# Polygraphia III: The cipher that pretends to be an artificial language

Jürgen Hermes<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Digital Humanities, University of Cologne, 50923 Cologne

#### Abstract

This paper demonstrates the existence of a cipher method in the early modern period (Polygraphia III by Johannes Trithemius), which – applied as a random procedure – is able to produce a text that can mimic the oblique properties of the so-called Voynich Manuscript (VMS). This result is quite exciting since it brings back into play highly-debated approaches claiming the existence of hidden comprehensible information within the text of the VMS (which is often referred to as Voynichese). The paper briefly outlines some of the most salient and difficult-to-explain statistical properties of Voynichese, shows how Trithemius stepwise developed a cipher system whose application looks like an artificial language, points out how an application of this cipher generates a text that comes very close to the statistical properties of Voynichese and finally discusses possible starting points of cryptoanalytic attacks on a cipher that operates similar to the Polygraphia III encryption.

#### **Keywords**

Artificial Languages, Statistical Properties, Cryptanalysis, Johannes Trithemius

# 1. Introduction: The odd statistical properties of Voynichese

It doesn't matter what aspect of the VMS is dealt with, abysmal mysteries open up everywhere. One of the many unsolved riddles that have haunted us with this manuscript is undoubtedly the extremely strange statistical behaviour of its text – a feature yet to be observed in any other historical writing. The odd properties of the VMS have often been discussed. Some of them suggest a natural language origin such as the Zipf distribution [1], the word entropy [2] and the prefix-midfix-suffix structure of words [3]. Other features do not even come close to those of known natural languages. Due to the limited space, only three particularly striking features can be taken up here: The low joint entropy, the binomial word length distributions and the astonishing repetitiveness of the text.

#### 1.1. Joint entropy

At first, it was thought that the peculiarity of Voynichese was a very low joint entropy  $h_2$  (1), otherwise only found in some Polynesian languages [4]. This means that one can predict a

International Conference on the Voynich Manuscript 2022, November 30–December 1, 2022, University of Malta. hermesj@uni-koeln.de (J. Hermes)

tinyurl.com/hermesatidh/ (J. Hermes)

**b** 0000-0002-8367-8073 (J. Hermes)

<sup>© 02022</sup> Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org)

letter of the VMS – based on knowledge of its predecessor – much better than it is the case with European languages.

$$h_2 = \sum_{I=1}^n p(I,J) * b(I,J) = -\sum_{I=1}^n \sum_{J=1}^n p(x_I) * p(y_J|x_I) * \log_2(p(y_J|x_I))$$
(1)

What was not taken into account was that natural languages with a low  $h_2$  (like Hawaiian and Japanese) have only very few different letters and thus also a very low character entropy  $h_1$ (2). It is Stallings' merit to work out that the difference between the two measurement numbers  $(h_1 - h_2)$  is much more meaningful here and that the low value that the Voynich manuscript shows does not occur in any known letter script and that at best syllabic scripts come close to it [5]. Even at the character level, Voynichese is a very strange outlier, "and we might be tempted to conclude from this that the text is meaningless."[6] So let's take a look at the word level.

$$h_1 = -\sum_{I=1}^n p(I) * \log_2(p(I))$$
(2)

#### 1.2. Word lengths distribution

When looking at word lengths the text of the VMS is astonishingly uniform (hardly any words have less than 3 or more than 10 characters). Even more surprising is the similar behaviour of type lengths and token lengths. Following Zipf's law of abbreviations, natural languages should all have a rather left-skewed distribution in the frequency of token lengths [7][8]. Although Voynichese tokens are also slightly shorter on average than types, the word length distributions of both, types and tokens, is almost binomial [9] [10].

