Upcycling Formal Specifications for Similar Implementations with Arís
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Abstract. We describe the Arís system for creating new formal specifications for source code by transferring existing specifications to similar implementations. We show the code graphs underlying its operation, graph matching supports retrieval, and pattern completion enables transfer of specifications to new implementations. A theorem prover formally verifies the new specifications.
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1 Writing Formal Specifications

While formal verification of source code has become more popular in many real-world applications, successfully verifying the code requires three critical activities: writing formal specifications describing the task to be achieved; writing the source code for that task; and proving the correctness of the source code against this specification (Greengard, 2021). Many verification tools use the design-by-contract approach to annotate implementations with formal specifications and axioms so that they can generate the proof obligations required to verify that the implementation satisfies its specification (Dross et al., 2021). Specifications are written as a formal contract which defines the preconditions (requires clauses) that must hold, for the implementation to establish the postconditions (ensures clauses). An automated theorem prover verifies correctness of the implementation wrt its specification, requiring axioms to assist the prover written as assertions, invariants, and variants clauses.

Writing specifications and supporting axioms for the proofs require expert training and experience, contributing to poor uptake of verification by industry, unless required to meet safety standards (Huisman, Gurov, & Malkis, 2020). Our work eases the burden of these two activities by retrieving a similar verified implementation from an existing repository of verified source code and reusing it to creating formal specifications and proof support for a target implementation.

1.1 Related Work

Our work differs from related work on code completion, automatic code generation etc. Some of its operation is more akin to code clone detection using conceptual graphs. An image below highlights one specification for a simple C# implementation, using CBR to transfer this specification to functionally similar code. We can describe Arís as: operating on executable source code, working at the statement level of granularity,
performing static code analysis with extractive dependency graphs. Similar graphs are used to infer similar specifications.

2 Arís

In the Arís system (Pitu et al, 2013) source code is parsed and the resulting Abstract Syntax Tree is analysed to generate a code graph. Arís represents all problems and solution cases as distinct graphs, utilizing 18 categories of nodes and 6 types of relations. Nodes can contain information obtained directly from the source code, such as identifier names, the beginning of a block of code, assignment statements etc.

An important part of Arís concerns its representation of cases, focused on semantic graphs generated from examination of the Abstract Syntax Tree of a program, which is in turn generated using the ILSpy decompiler.

```
public ResizeDemo(int size0) {
    Contract.Requires(0 <= size0);
    this.elements = new int[size0];
    this.count = 0; }
```

Retrieval finds the largest common subgraph between a code graph containing specifications and one without. Graph Matching (ISMAGS, VF3) combines the influences of topological similarity with label categories for paired nodes and paired edges.

Reuse. Inter-graph mappings that include paired variable nodes are examined and compatible data-types identified, which involve identifying the original C# source code. The locations of the specifications are identified in the problem cases.

Revise. Transferrable specifications are identified and are updated to match their new problem context, including updating the variable names.

Retain. Source code with specifications is added to the project for compilation and verification by the Z3 theorem prover. Successfully verified methods can support subsequent inferences, potentially extending the reach of the initial specifications.
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ICCBR CBR Demos & Showcases - Aris video at https://youtu.be/gbbw_LOxoDs