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Abstract
The paper considers the task of real-time rendering of dynamic relief shadows based on ray casting using origin relief data - detailed height map. The solution proposed is based on looking for shadow rays - sun rays, whose tracks on height map are passed through heights occluding the light. GPU-based methods and algorithms for extracting such rays using an accelerating data structure - a mipmap of maximum and minimum relief heights are developed. This structure provides an effective acceleration of shadow rays extraction by skipping long sections of sun ray tracks that are not involved in relief shadowing. In algorithms developed precise traversing such a data structure is implemented, as well as texture filtering is taking into account, which allows the formation of "torn" shadow edges to be prevented. The solution created was implemented in software complex and a number of comparative shadow visualization tests was conducted. The results of the research can be used in virtual environment systems, video simulators, scientific visualization, educational applications, etc.
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1. Introduction

One of the most important tasks of modern virtual environment systems is modelling of realistic light-shadow appearance [1, 2], in particular, dynamic relief shadows. This is especially demanded for space, air and ground video simulators [3, 4], where, in order to instill right skills to trainees, dynamic shadows should be of high quality and rendered in real-time (at least 25 times per second).

For such systems, an important feature of developing shadow algorithms is their reliability, i.e. the ability to construct correct shadows for any permissible directions of light sources, as well as the absence of freezes of visualization loop in case of unintentional errors in input data.

From the point of ensuring the correctness of obtained shadows, the best results are derived by algorithms based on ray casting. However, this approach requires the implementation of a per pixel ray processing loop, that, firstly, is associated with heavy time costs, and, secondly, carries potential risks of hanging the visualization system (obtaining an infinite loop). In this paper, we propose algorithms of original implementation of GPU-accelerated ray casting, which ensure reliable real-time relief shadow visualization.

2. Related work

In the field of real-time shadow rendering, a significant part of researches is devoted to the development of shadow mapping approach. One of the most common is the method of cascaded shadow mapping [5] (or parallel-split shadow maps [6]), in which a number of shadow maps of the same size for the foreground, middle and background are created from light source position (often up to 4 splits). Owing to good performance/shadow quality ratio, this method is, in fact, standard for many gaming...
applications. At the same time, the method requires careful adjustment of the shadowing algorithm for a target virtual scene in order to avoid the effect of "blocky" boundaries of shadows (especially elongated ones), as well as other undesirable artifacts (truncation of shadows, erroneous self-shading, etc.). To overcome these disadvantages, solutions based on percentage-closer filtering [7], nonlinear shadow mapping [8, 9], irregular Z-buffer shadow mapping [10], etc. were proposed.

Second major approach is based on constructing and rendering of accurate polygonal model of shadow volume for each object in the scene [11-13]. Having knowledge about object geometry, shadow volumes allow to obtain high-quality shadows at any distance from light source. Also supporting stencil buffers and other required features by modern graphics cards make this approach quite attractive for real-time rendering. The limitation of this approach is tightly bound to its geometric advantage: to solve silhouette detection task, a number of restrictions on the allowable geometry representations is imposed. This leads to complicating data structures and degradation of scaling ability while increasing scene complexity. If the scene comprises extended object with intricate silhouette (as the relief), then the implementation of shadow volumes can easily consume the performance of the entire visualization system.

It is important to note that both at first and second approaches, the reliability of relief shadow rendering will depend not only on shadow algorithm, but also on the reliability of the methods of constructing and rendering of a 3D relief model. These methods may contain hidden defects or optimizations that are invisible during the visualization of the 3D model, but revealing when shadows are rendered. In "Results" section we demonstrate such situation using a simple example.

A completely different principle of shadow rendering is used in the third approach, which involves working directly with the primary data of 3D model, – a height map of its surface [14-15]. This approach is based on looking up intersections of light source rays with object height map (shadow ray casting), which allows physically correct shadows from objects of complex shape to obtained, independently of 3D-model construction and visualization methods. However, brute-force ray casting implementation [16] including loop checking all map texels lying on ray path, is extremely time-consuming for real-time visualization. In this regard, there arises the task of developing effective and reliable methods and algorithms of accelerating ray casting, which preserve the quality of origin ray casting shadows and ensure guaranteed completion of ray processing loops.