#### 1.3. Distribution of similar words

The words of the VMS text are not only relatively similar in terms of lengths, they are also alike in terms of combinations of characters used. In [11] it is shown, that "for each common word, there is at least another one differing from it by only a single quill stroke". This makes it possible to build an extremely dense similarity network out of the types of the manuscript. This feature alone makes the text of the VMS seem very repetitive. This impression is heightened by the fact that similar, sometimes even identical words can be found in the direct vicinity. A well-known example from in the first paragraph of f78r (Takahashi transcription) is *qokeedy qokedy shedy tchedy [...] qokal otedy qokedy qokedy dal qokedy qokedy rgam*. These sequences may be reminiscent of children's writing exercises or the enumeration of inflection paradigms, but not of flowing texts of natural languages.

#### 1.4. Interim conclusion: What Voynichese cannot be

Consequently, all approaches assuming an unknown transcription of a natural language or a simple substitution cipher as the origin of Voynichese must fail in providing a comprehensible explanation of these statistical features of the text – which explains why Elizebeth Friedman described statistical analyses of the VMS as "doomed to utter frustration".[12] Elizebeth's

husband, William F. Friedman, widely regarded as one of the greatest cryptoanalysts of the 20th century left for posterity his hypothesis that the manuscript might be "an early attempt to construct an artificial or universal language of the a-priori type".[13] However, this approach obviously also has some limitations: The earliest universal language designs of Wilkins[14] and Dalgano[15] are basically far too systematic to produce a rather wild text like the VMS. Moreover, they were drafted in the advanced 17th century, while – as far as we know – the VMS is definitely older.[16]

# 2. Method: Trithemius' stepwise mimification of an artificial language

To resolve this contradiction, we would like to introduce a much older (but still about a 100 years younger than the VMS) draft of a cipher developed for the purpose of encryption, whose application leads to a text that has the character of an artificial language. This cipher is found in the first printed book on cryptology, namely the Polygraphia written by Johannes Trithemius.[17]

Trithemius (1462-1516) was a German Benedictine abbot and a polymath. The Polygraphia from 1506 was the first ever printed book on the subject of cryptology, although it was al-ready Trithemius' second book on this subject, for he wrote the Steganographia as early as 1499/1500.[18] However, the book's arcane characteristics were so incomprehensible to the public, including representatives of the Catholic Church, that it was placed it on the Index of Forbidden Books for more than 250 years. [19]. Following the example of the jewish Kabbala, Trithemius develops a series of procedures in the Steganographia that hide, (and - in some cases additionally encrypt) secret messages in non-suspicous looking texts.[20] He himself provides instructions for the procedures in the first two books, but remains silent on the third book (S.III). Not until almost 500 years later, in one of the greatest cryptanalytic achievements of the last 50 years, it could be shown that S.III also contained an encryption method.[21][22]

The procedures descibed in the Steganographia are based on the fact that the letters of the plaintext occur at specified positions of the ciphertext and the rest is filled with nulls. This comes with a great deal of effort for the creator of the message, because he has to self-invent an extremely large amount of inconspicuous text. With the procedures introduced in the Polygraphia, Trithemius attempts to limit this effort.[23] In the first two parts of his book Trithemius shows how to camouflage a plaintext within a Latin prayer - without speaking one word of Latin - by replacing individual letters of the plaintext with Latin words. The words are arranged in substitution tables in a way that the cipher text appears syntactically and semantically coherent.

Table 1 illustrates the principle of the so-called Ave Maria (Hail Mary) cipher: To produce the ciphertext, one must look for the corresponding word in the correct row for each letter of the plaintext (leftmost column). For the next letter, one has to use the same method with the following column. Consequently, the encoding of the English word *secret* would be Confervator magnus confervans cuncta iuftis fuif in felicitations amen. For the first two books of the Polygraphia (P.I and P.II), Trithemius creates a total of almost 700 columns (383 in P.I and 308 in P.II) with which a plaintext containing a corresponding number of letters (and even more, when one gets back

