

An Overview of Process Modelling Languages and their Interrelationships

Sander J.J. Leemans¹

¹RWTH Aachen University

1. Introduction

Process models play a crucial role in Business Process Management, where they are used for communication of as-is and to-be states of processes, analyses of process data, prediction of ongoing cases, and even full support of a business process through a business process management system.

The global market for enterprise software is unimaginably large, and an ever-growing part of this market is in one way or another process-aware. The emergence of automation, bots and Artificial Intelligence has been a catalyst for this: it makes process models as a means of communication between humans and AI systems, structuring services and providing guardrails for AI agents even more important. As such, thinking about and modelling concepts of process modelling languages is more important than ever.

In this tutorial, we'll have a look at current and previous process modelling formalisms, through a lens of their purposes, commonalities and differences.

2. The Tutorial

The tutorial will consist of three parts, the first of which is to briefly introduce process modelling and its use cases, such as discovery, conformance checking, analysis and prediction, before diving in into several process modelling languages, in an interactive setting with the audience. Languages that may show up include:

- Directly-follows models [1, 2]
- Petri nets [3]
- Process trees [4]
- BPMN models [5]
- BPEL [6]
- Declare [7]
- Multi-level activity modelling
- Model-checking formalisms [8]

In the second part, we'll attempt to create order in the chaotic landscape of process modelling languages, by identifying common language and expressivity concepts, where we will use the combined knowledge of the audience to identify common concepts.

A couple of process modelling language concepts that may just pass the stage include:

- Silent steps [9]
- Unboundedness [10]
- Recursion [4, 11]
- Stochastics & priority [9, 12]

ER2026: Companion Proceedings of the 45th International Conference on Conceptual Modeling: Doctoral Consortium, Tutorials, Posters and Demos, October 5–8, 2026, St. John's, NL, Canada.



© 2026 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

- Time [13]
- Data [14]
- Communicating/object-centric processes [15, 11]
- Hierarchy [11]
- Hybridity [16, 17, 18]
- Edge-cases: empty language, empty traces, non-termination

We'll finish the tutorial with several group-wise modelling practice, where we attempt to decide which process modelling formalism would be best to use given several modelling scenarios.

3. The Presenter

Prof. Dr. Ir. Sander J.J. Leemans is a professor (W2) at the Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule University (RWTH), Aachen, Germany.

His research interests include process mining, process discovery, conformance checking, stochastic process mining, and robotic process automation. In particular, he specialises in making solid academic techniques available to end-users, analysts and industry partners. He teaches business process management, business process modelling and business process improvement.

Declaration on Generative AI

No large language models or other forms of artificial intelligence were used in the writing of this abstract.

References

- [1] C. W. Günther, A. Rozinat, Disco: Discover your processes, in: N. Lohmann, S. Moser (Eds.), Proceedings of the Demonstration Track of the 10th International Conference on Business Process Management (BPM 2012), Tallinn, Estonia, September 4, 2012, volume 940 of *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, CEUR-WS.org, 2012, pp. 40–44. URL: <https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-940/paper8.pdf>.
- [2] S. J. J. Leemans, E. Poppe, M. T. Wynn, Directly follows-based process mining: Exploration & a case study, in: International Conference on Process Mining, ICPM 2019, Aachen, Germany, June 24–26, 2019, IEEE, 2019, pp. 25–32. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPM.2019.00015>. doi:10.1109/ICPM.2019.00015.
- [3] W. Reisig, A primer in Petri net design, Springer Compass International, Springer, 1992.
- [4] S. J. J. Leemans, S. J. van Zelst, X. Lu, A brief overview of process trees, in: J. Mendling, S. J. J. Leemans, B. F. van Dongen, H. A. Reijers (Eds.), Mining a Scientist's Process - Essays Dedicated to Wil van der Aalst on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday, volume 16480 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 2026, pp. 315–332. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-17618-9_23. doi:10.1007/978-3-032-17618-9_23.
- [5] R. M. Dijkman, M. Dumas, C. Ouyang, Semantics and analysis of business process models in BPMN, *Inf. Softw. Technol.* 50 (2008) 1281–1294. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2008.02.006>. doi:10.1016/J.INFSOF.2008.02.006.
- [6] N. Lohmann, E. Verbeek, C. Ouyang, C. Stahl, Comparing and evaluating petri net semantics for BPEL, *Int. J. Bus. Process. Integr. Manag.* 4 (2009) 60–73. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBPM.2009.026986>. doi:10.1504/IJBPM.2009.026986.
- [7] M. Westergaard, F. M. Maggi, Declare: A tool suite for declarative workflow modeling and enactment, in: H. Ludwig, H. A. Reijers (Eds.), Proceedings of the Demo Track of the Ninth Conference on Business Process Management 2011, Clermont-Ferrand, France, August 31st, 2011, volume 820 of *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, CEUR-WS.org, 2011. URL: <https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-820/Demo3.pdf>.

