ways might impact Wikipedia readers. Informed by design decisions and community consensus, a taxonomy of Wikidata transclusion is developed and applied to English Wikipedia via a mixture of qualitative coding and quantitative analyses. Specifically, this research makes the following contributions:

- **Taxonomy of transclusion:** a framework is provided to categorize different types of Wikidata transclusion based upon their perceived potential impact on readers.
- **Analysis of transclusion on English Wikipedia:** it is determined that the vast majority of the 61% of articles with evidence of Wikidata transclusion on English Wikipedia can be classified as low-importance and only 5% of articles on English Wikipedia have medium- or high-importance Wikidata transclusion.

## 2 Background

Relatively little research has focused on how Wikidata is transcluded within Wikipedia, with most of the focus of studies of Wikidata (outside of the large body of work building tools with Wikidata) either being on Wikidata as an isolated entity—e.g., detecting vandalism on Wikidata [8], estimating item completeness [1]—or studying the distribution of knowledge across languages via labels and Wikipedia sitelinks [3,4]. While this past research is not directly related to the question of in what ways Wikidata is transcluded in Wikipedia articles, the results of this analysis do provide insight into one measure of a Wikidata item’s importance, a relevant aspect of understanding completeness and prioritizing work such as vandalism detection. It has been noted, as well, that Wikidata transclusion introduces challenges to Wikipedia editors ability to patrol changes to articles [6].

<sup>3</sup> [https://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lista\\_de\\_mortos\\_e\\_desaparecidos\\_pol%C3%ADticos\\_na\\_ditadura\\_militar\\_brasileira](https://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lista_de_mortos_e_desaparecidos_pol%C3%ADticos_na_ditadura_militar_brasileira)

<sup>4</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Template:Wikidata\\_list](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Template:Wikidata_list)

<sup>5</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Requests\\_for\\_comment/Wikidata\\_Phase\\_2](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Requests_for_comment/Wikidata_Phase_2)

## 2.1 Wikidata Transclusion

Wikidata transclusion is tracked via the `wbc_entity_usage` database table<sup>6</sup>, the intention of which is to keep the cache of parsed Wikipedia articles up-to-date. It thus tracks which Wikidata items, and which aspects of these items, are transcluded in Wikipedia articles. It is based on what Wikidata content is requested when rendering a Wikipedia article, however, which is a superset of what Wikidata content actually is transcluded (and displayed) in an article.

There are a variety of mechanisms through which Wikidata transclusion happens within Wikipedia articles such as templates that depend on Lua modules or more specific parser calls.<sup>7</sup> While the end result should not depend on the method employed, the exact method used does determine how the transclusion is recorded in the `wbc_entity_usage` table. In particular, whereas the specific parser calls often allow the table to record the specific statements used within an article, the more general Lua modules often trigger a much broader usage aspect (“some statements”) that obscures which particular properties are being transcluded.

Calculating which articles have some form of Wikidata transclusion through the `wbc_entity_usage` table, then, is a very coarse measure of what Wikidata content is actually included in a Wikipedia article. Improving the insights that can be gleaned from this table would require substantial reengineering of how the table works. This research, however, does provide some insight into how to interpret the data in this table as well as offer an alternative, richer, though less automatic, framework for evaluating Wikidata transclusion within Wikipedia.

## 3 Methods

### 3.1 Taxonomy of Wikidata Transclusion

Not all forms of Wikidata transclusion have the same potential impact. In this research, impact was defined as how strongly it is believed a reader could be affected if the content that was transcluded was incorrect. There are many alternative definitions—e.g., impact on editors’ backlog to maintain Wikipedia—that would undoubtedly lead to different classifications. This definition was chosen as it informs how important it is for patrollers to be aware of the transclusion and track changes to the content [6].

Instances of Wikidata transclusion was classified as either high, medium, or low importance—i.e. impact—depending on three different criteria:

- **Platform:** does the transclusion show up on all platforms—i.e. desktop, mobile web, and Android/iOS apps—or only in certain form factors? Transclusion that shows up on more platforms has a higher potential for impact because more readers can see it. English Wikipedia (like most other language

<sup>6</sup> [https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Wikibase/Schema/wbc\\_entity\\_usage](https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Wikibase/Schema/wbc_entity_usage)

<sup>7</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Wikidata#Inserting\\_Wikidata\\_values\\_into\\_Wikipedia\\_articles](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Wikidata#Inserting_Wikidata_values_into_Wikipedia_articles)

editions) is largely split between desktop and mobile web readers with only a small percentage of pageviews coming from the apps.<sup>8</sup>

