Preface of the 2nd Workshop on Law, Society and Artificial Intelligence: Interdisciplinary perspectives on AI safety

Vincenzo Calderonio^{1,2,†}, Vittoria Caponecchia^{1,3,†}, Giuseppe Colavito^{1,4,†}, Andrea Failla^{1,5}, Federico Mazzoni^{6,†}, Monique Munarini^{1,†}, Marco Sanchi^{1,7,*,†} and Giuseppe Pisano^{7,†}

Abstract

The second edition of the workshop on Law, Society and Artificial Intelligence (LSAI) was held at the International Conference on Hybrid Human-Artificial Intelligence at Pisa on June 10, 2025. This year, the workshop's focus was on interdisciplinary perspectives on AI safety.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence, AI Safety, AI Act, Human-AI Interaction

1. Introduction

The 2nd edition of the LSAI Workshop explored the interdisciplinary nature of AI Safety, focusing on its socio-technical, ethical, and legal implications. It brought together researchers and practitioners from various fields to encourage diverse approaches to designing, deploying, and regulating AI systems that prioritise safety and align with societal values. In line with the HHAI conference goals, the workshop focused on AI systems designed to complement human abilities and interact with persons. Key discussions included technical robustness, governance frameworks, ethical considerations, and human-AI collaboration, addressing challenges in sectors like healthcare, finance, transportation, and governance.

The workshop covered both theoretical and practical perspectives on AI Safety, contextualized within the field of HHAI, including:

- Technical robustness of AI systems working alongside humans
- Governance and regulatory frameworks for hybrid human-AI systems
- Ethical considerations in the design of AI systems that interact with people
- Trust, acceptance, and societal implications of AI technologies in high-stakes domains
- · Transparency, fairness, and accountability architectures in human-AI collaboration
- · Challenges in enforcing safety standards across jurisdictions and technologies

Link to the workshop website: https://lsai2025.github.io/

2. Workshop output

The workshop featured 18 submissions, including 6 full papers and 12 abstracts, of which 4 papers and 9 abstracts were accepted and presented. The program was structured in two sessions: a morning session,

 $HHAI\ 2025:\ The\ 4th\ International\ Conference\ Series\ on\ Hybrid\ Human-Artificial\ Intelligence,\ June\ 9-13,\ 2025,\ Pisa,\ Italy\ ^*Corresponding\ author.$

[†]These authors contributed equally.



© 2022 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

¹University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy

²Institute of legal informatics and judicial systems (IGSG) - CNR, Florence, Italy

³Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, LIDER-Lab, DIRPOLIS, Pisa, Italy

⁴University of Bari, Department of Computer Science, Bari, Italy

⁵Institute of Sciences and Information Technologies "A. Faedo" (ISTI), National Research Council (CNR)

⁶Domotz, Inc.

⁷University of Bologna, CIRSFID - Alma AI Research Center, Bologna, Italy

which combined paper presentations on legal, ethical, and technical aspects of AI with a dedicated roundtable, and an afternoon session, which similarly alternated between research talks and collective discussion.

The roundtables served as an open forum for interdisciplinary dialogue, complementing the structured presentations. Key issues addressed included the challenges of establishing a shared vocabulary across disciplines, the need for AI literacy to counter both fear and overreliance, the legitimacy of limiting or certifying AI use in specific domains, and the question of whether AI is always necessary ("question zero"). The discussions also explored the limits of "safety by design" approaches, the evolving scope of the EU AI Act in light of new technologies, and the importance of balancing risk-awareness with recognition of AI's potential societal benefits. In the afternoon roundtable, attention shifted to broader conceptual dimensions of safety, including its relation to trustworthiness, interpretability, digital identity, and regulation. The debate underscored that AI safety is not only a technical matter but also a social, cultural, and legal issue, requiring holistic perspectives that bridge governance, design, and societal values.

3. Organization

3.1. Workshop Chairs

- Vincenzo Calderonio, University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy; IGSG -CNR, Florence, Italy
- Vittoria Caponecchia, University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy; Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, LIDER-Lab, DIRPOLIS, Pisa, Italy
- Giuseppe Colavito, University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy; University of Bari, Department of Computer Science, Bari, Italy
- Andrea Failla, University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy; Institute of Sciences and Information Technologies "A. Faedo" (ISTI), National Research Council (CNR)
- Federico Mazzoni, Domotz, Inc.
- Monique Munarini, University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy;
- Marco Sanchi, University of Pisa, Department of Computer Science, Pisa, Italy; University of Bologna, CIRSFID Alma AI Research Center, Bologna, Italy.
- Giuseppe Pisano, University of Bologna, CIRSFID Alma AI Research Center, Bologna, Italy.

3.2. Program Committe

This section contains a list of our program committee, we thank them for their contributions to the reviewing process.

- Marco Billi, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- Marianna Molinari, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- Sara Tibidò, IMT Scuola Alti Studi Lucca, Lucca, Italy

4. Conclusion

The 2nd LSAI Workshop confirmed the need for interdisciplinary perspectives in addressing AI safety. While technical robustness and regulatory compliance remain central, discussions highlighted that safety cannot be dissociated from social legitimacy, trust, and cultural understanding. The debates made clear that AI safety should not be reduced to risk prevention alone, but must also encompass opportunities for responsible innovation, democratic participation, and human-centred design. By fostering dialogue between legal scholars, computer scientists, ethicists, and practitioners, the workshop contributed to a more nuanced understanding of AI safety as a multidimensional challenge.