Column	P.I-1	P.I-2	P.I-3	P.I-4	P.I-5	P.I-6
a	Deus	clemens	creans	celos	fanctis	celis
b	Creator	clementiffimus	regens	celestia	electis	celestibus
c	Conditor	pius	conservans	fupercelestia	predilectis	fupercelestibus
ъ	Dptifer	pij¶imus	moderans	mundum	fanctiffimis	eternum
e	Dominus	magnus	gubernans	mundana	iuftis	perpetuum
f	Dominator	ercelfus	ordinans	homines	iustificatis	fempiternum
g	Consolator	maximus	ornans	humana	predestinatis	fecola feculorum
h	Arbiter	optimus	exornans	angelos	angelis	euum fanctum
t	Juder	fapientiffimus	constituens	angelica	archanges	feculum
ť	Illuminator	inuifibilis	dirigens	terram	amatoribus	regno celojum
l	Illustrator	immortalis	producens	terrana	cultoribus	altifimis
m	Rector	eternus	decorans	tempus	amicis	ercelfis
n	Rey	fempiternus	stabiliens	temporalia	apostolis	paradifo
O	Imperator	gloriojus	illustrans	euum	prophetis	olympo
p	Subernator	fortiffimus	intuens	euiterna	discipulis	paradisyacis
q	Factor	fanctiffimus	monens	omnia	martyribus	olympicis
r	Fabricator	incoprehensibilis	confirmans	cuncta	fanctificatis	fulgoribus
ŝ	Confervator	omnipotens	custodiens	vniuerfa	dominationibus	felictitate
t	Redemptor	pacificus	cernens	orbem	dilectis	felicitatibus
u	Auctor	mifericors	discernens	astra	ciuibus	gloriofis
£	Princepts	mifericordiffimus	illuminans	folem	feruis	honore
ņ	Pastor	cunctipotens	fabricans	ftellas	famulis	magnificentia
8	Moderator	magnificus	faluificans	vitam	ministris	luce perpetua
w	Saluator	ercellentiffimus	faciens	viventia	confessoribus	patriacelesti

to the start when reaching the end) can be camouflaged by an inconspicuous Latin prayer.

#### Table 1

The first six columns of the Ave Maria cipher from Polygraphia I. Trithemius gives the additional instruction to insert an fuig in before the sixth column and an amen after it

Within the next two parts of the Polygraphia, Trithemius maintains the principle of replacing individual letters with whole words. He uses no longer Latin words as substitution ciphers, but words that he invented especially for this purpose. In the third book (P.III), he makes use of features of natural languages, which appear as if the same stem had been inflected in different ways. In P.IV he goes the opposite way, since same word endings are combined with different word stems (see table 2). Attentive observers will notice that in P.IV the plaintext letter is always in the second position of the replacement word. This part is therefore based on a very simple steganographic procedure. Although the principle in P.III looks similar, it works completely differently, because one cannot determine the plaintext letter from any component of the substitution cipher and only from its position in the substitution table. Trithemius intended that one creates the ciphertext as in the Ave Maria cipher, that is, using each column in the order given. However, it is also possible to use only one column to produce the ciphertext. For example, it is possible to encipher secret with pafil pafil pafil pafil pafil pafil by only using column P.III-5. The result is a very repetitive text, where words of a certain similarity consequently encode different letters, what was hardly known from natural languages. In the next section we will demonstrate that an application of the cipher generates a text that also comes very close to

other odd statistical properties of Voynichese.

For the sake of completeness: In P.V, Trithemius publishes the now famous transposition table, with which he goes down in history as one of the three inventors of polyalphabetic substitution. In P.VI he treats different alphabets, besides Latin the Greek and various Frankish ones, some of which he may have invented himself.

