Real-time Twist Rebar Detection System exploiting GAN-based Data Augmentation technique

Jong Chan. Park¹, Gun-Woo. Kim²

¹Department of AI Convergence Engineering, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Korea ²School of Computer Science / Department of AI Convergence Engineering, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Korea

Abstract

Currently, AI image analysis research is being conducted on automated cutting, bending, and loading systems, which are the main facilities of rebar processing factories. For automation, various datasets through machine vision cameras are required. However, environmental factors include difficult data collection or high production costs to collect datasets in the production process. To solve these problems, we propose a real-time twist rebar detection system based on GAN (Generative adversarial network), with real rebar datasets collected from 20 rebar videos. In this paper, we generated additional datasets from a deep image generation network and detected rebars' endpoints through YOLO (You Only Look Once) v4, a deep-learning object detection model. In experiments, we generated rebar images corresponding to normal and abnormal, the measured quality between real rebar dataset and generated synthetic rebar dataset by FID (Frechet Inception Distance). As a result, FID measurements showed the normal synthetic rebar dataset 79.363 and the abnormal synthetic rebar dataset 113.973. After that, as a result of training in YOLO v4 by combining the synthetic rebar dataset generated from GAN and the real rebar dataset, we obtained the mean Average Precision (mAP) of 100% and a misdetection rate of 5% compared to the real rebar dataset, the mAP increased by 0.6%, and decreased by 10%. Overall, our results demonstrate a strong effect on rebar twist detection accuracy and misdetection rate.

Keywords

data augmentation, data imbalance, rebar factory, image generation, object detection, rebar factory

1. Introduction

Recently, in response to the development of the artificial intelligence and robot industry, unmanned operations have been accelerated. Artificial intelligence's creative capacity can create on its own shows innovation in the manufacturing industry. Rebar processing requires an automated intelligent production system that minimizes loss rate, such as automatic correction and optimization of rebars. However, the improvement of calibration work time and accuracy of the machining rebar factory still depends on the worker's skill level, as shown in Figure 1. In addition, rebar processing has quality problems and safety accidents that occur during the machining process. Therefore,

EMAIL: pakw2022@gnu.ac.kr (A, 1); gunwoo.kim@gun.ac.kr (A. 2)



© 2022 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0). CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org) an unmanned system that detects the endpoints of the processed rebar and predicts the errors of correction value is needed.

First, to detect the endpoints of rebars, it is necessary to collect datasets of normal and abnormal rebars through a machine vision camera. However, collecting data in response to environmental factors is difficult, and the high production cost is when collecting datasets. These problems can be addressed by augmenting various high-quality images using an image generation model for existing small image data. In addition, the performance of rebar twist detection can be improved by utilizing deep learning detection models through real and synthetic rebar data.

¹st International Workshop on Intelligent Software Engineering, December 06, 2022, Busan, South Korea



Figure 1: It shows workers' manual rebar correction work at the processing rebar factory site

In this paper, our contributions are three for the rebar detection system.

- 1. A set of rebar data is generated by extracting 1000 normal and 1000 abnormal rebar images from the rebar video collected in the field with a machine vision camera.
- 2. To generate rebar images in various situations from the real rebar dataset, 500 images of rebar and 500 images of abnormal rebar are generated through GAN[1].
- 3. We improved the performance of rebar detection and misdetection rate by combining the rebar dataset learned from YOLO v4[2] and the dataset generated through GAN.

2. Background

2.1 Start Point Detection for Tracing the Injection Path of Steel Rebars

In this paper, this research proposed a starting point rebar detection method using the average brightness change of a high-speed Infrared Ray (IR) camera to reduce errors according to the environment [3]. The process of the proposed method is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Starting point detection process[3]

The average value of the pixel matrix had measured by a specific size of standby window at the rebar injection point, which was based on 848x848 grayscale and 90fps with the INTEL RealSense D435 IR camera and performed maximum detection accuracy of about 81%.

To automate the rebar injection system, the rebar detection accuracy must be over 90%, and this research show failed on flicker phenomenon cases, as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Failure cases in response to the flicker phenomenon[3]

2.2 The Determination of Twisted Rebar Using Feature Matching

In this paper, this research used a feature point matching algorithm to determine the twisting of the machining rebar[4]. The proposed method is first to designate the ROI area of the rebar injection part and detect the two straight lines algorithm through the Hoffman straight-line algorithm, as shown in Figure 4., After that, as shown in Figure 5, normal and abnormal rebars are compared with those of the camera through the Oriented Fast Rotated BRIEF (ORB) feature detection algorithm.



