Criteria for selecting methods in user-centred design

Nigel Bevan

Professional Usability Services
12 King Edwards Gardens, London W3 9RG, UK
mail@nigelbevan.com
www.nigelbevan.com

ABSTRACT

The ISO TR 16982 technical report which provides guidance on the use of usability methods is being revised as ISO 9241-230. This paper describes the procedure currently being suggested for selecting user-centred design methods. The best practices in ISO PAS 18152 are prioritised based on the assessed benefits and risks, then the most appropriate methods to achieve the best practices are identified.

SELECTING USER-CENTRED DESIGN METHODS

Previous approaches to methods selection have focussed on the strengths and weaknesses of individual methods (e.g. [3]), and their cost benefits (e.g [1]). However the reason for using usability methods is to make specific contributions to user-centred design. As Wixon [6] says, "the goal is to produce, in the quickest time, a successful product that meets specifications with the fewest resources, while minimizing risk". "In the world of usability work on real products embedded in a corporate and business framework, we must focus on factors of success, such as how effectively the method introduces usability improvements into the product."

The approach suggested in this paper is to first identify the necessary user centred design activities, then select the most appropriate methods based on the design and organisational context.

The proposed steps needed to select user-centred methods for a project are:

- Identify which categories of human-system (HS) best practice activities in Annex A can increase business benefits or reduce project risks.
 - For any category of system development activity in column 1 of Annex A, the UCD professional can reference the best practice activities in column 2 (and read the explanation of them in ISO PAS 18152 if necessary). They can then use Annex C to help judge to what extent carrying out or not carrying out these activities will influence the final usability of the product, and hence result in potential business benefits from improved usability, or in project risks from inadequate usability [2].
- For the selected categories of best practice activities choose the most appropriate methods:
 - a) To what extent will each possible method listed in column 3 of Annex A achieve the best practices?
 - NOTE This relies on the expertise of the UCD professional supported by the documentation of the

- methods, such as that being developed by the Usability Body of Knowledge [5].
- b) How cost effective is each possible method likely to be?
 - The most cost-effective methods can be selected by using Annex B to identify the method types, and then taking account of the associated strengths, weakness and constraints of each method type (examples of which are given in Annex D):
 - Constraints: time, cost, skills available, access to stakeholders and other users (Tables 4, 5 and 8 from 16982).
 - The nature of the task: complexity, amount of training required, consequences of errors, time pressure (Table 6 from 16982).
 - The nature of the product: whether new, complexity (Table 7 from 16982).
 - Context of use: range of contexts, how well understood (Table 9, to be done).

The selection of appropriate methods can be carried out as part of project planning, and may also be reviewed prior to each system development activity.

As the development of ISO 9241-230 is in the early stages, feedback on this proposed approach s welcomed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bevan, N. (2005). Cost benefits framework and case studies. In: Bias, R.G. & Mayhew, D.J. (eds) (2005). Cost-Justifying Usability: An Update for the Internet Age. Morgan Kaufmann.
- [2] Bevan, N. (2008) Reducing risk through Human Centred Design. Proceedings of I-USED 2008, Pisa, September 2008
- [3] ISO TR 16982 (2002). Usability methods supporting human-centred design
- [4] ISO PAS 18152 (2003). A specification for the process assessment of human-system issues.
- [5] UPA (2009) Usability Body of Knowledge. www.usabilitybok.org
- [6] Wixon, D. (2003) Evaluating usability methods: why the current literature fails the practitioner. Interactions, 10 (4) pp. 28-34.