Column	P.III-5	P.III-15	P.IV-8	P.IV-15
a	paía	mastra	baron	fameled)
b	paje	mastre	abaron	ebramelech
c	pafi	mastri	ocaron	achalech
b	paío	mastro	adelon	adelmech
e	paíu	mastru	meron	nemelech
f	pajan	maítran	ofilon	afemelech
g	pafen	mastren	agion	ageselech
h	pafin	mastrin	chorion	thomelech
t	pajon	mastron	libion	diralech
ť	paſun	mastrun	afyron	afafelech
ĺ	pajas	mastral	elydyon	alanech
m	pafes	mastrel	amaron	amalech
n	pafis	mastril	enorion	onamedy
Ø	pajos	mastrol	morifon	fomelech
p	pasus	mastrul	aportion	apomelech
q	pafal	mastras	aquilon	aquifalech
r	pafel	mastres	armaon	tramelech
B	pafil	mastris	ofarion	ajomelech
t	pafol	mastros	atharon	ftomeledy
u	paful	mastrus	cuburon	tumelech
£	pafar	mastraff	arion	axomelech
ŋ	pafer	mastreff	tymeon	pymelech
8	pafir	mastriff	azaron	oznfelech
w	paíur	mastroff	puualon	iuuemelech

#### Table 2

Selected columns from Polygraphia III and IV: Replacement ciphers using artificial words.

# 3. Results: More similar than almost anything else

The hypothesis that should be tested is: Can a cipher whose mode of operation corresponds to P.III produce a text with similar statistical properties to the VMS? To do this, we would have to simulate a setting in which an encryptor has the P.III substitution tables available and uses them to generate a ciphertext to an arbitrary plaintext. What is difficult here is the simulation of human intuition: How exactly are the many available substitution ciphers selected? We have opted for random selection as a first step and generated the cipher text from all 132 substitution columns (P.III all), resp. from only 10 different columns (P.III small). Future work could simulate this closer to an early modern reality, for example, by involving test subjects as encryptors.

We will then compare the P.III-encoded text with texts of Central European languages and

Voynichese. The early modern comparative texts chosen were "The Mathematicall Praeface to Elements of Geometrie of Euclid of Megara" (English, https://www.gutenberg.org/files/22062) by John Dee, "La Divina Commedia" (Italian, https://www.gutenberg.org/files/1012/) by Dante and "Das Buch Paragranum" (German, http://www.zeno.org/Philosophie/M/Paracelsus/Das+Buch+Paragranum) by Paracelsus. Ancient latin is represented by Caesar's "De Bello Gallico" (https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/218). For Voynichese the complete EVA-transcription by Takahashi was used, separate values are shown for Currier A and B.[24] All texts as well as the necessary code for the generation of the PIII cipher and the calculation of the statistical properties can be found on GitHub: https://github.com/hermesj/R\_Voynich\_Stats.

# 3.1. Joint entropy

Table 3.2 shows that that the difference between  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  is larger in the generated P.III cipher text than it is in the early modern comparative texts. Nonetheless, it only comes close to the Voynich manuscript if one restricts the number of substitution columns (from which, however, the word entropy also suffers). This means either that Voynichese is still much more repetitive than the P.III cipher at the character level or that the selection process in the cipher needs to be worked out even better.

	Dee	Dante	Paracelsus	VMS A	VMS B	P.III big	P.III small
$h_{words}$	9.27	9.66	8.93	9.88	9.89	10.74	6.52
$h_0$	5.36	4.59	4.95	4.46	4.46	4.52	4.00
$h_1$	4.10	3.93	4.04	3.85	3.88	4.00	3.57
$h_2$	3.29	3.11	3.14	2.17	2.01	2.90	1.90
$h_1 - h_2$	0.81	0.82	0.90	1.68	1.87	1.10	1.67

Table 3

Word entropy and the three character-based entropies:  $h_0$  is  $h_{max}$ ,  $h_1$  is the entropy of single,  $h_2$  of joint characters. The last column gives the difference between  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  (see [5])

# 3.2. Word lengths distribution

Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of word lengths for types and tokens of the texts to be analysed. Very striking is the pronounced binomial distribution of the Voynichese, which is not matched at all by the P.III cipher and the comparison texts in the distribution of type word lengths. In the case of the P.III, this is a consequence of the word length distribution within the substitution columns. However, this could easily be replaced by a binomial distribution without affecting the semantics. Even more striking, however, is that in natural languages the curves for types and tokens are far apart, since they become very left-skewed with the tokens. This is definitely not the case for Voynichese and P.III.