- **Salience**: when transclusion appears, where on the page does it appear and how obvious is it? Content that appears at the top of articles is more likely to be interacted with by readers versus content that appears at the end of articles [2] and thus is more impactful. Links generated by templates generally have low click-through rates [5].
- **Reader impact if viewed**: finally, assuming the reader sees the content, what is the potential impact? Is it a fact that might affect their perception of the topic [7,9], a link that could lead to offensive content or disinformation such as media repositories that might contain offensive images, a link that could lead to incorrect content but points to a well-curated knowledge base such as VIAF (libraries) or GNIS (geography) and would be likely recognized as incorrect if followed and not be offensive, or is it just a hidden tracking category<sup>9</sup> for the article that assists in maintenance of the wiki but has no relevance to the reader?

Table 1 shows the main types of templates that were encountered on English Wikipedia and how they were coded according to the above taxonomy.

Use-case	Platform	Salience	Impact	Importance	Usage	Example Templates
Infobox	All	High	High	High	Low	Ib person Wikidata; Ib company
External Links	All	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	IMDb title; Commons category
Search Descriptions	App	High	High	Low	High	Default without shortdesc
Metadata	Desktop	Low	Low	Low	High	Authority control; Taxonbar
Tracking Categories	Desktop	Low	Low	Low	High	Birth date; Coord (when hard-coded)
References	All	Low	Low	Low	Medium	USGS gazetteer; Cite Q

**Table 1.** Overview of the primary forms in which Wikidata transclusion happens on English Wikipedia along with how each use-case is coded for its importance to readers. “Ib” stands for “Infobox”. Usage refers to roughly how often each use-case appears in English Wikipedia based on the results of this study.

<sup>8</sup> [https://stats.wikimedia.org/#/en.wikipedia.org/reading/total-page-views/normal|bar|2-year|access~desktop\\*mobile-app\\*mobile-web|monthly](https://stats.wikimedia.org/#/en.wikipedia.org/reading/total-page-views/normal|bar|2-year|access~desktop*mobile-app*mobile-web|monthly)

<sup>9</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia: Categorization#Wikipedia\\_administrative\\_categories](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia: Categorization#Wikipedia_administrative_categories)

### 3.2 Qualitative Coding

One hundred random articles on English Wikipedia were chosen and evaluated to determine what, if any, templates were being recorded as transcluding Wikidata content in the article and categorized into the transclusion categories (low-, medium-, high-importance) described above.<sup>10</sup> An article was categorized with its highest level of transclusion—e.g., an article with a low-importance transclusion and high-importance transclusion would be coded as high-importance transclusion. Only a single researcher evaluated the data given the time-intensive nature of the coding. While there is in theory only a single correct answer for what templates are triggering Wikidata transclusion, in practice it can be difficult to trace back the calls made by templates to determine whether they might be causing the transclusion listed within the `wbc_entity_usage` table. The articles were evaluated in their current form on 31 July 2020.

### 3.3 Quantitative Verification

The final step was to verify the representativeness of the sample of articles coded and prototype an approach to continuing to track Wikidata transclusion without having to re-code a new sample of articles. Specifically, for the templates that were identified as major sources of Wikidata transclusion, a Python script was developed that would detect usage of these templates in wikitext dumps<sup>11</sup> and determine whether the template was actually transcluding content or just generating tracking categories based on a series of heuristics.<sup>12</sup> This included two common metadata templates (Template:Authority control and Template:Taxonbar), all templates that generate external links from Wikidata<sup>13</sup> as they follow a very similar pattern, and the templates for inserting latitude/longitude coordinates (Template:Coord) and birth date (Template:Birth date and age) as very common Wikidata templates. Redirects to these templates were also included. Infobox templates were not included in this script as there are approximately 100 with varying but low usage<sup>14</sup> and each template has its own specific parameters that control Wikidata transclusion. The 1 August 2020 wikitext dumps were used for this evaluation.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>10</sup> The data from the `wbc_entity_usage` table can be easily viewed from within the article in the “Page information” tab under “Wikidata entities used in this page”.

<sup>11</sup> <https://github.com/geohci/wikidata-transclusion>

<sup>12</sup> Alternative strategies such as selectively ablating templates from a page in a test environment to see how content and tracking changes would be excessively complex because most templates are written to use the Wikidata item of the article containing them and so cannot be evaluated outside of the context of the article they are in.