Annex A. Examples of methods that can be used to support HS best practices

Activity category	Best practices for risk mitigation	UCD methods and techniques
1. Envisioning	•Identify expected context of use of systems [forthcoming needs, trends and	-Future workshop
opportunities	expectations].	-Preliminary field visit
	•Analyze the system concept [to clarify objectives, their viability and risks].	-Focus groups
		-Photo surveys
		-Simulations of future use environments
2 6	Described a discrimential and the description of the control of th	-In-depth analysis of work and lifestyles
2. System	•Describe the objectives which the user or user organization wants to achieve	-Participatory workshops
scoping	through use of the system.	-Field observations and ethnography -Consult stakeholders
		-Human factors analysis
	•Define the scope of the context of use for the system.	-Context of use analysis
3. Understanding	•Identify and analyze the roles of each group of stakeholders likely to be	-Success critical stakeholder identification
needs	affected by the system.	-Field Observations and ethnography
a) Context of use	•Describe the characteristics of the users.	-Participatory workshop
	•Describe the cultural environment/ organizational/ management regime.	-Work context analysis
	•Describe the characteristics of any equipment external to the system and the	-Context of use analysis
	working environment.	-Event data analysis
	•Describe the location, workplace equipment and ambient conditions.	-Participatory workshops
	•Decide the goals, behaviours and tasks of the organization that influence human resources	-Contextual enquiry
	Present context and human resources options and constraints to the project	
	stakeholders.	
b) Tasks	•Analyze the tasks and worksystem.	-Task analysis
, == =	,	-Cognitive task analysis
		-Work context analysis
c) Usability needs	Perform research into required system usability.	-Investigate required system usability
		-Usability benchmarking
		-Heuristic/expert evaluation
d) Design options	•Generate design options for each aspect of the system related to its use and its	-Early prototyping & usability evaluation
	effect on stakeholders.	-Develop simulations
4 D	Produce user-centred solutions for each design option. And a classification of the control	-Parallel design (tiger testing)
4. Requirements	•Analyze the implications of the context of use.	-Define the intended context of use
a) Context requirements	 Present context of use issues to project stakeholders for use in the development or operation of the system. 	including boundaries
b) Infrastructure	Identify, specify and produce the infrastructure for the system.	-Identify staffing requirements and any
requirements	Build required competencies into training and awareness programs.	training or support needed to ensure that
. 7	•Define the global numbers, skills and supporting equipment needed to achieve	users achieve acceptable performance
	those tasks.	A
c) User	•Set and agree the expected behaviour and performance of the system with	-Scenarios
requirements	respect to the user.	-Personas
	•Develop an explicit statement of the user requirements for the system.	-Storyboards
	•Analyze the user requirements.	-Establish performance and satisfaction
	•Generate and agree on measurable criteria for the system in its intended context of use.	goals for specific scenarios of use -Define detailed user interface
	Context of use.	requirements
		-Prioritize requirements (eg OFD)
5. Architecting	•Generate design options for each aspect of the system related to its use and its	-Function allocation
solutions	effect on stakeholders.	-Generate design options
a) System	•Produce user-centred solutions for each design option.	-Develop prototypes
architecting	•Design for customization.	-Develop simulations
	•Develop simulation or trial implementation of key aspects of the system for the	
	purposes of testing with users.	
	•Distribute functions between the human, machine and organizational elements	
	of the system best able to fulfil each function. •Develop a practical model of the user's work from the requirements, context of	
	use, allocation of function and design constraints for the system.	
	Produce designs for the user-related elements of the system that take account	
	of the user requirements, context of use and HF data.	
	•Produce a description of how the system will be used.	
b) Human	•Decide the goals, behaviours and tasks of the organization [that influence	-Work domain analysis
elements	human resources]	-Task analysis
	•Define the global numbers, skills and supporting equipment needed to achieve	-Participatory design
	those tasks.	-Workload assessment
	•Identify current tasking/duty	-Human performance model
	•Analyze gap between existing and future provision	-Design for alertness
	•Identify skill requirements for each role	-Plan staffing