The authors of the research [17] parallelize on the GPU execution of ray processing loops using the CUDA hardware-software architecture, however, implemented uniform sampling of height map along the ray can lead to missing some texels and loss of shadow quality. In [18], the task of relief mapping based on ray casting is considered, where looking up ray - height map intersections is accelerated by means of pre-calculated cone map. A significant limitation is great increase of cone map construction time depending on height map size (about 15 minutes for 512² and about 8 hours for 1024² [19]). The paper [19] describes the task of real-time visualization of dynamic height maps based on ray casting accelerated using a maximum mipmap calculation "on the fly" on the GPU. The authors of the work [20] extended these ideas of ray casting acceleration to shadow rendering, proposing own implementation algorithm. As the authors mention, their algorithm suffers from insufficient accuracy leading to appearing fake shadows and missed terrain parts, which has to be compensated by adding some pre-displacement to terrain vertices.

Our work takes its origins from our earlier researches on ray casting implementation [21, 22]. Based on the experience gained, in this work, a core of our shadow ray casting system was developed – a reliable and accurate algorithm of traversing height map texels along the ray, adapted for the GPU. The key features of the algorithm are original "transit points" method for accurate height map sampling, which prevents texel missing (and potential loss of shadow information), as well as performs an incremental integer transition from texel to texel, which ensures guaranteed progress along the ray and prevents freezes of ray processing loop due to machine error in the representation of real numbers. An important advantage of our algorithm over [16] is no dependency from steps of previous iterations, which allows current step along the ray to be effectively and accurately changed.

During the development of shadow ray casting acceleration method, we, regardless studies [19, 20], logically came up to a data structure similar to maximum mipmap [19], where not only maximum heights are stored, but minimum heights too, as well as improved construction method is used, providing better stitching the mipmap with height map. Checking maximum heights allow large parts of height map, not involving in shadow formation, to be effectively skipped, while checking minimum heights allow ray processing to be earlier completed with shadow formation. If ray casting algorithm
contains any inaccuracies or errors, the second check will give fake shadows that are immediately noticeable on the image. This unique property of our accelerating data structure allowed many inaccuracies of ray-casting algorithm to be identified and fixed, and, ultimately, a reliable shadow ray casting system performing entirely on the GPU, to be created. The proposed solution is implemented in C++ using the GLSL shading language and the OpenGL graphics library.

3. Our definitions and models

First, we will describe definitions of detailed height map, shadow ray and shadow coefficient, as well as some necessary mathematical background, used in our solution.

**Detailed height map.** Let there be relief section (for simplicity - a unit square), where the height above sea level for each point is known. Height map is a single-channel $2^n \times 2^n$ texture, where height values (in grayscale), sampled by the step of $1/(2^n - 1)$, are written. Figure 1 shows an example of constructing $4 \times 4$ height map. In our work height values are extracted from map by means of bilinear interpolation [23]. So, for an arbitrary height map point with texture coordinates $s, t \in [0, 1]$, the following height expression can be written

$$h(s, t) = (h_{i,j}(1 - s) + h_{i,j+1}s)(1 - t) + (h_{i+1,j}(1 - s) + h_{i+1,j+1}s)t,$$

where $h_{i,j}, h_{i,j+1}, h_{i+1,j}, h_{i+1,j+1}$ are height values of four nearest texels forming a square around the point under consideration (see Figure 1). As it can be seen, interpolated height values will be the closer to origin heights, the higher is $m$ detail degree of height map. Height maps with $m$ close to screen resolution (Full HD, 4K) will be referred to as detailed ones ($m = 10, ..., 12$).

![Figure 1: Constructing relief height map](image)

In this paper, detailed height maps of relatively small terrain areas are considered, so that for shadow construction task the curvature of sea level surface can be neglected, i.e. considered as flat. An example is the Puget Sound height map [24], being mentioned in many papers related to terrain modeling.