- [8] Y. Kesten, A. Pnueli, A compositional approach to ctl* verification, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 331 (2005) 397–428. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2004.09.023>. doi:10.1016/J.TCS.2004.09.023.
- [9] S. J. J. Leemans, M. Montali, T. Gersing, F. Engelhardt, N. Sidorova, Enjoy the silence, part II: probability-based queries on stochastic labelled petri nets, in: E. G. Amparore, L. Mikulski (Eds.), *Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Concurrency - 46th International Conference, PETRI NETS 2025, Paris, France, June 22-27, 2025, Proceedings*, volume 15714 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 2025, pp. 310–332. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-94634-9_15. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-94634-9_15.
- [10] J. Esparza, M. Nielsen, Decidability issues for petri nets - a survey, *CoRR* abs/2411.01592 (2024). URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.01592>. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2411.01592. arXiv:2411.01592.
- [11] Object Management Group, *Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN), Version 2.0, Specification*, Object Management Group, 2011. URL: <https://www.omg.org/spec/BPMN/2.0/>.
- [12] C. Eisentraut, H. Hermanns, J. Katoen, L. Zhang, A semantics for every GSPN, in: J. M. Colom, J. Desel (Eds.), *Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Concurrency - 34th International Conference, PETRI NETS 2013, Milan, Italy, June 24-28, 2013. Proceedings*, volume 7927 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, 2013, pp. 90–109. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38697-8_6. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-38697-8_6.
- [13] A. Rogge-Solti, W. M. P. van der Aalst, M. Weske, Discovering stochastic petri nets with arbitrary delay distributions from event logs, in: N. Lohmann, M. Song, P. Wohed (Eds.), *Business Process Management Workshops - BPM 2013 International Workshops, Beijing, China, August 26, 2013, Revised Papers*, volume 171 of *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, Springer, 2013, pp. 15–27. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06257-0_2. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-06257-0_2.
- [14] M. de Leoni, W. M. P. van der Aalst, B. F. van Dongen, Data- and resource-aware conformance checking of business processes, in: W. Abramowicz, D. Kriksuniene, V. Sakalauskas (Eds.), *Business Information Systems - 15th International Conference, BIS 2012, Vilnius, Lithuania, May 21-23, 2012. Proceedings*, volume 117 of *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, Springer, 2012, pp. 48–59. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30359-3_5. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-30359-3_5.
- [15] A. Seidel, M. Weske, M. Montali, A. Rivkin, M. Reichert, J. M. E. M. van der Werf, W. M. P. van der Aalst, M. Breitmayer, L. Liss, J. N. van Detten, A. Jalali, S. Khayatbashi, M. König, T. Lichtenstein, S. Rinderle-Ma, B. Weber, P. Soffer, L. Rossi, D. Calegari, A. Delgado, R. M. Dijkman, S. Winkler, M. Weidlich, S. J. J. Leemans, D. Fahland, A. Swevels, M. Snoeck, G. Guizzardi, A. Gianola, A. Gal, E. Kindler, I. A. Lomazova, B. Re, G. Meroni, A. Morichetta, A. Marcelletti, S. Pettinari, B. F. van Dongen, J. D. Smedt, M. Rafiei, J. Köpke, T. T. Hildebrandt, F. Zerbato, L. Pufahl, H. A. Reijers, A. Polyvyanyy, C. D. Francescomarino, F. M. Maggi, O. Pastor, S. Haarmann, H. A. Proper, X. Lu, H. A. López, T. Slaats, J. D. Weerd, M. de Leoni, N. Martin, K. Winter, N. van Beest, O. López-Pintado, S. J. van Zelst, C. Ghidini, A. Senderovich, Object-centric process management: A research manifesto, *Inf. Syst.* 141 (2026) 102728. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2026.102728>. doi:10.1016/J.IS.2026.102728.
- [16] X. Lu, A. Gal, H. A. Reijers, Discovering hierarchical processes using flexible activity trees for event abstraction, in: B. F. van Dongen, M. Montali, M. T. Wynn (Eds.), *2nd International Conference on Process Mining, ICPM 2020, Padua, Italy, October 4-9, 2020, IEEE, 2020*, pp. 145–152. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPM49681.2020.00030>. doi:10.1109/ICPM49681.2020.00030.
- [17] S. J. J. Leemans, K. Goel, S. J. van Zelst, Using multi-level information in hierarchical process mining: Balancing behavioural quality and model complexity, in: B. F. van Dongen, M. Montali, M. T. Wynn (Eds.), *2nd International Conference on Process Mining, ICPM 2020, Padua, Italy, October 4-9, 2020, IEEE, 2020*, pp. 137–144. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPM49681.2020.00029>. doi:10.1109/ICPM49681.2020.00029.
- [18] D. M. M. Schunselaar, T. Slaats, F. M. Maggi, H. A. Reijers, W. M. P. van der Aalst, Mining hybrid business process models: A quest for better precision, in: W. Abramowicz, A. Paschke (Eds.), *Business Information Systems - 21st International Conference, BIS 2018, Berlin, Germany*,

July 18-20, 2018, Proceedings, volume 320 of *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, Springer, 2018, pp. 190–205. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93931-5_14. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-93931-5_14.