	Predict staff wastage between present and future.	
	•Calculate the available staffing, taking account of working hours, attainable	
	effort and non-availability factor	
	•Identify and allocate the functions to be performed Functional decomposition	
	and allocation of function.	
	•Specify and produce job designs and competence/ skills required to be	
	delivered	
	•Calculate the required number of personnel.	
	Generate costed options for delivery of training and/or redeployment Evolve options and constraints into an optimal [training] implementation plan	
	(4.3.5)	
	•Define how users will be re-allocated, dismissed, or transferred to other duties.	
	Predict staff wastage between present and future.	
	•Calculate the available staffing, taking account of working hours, attainable	
	effort and nonavailability factor.	
	•Compare to define gap and communicate requirement to design of staffing	
	solutions.	
c) Hardware	See a) System architecting.	-Prototyping and usability evaluation
elements		-Physical ergonomics
		-Participatory design
d) Software	See a) System architecting.	-User interface guidelines and standards
elements		-Prototyping and usability evaluation
		-Participatory design
6. Life-cycle	•Develop a plan to achieve and maintain usability throughout the life of the	-Plan to achieve and maintain usability
planning a) Planning	system.	-Plan use of HSI data to mitigate risks
b) Risks	Identify the specialist skills required and plan how to provide them. Plan and manage use of HF data to mitigate risks related to HS issues.	-HSI program risk analysis
U) KISKS	Evaluate the current severity of emerging threats to system usability and other	-HSI program risk analysis
	HS risks and the effectiveness of mitigation measures.	
	•Take effective mitigation to address risks to system usability.	
c) User	•Identify the HS issues and aspects of the system that require user input.	-Identify HSI issues and aspects of the
involvement	•Define a strategy and plan for user involvement.	system requiring user input
	•Select and use the most effective method to elicit user input.	-Develop a plan for user involvement
	•Customize tools and methods as necessary for particular projects/stages.	-Select and use the most effective methods
	•Seek and exploit expert guidance and advice on HS issues.	-Customize tools and methods as
45.4		necessary
d) Acquisition	•Take account of stakeholder and user issues in acquisition activities.	-Common Industry Format
e) Human	•Implement the HR strategy that gives the organisation a mechanism for	
resources	implementing and recording lessons learnt •Enable and encourage people and teams to work together to deliver the	
	organization's objectives.	
	Create capability to meet system requirements in the future (conduct)	
	succession planning)	
	•Develop and trial training solution to representative users.	
	•Deliver final training solutions to designated staff according to agreed	
	timetable.	
	•Provide means for user feedback [on human issues].	
7. Evaluation	•Assess the health and well-being risks to the users of the system.	-Risk analysis (process and product)
\ D: 1	•Assess the risks to the community and environment arising from human error	
a) Risks	in the use of the system.	
	•Evaluate the current severity of emerging threats to system usability and other	
	HS risks and the effectiveness of mitigation measures. •Assess the risks of not involving end users in each evaluation.	
b) Plan and	Assess the risks of not involving end users in each evaluation. Collect user input on the usability of the developing system.	-Obtain user feedback on usability
execute	Revise design and safety features using feedback from evaluations.	-Use models and simulation
5.100ato	Plan the evaluation. Plan the evaluation.	ose models and simulation
	•Identify and analyze the conditions under which a system is to be tested or	
	otherwise evaluated.	
	•Check that the system is fit for evaluation.	
	•Carry out and analyze the evaluation according to the evaluation plan.	
	•Understand and act on the results of the evaluation.	
c) Validation	•Test that the system meets the requirements of the users, the tasks and the	-Compare with requirements
	environment, as defined in its specification.	-Common Industry Format for usability
	•Assess the extent to which usability criteria and other HS requirements are	reports
1) ******	likely to be met by the proposed design.	-Performance measurement
d) HSI knowledge	•Review the system for adherence to applicable human science knowledge,	
a) C4a CC	style guides, standards, guidelines, regulations and legislation.	IID
e) Staffing	•Decide how many people are needed to fulfill the strategy and what ranges of	HR
	competence they need.	