### 3.3. Distribution of similar words

If we look at the measurement of minimal pairs (Levensthein distance 1) and directly successive repetitions of words (cf. Table 4), the high values for Voynichese emphasize the special nature



**Figure 1:** Distribution of word lengths (relative frequencies) for types and tokens of Voynichese (Currier A and B), PIII cipher and comparative texts of the early modern age and ancient Latin.

of this text. It can also be seen that the P.III ciphers have increased values, which can be raised almost arbitrarily if fewer replacement columns are used. Here, too, future research should demonstrate to what extent other selection procedures in ciphering produce more realistic results.

	Dee	Dante	Paracelsus	VMS A	VMS B	P.III big	P.III small
Minimal pairs	5.53	2.61	6.40	35.32	30.25	11.12	222.23
Minimal triplets	0.08	0.10	0	2.26	1.70	0.06	47.21
Word repeated	0.98	0	2.56	9.59	8.46	1.36	25.65

#### Table 4

Counting of adjacent minimal pairs and minimal triplets as well as directly consecutive word repetitions.

#### 3.4. Summary of results

The experiments demonstrate that a cipher that replaces individual letters with invented words that are very similar to each other has the potential - in contrast to natural languages - to approximate the statistical properties of Voynichese. What this study still lacks is the simulation of a comprehensible selection process that can mimic a medieval encryptor. What is also missing from the study is an exploration of the possibility of inferring the underlying replacement tables from a text. This would be the foundation for a cryptanalytic attack and will be discussed in the next section.

# 4. Discussion: Solutions and Problems of the P.III hypothesis

To be clear, this contribution does not assume that Trithemius had anything to do with the creation of the VMS since the time of origin determined via the radiocarbon method speaks against his authorship. Additionally, the perception of the Polygraphia as somehow a blueprint-codebook for the VMS is fraught with several difficulties, of which the selection process mentioned above is only one. The effort to create something like the VMS with the help of replacement tables would have been enormous. Moreover, the hypothesis has a lot of prerequisites, such

as the assumption that a codebook has existed, even if no reference to it has neve been found. Therefore, it should not be concealed here that another method has already been published that is capable of generating a text with the characteristics of the Voynich manuscript.[25] On the one hand, this method (known as the autocopist theory) has the advantage that no codebook is needed, because it claims that the text is created by adding gradual variations to an initial state. On the other side, it has the disadvantage that no information can be transported with it. If the VMS was produced as an autocopy, its glyphs only have form, no meaning.

What could be demonstrated here, however, is the existence of a cipher method in the early modern period, which – applied as a random procedure – is able to produce a text that can mimic the oblique properties of the VMS. This result is quite exciting since it brings back into play highly-debated approaches claiming the existence of hidden comprehensible information in the text of the VMS.

The question remains whether one could reconstruct the information that was encrypted with such a method. To answer it, we should look at all the ciphers from the first four parts of the Polygraphia. For the Hail Mary cipher in P.I and P.II, a reconstruction of the plaintext should be impossible without the underlying codebooks. Although functionally identical and meaning-related words were grouped in columns, these do not contain any information about the hidden plaintext letter.

The simple steganographic encoding in P.IV is considered by Trithemius himself to be insecure – but convenient to use – since one does not need the codebook for decoding.

The P.III cipher is more closely related to P.I than to P.IV, but the regular formations of the words [10] make it possible to draw conclusions about their position in the substitution tables. Above all, two rules can be assumed: Same words encode same letters, similar words encode different ones. How far one can get with this simple assumptions in a text like the one from the VMS is up for discussion.