**Shadow ray.** Let there be relief model given by height map and the normal $n$ to sea level surface, as well as infinite light source (the sun) give $A$ and $B$ of relief model Object Coordinate System (OCS). Let’s choose some two points $A$ and $B$ of relief model, lying in the same plane with vectors $s$ and $n$, and determine the shadow at point $A$ caused by the relief from point $B$ (see Figure 2a). Denote by $b_{\text{shadow}}$ boolean flag of shadow presence at point $A$ ($0$ - no, $1$ - yes). Cast the ray $r$ from point $A$ to point $B$. Figure 2a shows that the point $A$ will be in the shadow, if the vector $s$ is directed no higher than the ray $r$. Define this using mixed product $p$ [25] of the following vectors

$$p = r \cdot (s \times n),$$

where $r = s \times n$ is unit vector, complementing vectors $s$ and $n$ to the right triple. Then the expression of $b_{\text{shadow}}$ flag can be written as

$$b_{\text{shadow}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \leq 0 \text{ and } (s \cdot n) \neq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

If $b_{\text{shadow}}$ is $1$, then $r$ is shadow ray.
Shadow coefficient. Let the point A is in shadow ($b_{\text{shadow}}$ is 1). Introduce $k_{\text{shadow}} \in [k_{\text{dark}}, k_{\text{bright}}]$ - shadow coefficient of the point A, where $k_{\text{dark}}$ corresponds to the darkest shadow, and $k_{\text{bright}}$ - to the brightest one, and $k_{\text{dark}}, k_{\text{bright}} \in [0, 1]$. Define $k_{\text{shadow}}$ as $\cos(\alpha)$ (see Fig. 2a) reduced to the range $[k_{\text{dark}}, k_{\text{bright}}]$:

$$k_{\text{shadow}} = k_{\text{dark}} + (k_{\text{bright}} - k_{\text{dark}})(s \cdot n).$$

(4)

Figure 2b shows a plot of $k_{\text{shadow}}(\alpha)$ with $k_{\text{dark}} = 0.05, k_{\text{bright}} = 0.4$. As it can be seen from the plot, shadows become darker as the sun approaches the horizon ($\alpha \to \pi/2$), and, conversely, shadows are brighter, when the sun is at zenith ($\alpha \to 0$), what is explained by the contribution of ambient light. Note, that thresholds $k_{\text{dark}}$ and $k_{\text{bright}}$ are specified based on brightness range used for virtual scene rendering. This is especially actual for modern visualization systems, where rendering is performed in high dynamic range [26].

4. Proposed solution

Consider the task of constructing shadows on the image of relief 3D model, synthesized at visualization stage. Let’s choose an arbitrary pixel of this image. Chosen pixel corresponds to some point $P$ of relief model and point $P'$ on height map. Denote by $s'$ the track of sun ray drawn from the point $P'$ (in texture space), and by $T$ - the set of texels intersected by the track $s'$ (see Figure 3). Each $T_{i,j}$th texel has corresponded interpolated point $P_{i,j}$ of relief model (honestly, each $(i,j)$th section of the track $s'$ has corresponded interpolated curve on relief model, however, this can be neglected on detailed height map). Denote by $v_{i,j}$ the ray casted from point $P$ to point $P_{i,j}$. As it can be seen, the point $P$ will be in the shadow, if at least one of all $v_{i,j}$th rays is shadow ray. Then to solve the task, every pixel of relief image, having $v_{i,j}$th shadow ray, should be extracted, and its brightness decreased by $k_{\text{shadow}}$ times.
In this work, pixels of relief image that to be shadowed, are extracted completely on the GPU, in parallel, independently of each other using developed fragment shader. This shader checks the texels of the “footprint” of the track $s$’ either until the first $T_i$,th pixel having shadow ray $v_i$,th will be detected, or until the “footprint” will end. This checking includes the following steps: (a) extracting height value from the $T_i$,th texel; (b) constructing $v_i$,th ray and checking its belonging to shadow rays, and (c) moving to the next $T_i$,th texel. Step (b) can be found in the previous Section, so we focus on steps (a) and (c).