	De des establishmists establishmists	T
	 Develop and trial training solution to representative users. Conduct assessments of usability [relating to HR]. 	
	•Interpret the findings	
	•Validate the data.	
	•Check that the data are being used.	
8. Negotiating commitments a) business case	Contribute to the business case for the system. Include HS review and sign-off in all reviews and decisions	-Program risk analysis
b) requirements	Analyze the user requirements. Present these requirements to project stakeholders for use in the development and operation of the system. Identify any staffing gap and communicate requirement to design of staffing solutions.	-Value-based practices and principles (identify success critical stakeholder requirements) -Common Industry Specification for Usability Requirements -Environment/organization assessment
9. Development and evolution	Maintain contact with users and the client organization throughout the definition, development and introduction of a system. Evolve options and constraints into an implementation strategy covering technical, integration, and planning and manning issues.	-Risk analysis (process and product) -User feedback on usability -Use models and simulation -Guidelines: Common Industry Format for usability reports -Performance measurement
10. Monitoring and control	Analyze feedback on the system during delivery and inform the organization of emerging issues. Manage the life cycle plan to address HS issues. Take effective mitigation to address risks to system usability. Take account of user input and inform users. Identify emerging HS issues. Understand and act on the results of the evaluation. Produce and promulgate a validated statement of staffing shortfall by number and range of competence.	-Organizational and environmental context analysis -Risk Analysis -User feedback -Work context analysis
11. Operations	•Analyze feedback on the system during delivery and inform the organization	-Work context analysis
and retirement	of emerging issues.	-Organizational and environmental
a) Operations	 Produce personnel strategy. Review the system for adherence to applicable human science knowledge, style guides, standards, guidelines, regulations and legislation. Deliver training and other forms of awareness-raising to users and support staff. 	context analysis
	•Assess the effect of change on the usability of the system. •Review the health and well-being risks to the users of the system. •Review the risks to the community and environment arising from human error in the use of the system. •Take action on issues arising from in-service assessment. •Perform research to refine and consolidate operation and support strategy for the system.	
b) Retirement	*Collect and analyze in-service reports to generate updates or lessons learnt for the next version of the system.	
	•Identify risks and health and safety issues associated with removal from service and destruction of the system. •Define how users will be re-allocated, dismissed, or transferred to other duties. •Plan break-up of social structures.	
12. Organizational	Debriefing and retrospective analysis for replacement system. Identify and use the most suitable data formats for exchanging HF data.	-Assess and improve HSI capability
capability improvement a) HSI capability	 Have a policy for HF data management. Perform research to develop HF data as required. Produce coherent data standards and formats. 	-2 155055 and improve 1151 Capability
data collection,	•Define rules for the management of data.	
analysis, and improvement	Develop and maintain adequate data search methods. Feedback into future HR procurement, training and delivery strategies.	
b) Organizational	Define usability as a competitive asset	-Develop and maintain HSI infrastructure
skill/career and	•Set usability, health and safety objectives for systems	and resources
infrastructure	•Follow competitive situation in the market place	-Identify required HSI skills
development	•Develop user-centred infrastructure.	-Provide staff with HSI skills
planning and	•Relate HS issues to business benefits.	-Establish and communicate a policy on
execution	•Establish and communicate a policy for human-centeredness.	HSI
	•Include HR and user-centred elements in support and control procedures.	-Maintain an awareness of usability
	•Define and maintain HCD and HR infrastructure and resources.	
	 Increase and maintain awareness of usability. Develop or provide staff with suitable HS skills. 	
	• Take account of HS issues in financial management	

safety.	
•Develop a common terminology for HS issues with the organization.	
•Facilitate personal and technical interactions related to HS issues.	
•Feedback into future HR procurement, training and delivery strategies.	
•Create capability to meet system requirements in the future (conduct	
succession planning)	
•Identify any opportunities for redeployment.	
•Develop a strategy for [HR] data gathering	

ANNEX B. METHOD TYPES

This table (in its final version) will cross-reference the methods and techniques in Annex A to the different method types in the columns of the tables in Annex D, thus enabling the criteria in Annex D to be applied to the methods and techniques in Annex A.