<sup>13</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:External\\_link\\_templates\\_using\\_Wikidata](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:External_link_templates_using_Wikidata)

<sup>14</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Infobox\\_templates\\_using\\_Wikidata](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Infobox_templates_using_Wikidata)

<sup>15</sup> <https://dumps.wikimedia.org/enwiki/20200801/enwiki-20200801-pages-articles.xml.bz2>

## 4 Results

As a baseline, the `wbc_entity_usage` table indicates as of August 2020 that 61% of articles on English Wikipedia include some form of Wikidata transclusion.<sup>16</sup> In line with this statistic, from the 100 article sample selected to be evaluated (full data in Table 2 in the Appendix), 59 had some form of Wikidata transclusion recorded in the `wbc_entity_usage` table.

For those 59 articles with some evidence of Wikidata transclusion, however, only 5 had medium- or high-importance Wikidata transclusion on further inspection. Specifically, 2 articles had Wikidata-powered infobox templates, 3 articles had Wikidata-powered external link templates, 21 articles just had metadata templates, and 33 articles just had Wikidata tracking categories. Overlapping with these categories, 54 of the 100 articles did not override the Wikidata description for use in article previews in Search on the official iOS and Android apps.<sup>17</sup> This form of transclusion is not tracked by the `wbc_entity_usage` table though.

The quantitative evaluation supported the validity of the transclusion rates seen in the qualitative coding. From all of English Wikipedia (6,125,693 articles), 3% of articles had external link templates that transcluded Wikidata (only 47.5% of the 3,132,833 instances found of external link templates that could transclude Wikidata did while the rest provided hard-coded parameters and thus only served to generate tracking categories), 29% of articles had metadata templates<sup>18</sup>, and 27% of articles were found to just have tracking categories generated via Wikidata templates. For instance, out of the 1,841,505 times in which the coordinates template (displays latitude-longitude coordinates in an article with a link to a map) appears in English Wikipedia, it only uses Wikidata to provide coordinates 2,730 times (0.1%) and the rest of the template instances have latitude-longitude coordinates hard-coded.<sup>19</sup>

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 Tracking Wikidata Transclusion

This analysis indicates that the prior measure of Wikidata transclusion in English Wikipedia (61%) both greatly underestimates and overestimates Wikidata transclusion. Transclusion that affects the content of articles (high- and medium-importance) was determined to be 5% of articles, indicating that 61% is far too

<sup>16</sup> [https://wmdeanalytics.wmflabs.org/WD\\_percentUsageDashboard/](https://wmdeanalytics.wmflabs.org/WD_percentUsageDashboard/)

<sup>17</sup> [https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/API:Page\\_info\\_in\\_search\\_results](https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/API:Page_info_in_search_results)

<sup>18</sup> This actually tracks closely to the 21% statistic from the qualitative evaluation as that number is for articles that only had metadata templates but an additional 3% of articles were found to have metadata templates and higher-importance templates.

<sup>19</sup> This is likely the result of English Wikidata determining that existing information should not be overwritten with Wikidata data: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Requests\\_for\\_comment/Wikidata\\_Phase\\_2](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Requests_for_comment/Wikidata_Phase_2)

high of a measure for these types. On the other hand, usage of the Wikidata description in the mobile apps has not been previously tracked and so this 61% number does exclude some articles that are indeed using Wikidata descriptions within the context of the Wikipedia apps.

Metrics that track how well-incorporated Wikidata is into Wikipedia articles will need more nuanced approaches that capture these distinctions. Two fixes would make a huge impact. First, if standard statements—e.g., date of birth—were tracked separately from identifiers—e.g., VIAF, GNIS IDs—this would separate out metadata templates. This analysis found that metadata templates account for much of Wikidata transclusion. They speak to Wikidata’s value as a linked data repository but are a very different use-case than transclusion of content like date of birth or coordinates. Secondly, transclusion that merely generates tracking categories—e.g., Category:Date of birth not in Wikidata—could be tracked separately from transclusion that inserts content into the page.

Together, these two changes would greatly improve the ease with which the different importance-levels of Wikidata transclusion could be tracked without in-depth qualitative coding wiki by wiki. This would both support more nuanced metrics of Wikidata transclusion—e.g., for tracking progress—but also support much more nuanced filters for patrollers of changes to Wikidata that might affect articles on their watchlist. Currently, patrollers of vandalism can choose to see or not see Wikidata transclusion,<sup>20</sup> but do not have good tools for distinguishing from transclusion that might have a sizeable impact on an article and therefore warrant checking and changes to Wikidata items that would have no or only a very minimal impact on an article. As these analyses demonstrated, much of Wikidata transclusion could reasonably be considered “noise” from a patrolling perspective, especially because the metadata templates are tracked via `wbc_entity_usage` in such a way that any change to any part of the article’s associated Wikidata item shows up in recent changes (even if it has no impact on the article whatsoever).