To avoid the formation of “torn” shadow edges, we extract height value from the $T_i$,th texel using bilinear interpolation (see Eq. (1)) and take each sampling point $P_s$ as the average of two transit points: input point $P_n$ and output point $P_o$ of the track $s$’ in the $T_i$,th texel (see Figure 4). As it can be seen from the figure, the point $P_{out}$ of the $T_i$,th texel will be the point $P_{in}$ for the $T_{i+1}$,th texel, thus, when checking each $T_i$,th texel, it is enough to calculate only one transit point $P_{out}$. Denote by $\mathbf{u}$ unit vector of track $s$’ direction, then coordinates of the point $P_{out}$ can be written in parametric form $P_{out} = P_{in} + \mathbf{u}t$.

The calculation of $t$ parameter, as well as shifts ($i_{offset}, j_{offset}$) of next texel along the track (step (c)), are implemented in developed algorithm $AI$.

**Algorithm $AI$**

1. Write texture coordinates array $K$ for 4 corners of $T_i$,th texel:
   \[ K[4] = \{ s_0, t_0, s_0 + dx, t_0, \{ s_0, t_0 + dt \}, \{ s_0 + dx, t_0 + dt \} \}, \]
   where $ds = 1.0 / w, dt = 1.0 / h$, and $w, h$ are height map width and height (in texels).
2. If $(|u_i| ≤ ε)$ and $(|u_i| ≤ ε)$, then $ε$ - machine error of real numbers.
3. Calculate the number $n_{corn}$ of the corner of $T_i$,th texel, which is intersected by track $s$:
   \[ n_{corn} = b_0 + 2b_1, \]
   where $b_0, b_1$ are boolean flags, $b_0 = (|u_i| ≥ 0), b_1 = (|u_i| ≥ 0).
4. Write the difference $dP = K[n_{corn}] - P_{in}$.
5. If $(|u_i| ≤ ε)$, then: $t = \frac{dP}{\|u_i\|}, (i_{offset}, j_{offset}) = (\text{sign}(u_i), 0)$; exit the algorithm.
6. If $(|u_i| ≤ ε)$, then: $t = \frac{dP}{\|u_i\|}, (i_{offset}, j_{offset}) = (0, \text{sign}(u_i));$ exit the algorithm.
7. Write $tVec = dP/\mathbf{u}$ and boolean flags $b_3 = (tVec_s \leq tVec_c), b_4 = (tVec_s \leq tVec_c)$.
8. $t = \min(tVec_s, tVec_c), (i_{offset}, j_{offset}) = (\text{sign}(u_i) \cdot b_3, \text{sign}(u_i) \cdot b_4)$.
End of the algorithm.

In practice, visiting all texels is not always necessary and effective, since height maps almost always contain large areas (plains, valleys, etc.) not involved in shadow constructing (provided not very low sun altitude). We localize such areas and skip them when our checking algorithm reaches such area border. To localize the areas, the mipmap $\{h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}}\}$ of maximum and minimum heights is created. It is an ordered set of two-channel textures (R and G components) with the following properties:

1) For 0th map (the first one):
- dimensions are two times smaller than height map $2^{m-1} \times 2^{m-1}$ (similar to classic mipmaps [27]);
- each $(i_0,j_0)$th texel stores $h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}}$ values sampled from height map using $3 \times 3$ matrix (see Fig. 5a);
2) For kth map (except 0th):
- dimensions are two times smaller than $(k-1)$th map, but $1 \times 1$ map is not created;
- each $(i,k)$th texel stores $h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}}$ values sampled from $(k-1)$th map using $2 \times 2$ matrix (see Fig. 5b).

**Figure 5**: Sampling matrices for constructing mipmap $\{h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}}\}$: (a) $3 \times 3$, (b) $2 \times 2$
Note, that in $3 \times 3$ matrix sampling points are upper right corners of 0,…,8th texels of height map, and sampling is performed using bilinear interpolation (GL_LINEAR, see Eq. (1)). In $2 \times 2$ matrix sampling points are centers of 0,…,3th texels of (k-1)th map, and sampling is performed using the "nearest neighbor" principle (GL_NEAREST). The mipmap \( \{ h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}} \} \) is constructed "on the fly" on the GPU by means of developed fragment shader once before visualization.