	Method type											
	-											
Usability methods and techniques	Observation of users	Performance-related measurements	Critical-incident analysis	Questionnaires	Interviews	Thinking aloud	Collaborative design and evaluation	Creativity methods	Document-based methods	Model-based methods	Expert evaluation	Automated evaluation
Assess and improve HSI capability												
Cognitive task analysis												
Common Industry Format for usability reports												
Common Industry Specification for Usability												
Requirements												
Compare with requirements												
Consult stakeholders												
Context of use analysis												
Contextual enquiry												
Customize tools and methods as necessary												
Define detailed user interface requirements												
Define the intended context of use including boundaries												
Design for alertness												
Develop a plan for user involvement												
Develop and maintain HSI infrastructure and resources												
Develop prototypes												
Develop simulations												
Early prototyping and usability evaluation												
Environment/organization assessment												
Establish and communicate a policy on HSI												
Establish performance and satisfaction goals for specific												
scenarios of use												
Event data analysis												-
Field observations and ethnography												-
Focus groups							1					<u> </u>
Function allocation					-	1						
Future workshop					-							
Generate design options	1				1	1	1					-
Guidelines: Common Industry Format for usability reports												
Heuristic/expert evaluation												
HR					1							
HSI program risk analysis					1	1						
Human factors analysis					1	1						
Human performance model					1	1						
r	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1

Identify HSI issues and aspects of the system requiring	П	1	1	I	ı			
user input	\vdash							
Identify required HSI skills	 							
Identify staffing requirements and any training or								
support needed to ensure that users achieve acceptable								
performance	\vdash							
In-depth analysis of work and lifestyles								
Investigate required system usability								
Maintain an awareness of usability								
Obtain user feedback on usability	\longmapsto							
Organizational and environmental context analysis	igsquare							
Parallel design (tiger testing)	lacksquare							
Participatory design	L							
Participatory workshop								
Performance measurement								
Personas								
Photo surveys								
Physical ergonomics								
Plan staffing								
Plan to achieve and maintain usability								
Plan use of HSI data to mitigate risks								
Preliminary field visit								
Prioritize requirements (eg QFD)								
Program risk analysis								
Prototyping and usability evaluation								
Provide staff with HSI skills								
Risk analysis (process and product)								
Scenarios								
Select and use the most effective methods								
Simulations of future working environments								
Storyboards								
Success critical stakeholder identification								
Task analysis								
Usability benchmarking								
Use models and simulation								
User feedback								
User feedback on usability								
User interface guidelines and standards	\vdash							
Value-based practices and principles (identify success	\vdash							
critical stakeholder requirements)								
Work context analysis	\vdash							
Workload assessment	$\vdash \vdash$							
WOINIOAU ASSESSIIIEIIL	<u> </u>			l	l			

ANNEX C: BUSINESS BENEFITS AND PROJECT RISKS

Developing a product with increased usability can provide business benefits (Table C1, column 1). Conversely, developing a product with inadequate usability can risk not achieving stated project objectives (Table C1, column 2).

The ultimate goal of system development is to produce a system that satisfies the needs of its operational stakeholders (including users, operators, administrators, maintainers and the general public) within acceptable levels of the resources of its development stakeholders (including funders, acquirers, developers and suppliers). Operational stakeholders need a system that is effective, efficient and satisfying. Developing and delivering systems that satisfy all of these success-critical

stakeholders usually requires managing a complex set of risks such as usage uncertainties, schedule uncertainties, supply issues, requirements changes, and uncertainties associated with technology maturity and technical design.

The additional expenditure needed for human centred activities is often difficult to justify because the budget holder for project development often may not personally gain from the potential business benefits such as increased sales or reduced whole life costs. Project managers may therefore be more influenced by the risks of not achieving stated project objectives. It is thus useful to understand both the potential cost benefits of usability and the associated risks when justifying resources for usability.