## 5.2 Generalization

English Wikipedia was analyzed in this work as the most accessible Wikipedia for qualitative coding for the researcher. While there are both many wikis with more and with less evidence of transclusion, English Wikipedia does have some very strong policies with regards to Wikidata transclusion that are not necessarily found in other language editions. Further analyses of Wikidata transclusion in other languages would undoubtedly discover different distributions of usage and new types of templates that have not shown up on English Wikipedia.

A different approach to the taxonomy would also change the results. For instance, this taxonomy does not take into account any measure of the importance of the article that is transcluding the Wikidata content—e.g., number of views the article gets [10], whether it’s a biography of a living person<sup>21</sup>—which would

<sup>20</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Wikidata#Recent\\_changes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Wikidata#Recent_changes)

<sup>21</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Biographies\\_of\\_living\\_persons](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Biographies_of_living_persons)

likely mediate the expected impact of incorrect content being transcluded. Different taxonomies—e.g., focusing on the reduction in editor backlog that would result from a particular instance of Wikidata transclusion—would also likely lead to very different results.

## References

1. Balaraman, V., Razniewski, S., Nutt, W.: Recoin: relative completeness in wikidata. In: Companion Proceedings of the The Web Conference 2018. pp. 1787–1792 (2018)
2. Dimitrov, D., Singer, P., Lemmerich, F., Strohmaier, M.: What makes a link successful on wikipedia? In: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web. pp. 917–926 (2017)
3. Kaffee, L.A., Piscopo, A., Vougiouklis, P., Simperl, E., Carr, L., Pintscher, L.: A glimpse into babel: an analysis of multilinguality in wikidata. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Open Collaboration. pp. 1–5 (2017)
4. Konieczny, P., Klein, M.: Gender gap through time and space: A journey through wikipedia biographies via the wikidata human gender indicator. *New Media & Society* **20**(12), 4608–4633 (2018)
5. Mitrevski, B., Piccardi, T., West, R.: Wikihist. html: English wikipedia’s full revision history in html format. In: Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media. vol. 14, pp. 878–884 (2020)
6. Morgan, J.: Patrolling on wikipedia (2019), [https://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Research:Patrolling\\_on\\_Wikipedia](https://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Research:Patrolling_on_Wikipedia)
7. Rothschild, A., Lurie, E., Mustafaraj, E.: How the interplay of google and wikipedia affects perceptions of online news sources. In: Computation+ Journalism Symposium (2019)
8. Sarabadani, A., Halfaker, A., Taraborelli, D.: Building automated vandalism detection tools for wikidata. In: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web Companion. pp. 1647–1654 (2017)
9. Singer, P., Lemmerich, F., West, R., Zia, L., Wulczyn, E., Strohmaier, M., Leskovec, J.: Why we read wikipedia. In: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web. pp. 1591–1600 (2017)
10. Warncke-Wang, M., Ranjan, V., Terveen, L., Hecht, B.: Misalignment between supply and demand of quality content in peer production communities. In: Ninth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (2015)



## 6 Appendix

No.	Page Title	S. only	T. only	No shortdesc	Metadata	Content	Import.
1	Don't Go Breaking My Heart (Sonic Dream Collective song)	Y		Y			None
2	Donja Raštelica		Y				Low
3	Salió el Sol	Y		Y			None
4	Archimantis sobrina			Y		Taxonbar	Low
5	Fiafia	Y		Y			None
6	Daniel Webster			Y		Auth. Ctrl.	Low
7	1997 European Athletics U23 Championships – Women's 5000 metres	Y		Y			None
8	Urige Buta					Auth. Ctrl. Sports links	Medium
9	Wolfgang Martin Stroh					Auth. Ctrl.	Low
10	Olu Irame		Y				Low
11	Travis McGee		Y	Y			Low
12	Online Bible	Y		Y			None
13	Caledonian Brewery			Y		Ib company	High
14	Networking cables			Y		Auth. Ctrl. Commons C.	Medium
15	Arachno Creek			Y		Auth. Ctrl.	Low
16	Malinvestment	Y		Y			None
17	Steirastoma poeyi					Taxonbar	Low
18	1927 William & Mary Indians football team	Y					None
19	1919 Uruguayan parliamentary election	Y		Y			None
20	Abbey of the Holy Ghost		Y	Y			Low
21	Lucky Lynx	Y		Y			None
22	South West Pacific (film)		Y	Y			Low
23	Lawrence Townsend			Y		Auth. Ctrl.	Low
24	Anahuarque		Y	Y			Low
25	Platycephalus fuscus			Y		Taxonbar	Low
26	Cambarus williami			Y		Taxonbar	Low
27	Senoussi (cigarette)	Y		Y			None
28	Nuhiji	Y					None
29	Songs of Life (The Gufs album)	Y					None
30	Darren McKenzie-Potter		Y				Low
31	List of aircraft (Sb)	Y					None
32	Res Gestae Divi Augusti		Y				Low
33	Nightrider (chess)	Y					None
34	Afonso V of Portugal					Auth. Ctrl.	Low
35	Panikos Hatziloizou		Y				Low
36	Bongo Comics	Y		Y			None
37	Gustavia longepetiolata			Y		Taxonbar	Low
38	1935 SANFL season	Y		Y			None
39	Cat Orgy		Y				Low
40	Ulex					Taxonbar	Low
41	Roseate	Y					None
42	Tourism Corporation of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	Y		Y			None
43	Fever 121614	Y					None
44	Inside Detroit		Y	Y			Low
45	KKSE	Y					None
46	Philip II, Count of Schaumburg-Lippe			Y		Auth. Ctrl.	Low
47	Lyndon, Ohio		Y	Y			Low
48	Principality of Lippe		Y	Y			Low
49	They Are Billions		Y	Y			Low
50	List of fellows of the Royal Society elected in 1973	Y		Y			None
51	Epiphysis			Y		Ib Anatomy	Low
52	João Valente Bank		Y	Y			Low
53	Clitophon	Y					None
54	Johnstown Center, Wisconsin		Y				Low
55	List of mergers in Shimane Prefecture	Y		Y			None