Figure 4: Detection of two straight lines through distance equation[4]



Figure 5: Match feature points between two detected straight lines[4]

This research has resulted that there was a twist with an average accuracy of 96.5%. However, the amount of computation increased significantly during real-time detection, resulting in a significant decrease in fps to 10-20, and accuracy was significantly decreased in twist detection when experimented in a new environment.

2.3 Prediction Model of Rebar Endpoints Based on YOLO v3 with Non-linear Regression

In this paper, this research proposed a real-time system to detect and track rebar endpoints based on YOLO v3 from the input of rebar images of the camera and predict rebar endpoints in advance with non-linear regression of the obtained coordinates [5]. As a result of this research, it can be confirmed that the prediction point in front of 10 frames is marked with a red dot through the prediction value of the rebar endpoint. The problem is that the detection accuracy of rebar endpoints should be high to predict the prediction point in front of 20 to 40 frames, but the detection accuracy showed a performance of about 70 to 80%, and the accuracy of prediction was poor in response to the high rate of detection of rebar errors.

3. Approach

In this paper, we introduce generating a real rebar dataset and synthetic rebar dataset for rebar twist detection performance. as shown in Figure 10, we show a system for determining the presence or absence of real-time rebar twist by combining generated images from GAN with real rebar dataset, and training yolov4, famous for real-time detection deep learning model with these datasets.

3.1 Machine Vision Camera / Create a Rebar Dataset

the HIKVISION MV-CAD13-20GM Machine camera was selected as an environment for collecting a dataset of processed rebars and detecting real-time rebar twists, as shown in Figure 6. After that, to reduce the flicker phenomenon and bright and dark lighting differences in various environments, we set the working distance to 2000mm, the focal length to 50mm, the lighting to 90 Hz with an LED lamp, and the exposure time to 500ms.



Figure 6: HIKVISION MV-CAD13-20GM Machine Vision Camera

The rebar injection videos have an average frame width of 1280, frame height of 720, and fps of 88.38, and a total of 20 data videos were collected. The average length of the videos is about 3 seconds because the speed of rebar injection is very fast. Through the collected images, 351 to 443 images were extracted for each video. But blurred or broken images were removed to generate a rebar dataset. Finally, the rebar dataset consists of a total of 2,000 images, and as shown in Figure 7, it was classified into 1,000 normal rebar images and 1,000 abnormal rebar images.



Figure 7: Rebar images extracted from rebar injection videos

3.2 GAN-based Combined Rebar Dataset

In this paper, we use the basic GAN, which is famous for its image generation model. As shown in Figure 8, it can be seen that synthetic rebar images similar to the real rebar images were extracted from rebar injection videos. Finally, we combined extracted rebar dataset with synthetic rebar images.



Figure 8: Synthetic rebar images generation through GAN

3.3 Rebar Twist Detection System

Finally, in our study, YOLO v4, which is famous for its real-time detection model, was used to detect rebar twists. For performance comparison, the model obtained by training the real rebar dataset and the synthetic rebar images generated through GAN were compared through the average detection accuracy and error detection rate by training the real rebar dataset and the combined rebar dataset. Figure 9 shows that the twist detection test was conducted by training the real rebar dataset, and the twist detection test was conducted by training the combined dataset of rebar images generated through GAN.





Figure 9: Normal and Abnormal rebar detection through YOLO



Figure 10: GAN-based Real-time Twist Rebar System

4. Experiments

4.1 Training Environments

First, for rebar image generation, the training environment in GAN is set to generate images of size 20000 for epoch, 0.0002 for learning rate, 1000 for latent dimension, and 416x416 for image size. And finally, the interval is set to 100. Second, For the classification of twist rebar, the training environment of YOLO v4 was set to epoch 2000 to 3000, learning rate 0.0013, saturation 1.5, and exposure 1.5.

In addition, when training in YOLO v4 by applying data augmentation, training was conducted by applying random vertical/horizontal flipping. The twist detection test for the experiment was compared by obtaining results through a total of three training times.

4.2 Model Performance

In order to measure the quality of rebar images generated through GAN, the average value was measured through FID. As can be seen from Table 1, the synthetic normal rebar images generated through GAN were FID 79.3638476, and the synthetic abnormal rebar images were 113.9733602.

4.3 Training Environments

First, for rebar image generation, the training environment in GAN is set to generate images of size 20000 for epoch, 0.0002 for learning rate, 1000 for latent dimension, and 416x416 for image size. And finally, the interval is set to 100.