Let the mipmap \( \{ h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}} \} \) contain just 2 maps (for simplicity). Introduce the following definitions (see Figure 6):
- \( l \) is level of detail (LOD): 0 is height map, 1 and 2 are 0th and 1st maps of mipmap \( \{ h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}} \} \);
- \((i, j)\) are (row, column) numbers of start texel at 0th LOD;
- \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}}), (i_{\text{next}}, j_{\text{next}})\) are (row, column) numbers of current and next texel along the ray at lth LOD;
- \( P_{\text{out}} \) is output point of the ray in start texel;
- \( P_{\text{out}} \) is output point of the ray in \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\)th texel;
- \( P \) is the point on relief model (in OCS), corresponding to \( P' \);
- \( P_{\text{min}}, P_{\text{max}} \) are the minimum and the maximum points of relief model (in OCS), corresponding to \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\)th texel (at 0th LOD \( P_{\text{min}} = P_{\text{max}} \) equals to \( P_{\text{max}} \)).

The progress along the ray, accelerated by means of the mipmap \( \{ h_{\text{max}}, h_{\text{min}} \} \), is implemented in our developed algorithm A2.

**Algorithm A2**

1. Initialization.
   - Calculate \( P \) and \((i, j)\), as well as \( k_{\text{shadow}} \) by equation (4).
   - Set \( P_{\text{in}} = P' \) in the algorithm A1.
   - Calculate \( P_{\text{out}} \) and \((i_{\text{next}}, j_{\text{next}})\) by means of algorithm A1.
   - Set \( P_{\text{in}} = P_{\text{out}} \) in the algorithm A1. Set \( l = 2, k = 1 \).

2. Ray-casting loop.
   - Do loop while at least one of \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\) will go beyond height map dimensions:
     - Calculate \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\) from \((i_{\text{next}}, j_{\text{next}})\).
     - Calculate \( P_{\text{out}} \) and \((i_{\text{next}}, j_{\text{next}})\) by means of algorithm A1.
   - If \( l > 0 \), then do minimum height test:
     - Calculate \( P_{\text{min}} \) based on \( P_{\text{out}} \) and \( h_{\text{min}} \) sampled from \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\)th texel.
     - Calculate for the ray \( v = (P_{\text{min}}, P - P') \) the flag \( b_{\text{shadow}} \) by equations (2) and (3);
     - If \( b_{\text{shadow}} \) equals 1, then \( k = k_{\text{shadow}} \), exit the loop.
   - Do maximum height test:
     - If \( l \) equals to 0, then:
       - Calculate \( P_{\text{max}} \) based on \( P \) (see Fig. 4) and \( h \) sampled from \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\)th texel.
     - Otherwise:
       - Calculate \( P_{\text{max}} \) based on \( P_{\text{out}} \) and \( h_{\text{max}} \) sampled from \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\)th texel.
       - Calculate for the ray \( v = (P_{\text{max}}, P - P') \) the flag \( b_{\text{shadow}} \) by equations (2) and (3);
     - If \( b_{\text{shadow}} \) equals to 1, then:
       - If \( l \) equals to 0, then \( k = k_{\text{shadow}} \), exit the loop.
     - Otherwise: \( l = l - 1 \).
     - Otherwise:
       - Set \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\) = \((i_{\text{next}}, j_{\text{next}})\). Calculate \((i_{\text{cur}}, j_{\text{cur}})\) from \( P_{\text{out}} \).
       - Set \( P_{\text{in}} = P_{\text{out}} \) in the algorithm A1. Set \( l = 2 \).

3. Set pixel color: \( C = kC \).
End of the algorithm.

**Figure 6: Progress along the ray at different LODs**
5. Results

Based on methods and algorithms proposed, a software complex for real-time rendering dynamic relief shadows was developed. The complex is a part of the VirSim virtual environment system [28], developed at the Federal State Institution “Scientific Research Institute for System Analysis of the Russian Academy of Sciences”.