No.	Page Title	S. only	T. only	No shortdesc	Metadata	Content	Import.
56	Highbury Union F.C.	Y		Y			None
57	Babaha		Y				Low
58	Metropolis (Anatolia)			Y	Auth. Ctrl.		Low
59	Mat Latos		Y	Y			Low
60	Woodstock Railway	Y		Y			None
61	Megachile osea				Taxonbar		Low
62	Public holidays in Japan	Y					None
63	Danish Contemporary Bible 2020		Y	Y			Low
64	Onion River (Minnesota)		Y	Y			Low
65	Zémidjan	Y		Y			None
66	Mena (album)	Y					None
67	Volvarina habanera			Y	Taxonbar		Low
68	Flying High (1931 film)		Y				Low
69	Myponie Point		Y	Y			Low
70	Elizabeth Mayer		Y	Y	Auth. Ctrl.		Low
71	Notre Dame Catholic School		Y				Low
72	List of Canadian Hot 100 top 10 singles in 2011	Y		Y			None
73	Arthur Koegel	Y		Y			None
74	Lists of Bulgarian films	Y					None
75	6th Parliament of King William III	Y		Y			None
76	DK King of Swing		Y	Y			Low
77	Benjamin Dwyer			Y	Auth. Ctrl.		Low
78	Patton Glacier			Y		USGS gazetteer	Medium
79	McAfee Peak		Y	Y			Low
80	Sommatino				Auth. Ctrl.	Ib Italian Comune	High
81	Pay Takht-e Varzard		Y				Low
82	89 Albert Embankment		Y				Low
83	Acalles carinatus				Taxonbar		Low
84	Saudia Aerospace Engineering Industries		Y	Y			Low
85	FromeFM	Y		Y			None
86	Clonakilty Cowboys	Y					None
87	Gorilla (James Taylor album)	Y					None
88	Union Township, Lawrence County, Ohio		Y				Low
89	Radio KAOS	Y					None
90	Ralph Emery		Y				Low
91	Marine Technology Society				Auth. Ctrl.		Low
92	ABMA	Y					None
93	Sten Heckscher			Y	Auth. Ctrl.		Low
94	Keiokaku Velodrome		Y	Y			Low
95	Mena (given name)	Y					None
96	Speed skating at the 1924 Winter Olympics – Men's all-round	Y					None
97	List of Gintama. Shirogane no Tamashii-hen episodes	Y					None
98	The Singing Hotel		Y				Low
99	Kedrick	Y					None
100	Arbinda Department		Y				Low

Table 2: Complete listing of 100 random articles that were sampled from English Wikipedia and their Wikidata transclusion categories. Abbreviations: “S. only” is “Sitelinks only” which means no transclusion; “T. only” is “Tracking only” which means there was transclusion but it only generated tracking categories and no content; “No shortdesc” means that the article uses Wikidata’s description in the mobile apps; “Ib” stands for “Infobox”; “Commons C.” indicates “Commons category” template, which inserts a link to a Commons category in the page. Note that Infobox Anatomy is listed as metadata because it inserts metadata links as opposed to facts, though it is in the context of an infobox. Pages were evaluated in their current form on 31 July 2020 and they (or the templates they transclude) may have changed since then.