Second, For the classification of twist rebar, the training environment of YOLO v4 was set to epoch 2000 to 3000, learning rate 0.0013, saturation 1.5, and exposure 1.5.

In addition, when training in YOLO v4 by applying data augmentation, training was conducted by applying random vertical/horizontal flipping. The twist detection test for the experiment was compared by obtaining results through a total of three training times.

4.4 Model Performance

In order to measure the quality of rebar images generated through GAN, the average value was measured through FID. As can be seen from Table 1, the synthetic normal rebar images generated through GAN were FID 79.3638476, and the synthetic abnormal rebar images were 113.9733602.

Table 1

FID measurement result for normal/abnormal rebar dataset generated from gan

	Synthetic Normal	Synthetic Abnormal
	Rebar Dataset	Rebar Dataset
FID	79.3638476	113.9733602

For normal/abnormal rebar classification, Figure 11 shows that the average mAP obtained by training the real rebar dataset from YOLO v4 was 99.4%. When the epoch reached 2600, the AP showed a 69% drop. And 99.4% of mAP were obtained when data augmentation was applied.

And the results were the same as those learned by applying non-data augmentation. Finally, when training was performed by combining the real rebar dataset with the synthetic(generated) rebar images through GAN, good performance was shown at 100% mAP, as shown in Figure 12.



Figure 10: Show mAP by training the real rebar dataset from YOLO v4



Figure 11: Show mAP by training combined rebar dataset from YOLO v4

YOLO v4	Dataset Type	Misdetection Count	Misdetection Rate
20 Rebar Injection Videos	Rebar Dataset + Data Augmentation	3	15%
	Combined Rebar Dataset	1	5%

Show results of the test with the misdetection rate from YOLO v4 through the rebar injection videos

Finally, in this paper, a misdetection test was performed on 20 rebar injection videos to confirm the twist detection performance. Table 2 shows that three misdetections occurred when data augmentation was applied, showing a 15% misdetection rate. When the GAN-based generated dataset was combined with the real rebar dataset, one misdetection occurred, showing a 5% misdetection rate.

5. Conclusion

Table 2

In this paper, we introduced the development of an AI image analysis-based processing rebar productivity improvement system. First, videos of rebar injection were collected through a machine vision camera. After that, images of real rebar were extracted to create a rebar dataset. And they were classified into normal rebars and abnormal rebars. However, only the relevant rebar image has limitations in improving the detection accuracy and classification performance of rebars, and there is a problem that there is a significant cost problem in collecting additional datasets.

To solve this problem, we proposed various types of rebar images generated through GAN to improve the performance of the real-time twist detection system. After that, the detection accuracy and misdetection rate were tested by YOLO v4 by combining the synthetic rebar images with the extracted real rebar images; In experiments, FID measurements showed the normal synthetic rebar dataset 79.363 and the abnormal synthetic rebar dataset 113.973. After that, as a result of training in YOLO v4, we obtained the mAP by 100% and the misdetection rate by 5% compared to the real rebar dataset, the mAP increased by 0.6%, and decreased by 10%. Overall, our results demonstrate a strong effect on rebar twist detection accuracy and misdetection rate.

Based on this study, various regression prediction models will be used to improve the

accuracy performance of predicting rebars' endpoints and recognizing the rebars' shape.

6. Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2021R1G1A1006381).

7. References

- I. Goodfellow. J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courvile, and Y. Bengio, "Generative adversarial nets", In NIPS, 2014.
- [2] A. Bochkovskiy, CY. Wang, and HYM. Liao. Yolov4: Optimal speed and accuracy of object detection", arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.10934, 2020.
- [3] JM. Lee, DS. Kang, "Start Point Detection Method for Tracing the Injection Path of Steel Rebars", KIIT, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 9-16, Jun 2019.
- [4] JC. Park, DS. Kang, "An Algorithm for the Determination of Twisted Rebar using Feature Matching", KIIT, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 21-28, Feb 2020.
- [5] J. Han, DS. Kang, "OPPDet: Object Position Prediction Detection Model for Predicting Endpoints of Rebar", Proceedings of KIIT Conference, 2020.
- [6] JC. Park, DS. Kang, "Predicting Rebar Endpoints using Sin Exponential Regression Model", arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.08955, 2021.
- [7] SW. Huang, CT. Lin, SP. Chen, YY. Wu, PH. Hsu, SH. Lai, "AugGAN: Cross Domain Adaptation with GAN-based Data Augmentation", ECCV, pp. 718-731, 2018.
- [8] S. Sundaram, N. Hulkund, "Gan-based data augmentation for chest X-ray classification", arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.02970, 2021.