We carried out a number of comparative shadow visualization tests using common cascaded shadow mapping method (CSM) varied in configurations, and our shadow ray casting implementation. For this, we integrated our solution into well-known NVidia CSM implementation, available at [5]. To stress-test both methods, we created two tricky height maps: "spikes" with 5 elongated thin cones (see Figure 7) and "steps", which yields a 3D model with non-closed surface (see Figure 8). Moreover, multiple tests were carried out using classic Grand Canyon height map of size 2K×2K (see Figure 9) and Puget Sound height map of sizes 1K×1K, 2K×2K and 4K×4K (see Figure 10), available at [24].

Figure 7 shows examples of cases where "spike"-test reveals hidden CSM method drawbacks: (a-c) some shadows are torn off from spikes or are passed through them; (e-g) sawtooth shadow edges are appeared (when increasing CSM resolution, edge appearance looks better, but sawtoothness remains still noticeable); (i) conical shape of spike shadow is lost (even at 4K CSM). Our ray casting implementation produces accurate spike shadows with smooth edges in all the above cases (d, h, j).

Figure 8 illustrates results of reliability test where shadows of steps model, having front (visible) faces only from one side, are visualized. Due to enabled back face culling optimization, CSM method failed to produce some of the shadows (left image). In our implementation shadows are produced completely (right image), because object’s height map is directly used, and there is no dependency on 3D model construction and visualization methods.

As tests on the Grand Canyon and the Puget Sound height maps showed, dynamic shadow loss is possible in CSM method at long (see Figure 9a) or middle (see Figure 10a, along the lake) distances due to insufficiently close/distant location of intermediate clipping planes. For the same reason, a sawtooth silhouette of elongated mountain shadows may appear (see Figure 10c). In our ray casting implementation all relief shadows are accurately drawn with high detailing, regardless of distances they are located at and sun altitude (see Figures 9b, 10b, 10d).

All tests described were performed at 1920×1080 screen resolution on personal computer (Intel Core i7-6800K 3.40GHz, 16Gb RAM, Windows 10 Pro) equipped with graphics card NVidia GeForce RTX 2080 (2944 cores, 8 GB VRAM, 16x anisotropic filtering, 8x anti-aliasing). Table 1 contains results of performance measurement of the Puget Sound shadow rendering for both methods.

| Table 1 |

| Performance (in frames per second) of CSM with 4 splits/4K (first), and our ray-casting implementation (second) for different resolutions of Puget Sound height map and different sun altitudes |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1024²   | 82°     | 41°     | 20°     | 10°     | 3°      |
| 486; 98  | 463; 52 | 471; 40 | 584; 32 | 591; 25 |
| 2048²   | 182; 34 | 178; 18 | 182; 16 | 185; 12 | 182, 10 |
| 4096²   | 83; 22  | 76; 10  | 80; 8   | 81; 6   | 80; 6   |

As it is seen from Table 1, in considered sun altitude range our solution can provide real-time frame rates for height maps up to 1024². To overcome this, in the future we plan to conduct an extended research using modern NVidia RTX technology of hardware-software ray tracing acceleration.
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Figure 7: Testing the quality of shadow rendering methods on "spikes" model using cascaded shadow maps [5] with 4 splits and map resolution of 1K (a, e), 2K (b, f) and 4K (c, g, i), and using our ray casting implementation (d, h, j)
Figure 8: Testing the reliability of shadow rendering methods on "stairs" model with non-closed surface: (a) cascaded shadow maps (4 splits, 4K), (b) our ray casting implementation

Figure 9: Shadows rendered for Grand Canyon height map [24] using (a) cascaded shadow maps (4 splits, 4K) and (b) our ray casting implementation
Figure 10: Shadow rendered for Puget Sound height map [24] using (a, c) cascaded shadow maps (4 splits, 4K) and (b, d) our ray casting implementation.
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