# References

- [1] G. Landini, Evidence of Linguistic Structure in the Voynich Manuscript Using Spectral Analysis, Cryptologia 25 (2001) 275–295.
- [2] R. Zandbergen, From Digraph Entropy to Word Entropy in the Voynich MS, 2022. URL: http://www.voynich.nu/extra/wordent.htm, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [3] J. Stolfi, A Prefix-Midfix-Suffix Decomposition of Voynichese Words, 1997. URL: http: //www.ic.unicamp.br/stolfi/voynich/97-11-12-pms/, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [4] W. R. Bennett, Scientific and Engineering Problem-Solving with the Computer, Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1976.
- [5] D. Stallings, Understanding the Second-Order Entropies of Voynich Text, 1998. URL: http://ixoloxi.com/voynich/mbpaper.htm, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [6] L. Lindemann, C. Bowern, Character Entropy in Modern and Historical Texts: Comparison Metrics for an Undeciphered Manuscript, 2021. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14697, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [7] C. Bentz, R. Ferrer-i Cancho, Zipf's law of abbreviation as a language universal, Proceedings of the Leiden Workshop on Capturing Phylogenetic Algorithms for Linguistics. University

of Tübingen (2016). URL: https://publikationen.uni-tuebingen.de/xmlui/handle/10900/68639, accessed 25.08.2022.

- [8] P. Grzybek, History and Methodology of Word Length Studies. The State of the Art, in: P. Grzybek (Ed.), Contributions to the Science of Text and Language. Word Length Studies and Related Issues, Springer, Dordrecht, NL, 2006, pp. 15–90.
- [9] J. Stolfi, On the VMS Word Length Distribution, 2000. URL: http://www.ic.unicamp.br/ stolfi/voynich/00-12-21-word-length-distr/, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [10] J. Hermes, Textprozessierung Design und Applikation, PHD thesis, Universität zu Köln, 2012.
- [11] T. Timm, A. Schinner, A possible generating algorithm of the Voynich manuscript, Cryptologia 44 (2020) 1–19.
- [12] M. D'Imperio, The Voynich Manuscript–An Elegant Enigma, Aegean Park Press, Langley, 1978.
- [13] W. F. Friedman, E. Friedman, Acrostics, Anagrams, and Chaucer, Philological Quaterly 38 (1959).
- [14] J. Wilkins, An Essay Towards a Real Character, Gellibrand, London, 1668. Reprint Menston: The Scolar Press 1968.
- [15] G. Dalgano, Ars Signorum, Self print, London, 1661. Reprint Menston: The Scolar Press 1968.
- [16] R. Zandbergen, Radio-carbon dating of the Voynich MS, 2022. URL: http://www.voynich. nu/extra/carbon.html, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [17] J. Trithemius, Polygraphia libri sex, Haselberg, Basel, 1518.
- [18] J. Trithemius, Steganographia, Berner, Frankfurt, 1606.
- [19] K. Arnold, Johannes Trithemius (1462-1516), Schöningh, Würzburg, 1971.
- [20] G. Strasser, Lingua Universalis: Kryptologie und Theorie der Universalsprachen im 16. und 17. Jahrhundert, number 38 in Wolfenbütteler Forschungen, Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden, 1988.
- [21] T. Ernst, Schwarzweiße Magie. Der Schlüssel zum dritten Buch der Steganographia des Trithemius, Daphnis (1996) 1–205.
- [22] J. Reeds, Breakthrough in Renaissance Cryptography. A Book Review, Cryptologia 23 (1999) 59–62.
- [23] M. Gamer (Ed.), Die Polygraphia des Johannes Trithemius nach der handschriftlichen Fassung (Band 1): Edition, Übersetzung und Kommentar, Brill, 2022.
- [24] P. Currier, Papers on the Voynich Manuskript, 1976. URL: http://www.voynich.nu/extra/ curr\_main.html, accessed 25.08.2022.
- [25] T. Timm, How the Voynich Manuscript was created, arXiv:1407.6639 [cs] (2015). URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6639, arXiv: 1407.6639.