Table C1. Benefits and risks associated with usability

Business benefit	Risk
A. Reduced development costs	A: Increased development costs to produce an acceptable system
Detecting and fixing usability problems early in the development process	Not detecting and fixing usability problems early in the development process
Reducing the cost of future redesign or radical change of the	Increasing the cost of future redesign or radical change of
architecture to make future versions of the product more usable	the architecture to make future versions of the product more usable
Reduced costs due to only necessary functionality	Increased costs due to unnecessary functionality
Reduced costs due to minimising documentation	Increased costs due to additional documentation
Reducing the risk of product failure	Product fails
B: Web site usability: improved web sales	B: Web site usability: poor web sales
Users more frequently find products that they want to purchase	Users cannot find products that they want to purchase
Users more easily find additional information (e.g. delivery, return and warranty information)	Users cannot find additional information (e.g. delivery, return and warranty information)
Satisfied users are more likely to make repeat purchases	Dissatisfied users do not make repeat purchases
Users trust the web site (with personal information and to operate correctly)	Users do not trust the web site (with personal information and to operate correctly)
Users recommend the web site to others	Users do not recommend the web site to others
Web site increases sales through other channels	Web site fails to increase sales through other channels
Reduced support costs	Increased support costs
C: Product usability: improved product sales	C: Product usability: poor product sales
Improve the competitive edge by marketing the products or services	Competitors gain advantage by marketing competitive
as easy to use	products or services as easy to use
Satisfied customers make repeat purchases or recommend the	Dissatisfied customers do not make repeat purchases or
product to others	recommend the product to others
 Good ratings for usability in product reviews 	Poor ratings for usability in product reviews
Improve the brand	Brand damage
D: Improved productivity: benefits to purchasing organisation	D: Poor productivity: risks to purchasing organisation
 Faster learning and better retention of information 	Slower learning and poorer retention of information
 Reduced task time and increased productivity 	Increased task time and reduced productivity
 Reduced employee errors that have to be corrected later 	Increased employee errors that have to be corrected later
Reduced employee errors that impact on the quality of service	Increased employee errors that impact on the quality of service
Reduced staff turnover as a result of higher satisfaction and motivation	Increased staff turnover as a result of lower satisfaction and motivation
Reduced time spent by other staff providing assistance when users encounter difficulties	Increased time spent by other staff providing assistance when users encounter difficulties
E: Reduced support and maintenance costs	E: Increased support and maintenance costs
Reduced support and maintenance costs Reduced support and help line costs	Increased support and maintenance costs Increased support and help line costs
Reduced support and neith line costs Reduced costs of training	Increased costs of training
Reduced costs of training Reduced maintenance costs	Increased costs of training Increased maintenance costs
	- Increased maintenance costs

ANNEX D. EXAMPLES OF CRITERIA FOR METHOD SELECTION, FROM ISO TR 16982

Legend
++ Recommended;
+ Appropriate;
When the cell is empty Neutral;
- Not recommended;
NA Not applicable (NA).

Table 4 — The constraints of the environment on the project

	Methods											
Project characteristics		Performance-related measurements	Critical incidents analysis	Questionnaires	Interviews	Thinking aloud	Collaborative design and evaluation	Creativity methods	Document-based methods	Model-based methods	Expert evaluation	Automated evaluation
Very tight time-scale		_	_	1		_	_		+	_	++	+
Cost/price control		-	-		-	-		_	++	-	+	
High quality level of the product to be delivered as the dominant requirement	++	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Need for an early information/feed- back/diagnosis				+	++		+	+			+	
highly evolving specifications	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+				

Table 5 — Methods related to the user characteristics

	Methods											
User characteristics		Performance-related measurements	Critical incidents analysis	Questionnaires	Interviews	Thinking aloud	Collaborative design and evaluation	Creativity methods	Document-based methods	Model-based methods	Expert evaluation	Automated evaluation
Cannot be involved/accessed	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	+	+	+
Can be involved/accessed	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	+
Have a significant disability		+	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	_	+	_