

Pattern for Re-engineering a Term-based Thesaurus, Which Follows the Record-based Model, to a Lightweight Ontology

http://ontologydesignpatterns.org/wiki/Submissions:Term-based_-_record-based_model_-_thesaurus_to_lightweight_ontology

Boris Villazón-Terrazas¹, Mari Carmen Suárez-Figueroa¹, and Asunción Gómez-Pérez¹

Ontology Engineering Group, Departamento de Inteligencia Artificial, Facultad de Informática, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain
 {bvillazon,mcsuarez,asun}@fi.upm.es,
 WWW home page: <http://www.oeg-upm.net/>

1 Introduction

This pattern for re-engineering non-ontological resources (PR-NOR) fits in the Schema Re-engineering Category proposed by [3]. The pattern defines a procedure that transforms the term-based thesaurus components into ontology representational primitives. This pattern comes from the experience of ontology engineers in developing ontologies using thesauri in several projects (SEEMP¹, NeOn², and Knowledge Web³). The pattern is included in a pool of patterns, which is a key element of our method for re-engineering non-ontological resources into ontologies [2]. The patterns generate the ontologies at a conceptualization level, independent of the ontology implementation language.

2 Pattern

Problem																								
Re-engineering a term-based thesaurus, which follows the record-based model, to design a lightweight ontology.																								
Non-Ontological Resource																								
<p>A non-ontological resource holds a term-based thesaurus which follows the record-based model. A thesaurus represents the knowledge of a domain with a collection of terms and a limited set of relations between them.</p> <p>The record-based data model [4] is a denormalized structure, uses a record for every term with the information about the term, such as synonyms, broader, narrower and related terms.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Term</th> <th>BT</th> <th>NT</th> <th>RT</th> <th>UF</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Term1</td> <td>BTterm1</td> <td>NTTerm1</td> <td>Term2</td> <td>UFTerm1</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="8">Term2</td> <td rowspan="8">BTterm2</td> <td>NTTerm2</td> <td rowspan="8">RTTerm3</td> <td rowspan="8"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTTerm10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Term	BT	NT	RT	UF	Term1	BTterm1	NTTerm1	Term2	UFTerm1	Term2	BTterm2	NTTerm2	RTTerm3		NTTerm3	NTTerm4	NTTerm5	NTTerm6	NTTerm7	NTTerm8	NTTerm9	NTTerm10
Term	BT	NT	RT	UF																				
Term1	BTterm1	NTTerm1	Term2	UFTerm1																				
Term2	BTterm2	NTTerm2	RTTerm3																					
		NTTerm3																						
		NTTerm4																						
		NTTerm5																						
		NTTerm6																						
		NTTerm7																						
		NTTerm8																						
		NTTerm9																						
NTTerm10																								
Applicability																								
The semantics of the relation between narrower and broader terms are <i>subClassOf</i> .																								

¹ <http://www.seemp.org>

² <http://www.neon-project.org>

³ <http://knowledgeweb.semanticweb.org>

Ontology Generated	
<p>The ontology generated will be based on the lightweight ontology architectural pattern (AP-LW-01) [5]. Each thesaurus term is mapped to a class. A <i>subClassOf</i> relation is defined between the new classes for the BT/NT relation. A <i>relatedClass</i> relation is defined between the new classes for the RT relation. For the UF/USE relations the SynonymOrEquivalence (SOE) pattern [1] is applied.</p>	
Process - Solution	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify the records that contain thesaurus terms without a <i>broader term</i>. 2. For each one of the above identified thesaurus terms t_i: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1. Create the corresponding ontology class, C_i class, if it is not created yet. 2.2. Identify the thesaurus term, t_j, which are narrower terms of t_i. They are referenced in the same record that contains t_i. 2.3. For each one of the above identified thesaurus term t_j: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.3.1. Create the corresponding ontology class, C_j class, if it is not created yet. 2.3.2. Set up the <i>subClassOf</i> relation between C_j and C_i. 2.3.3. Repeat from step 2.2 for c_j as a new t_i. 2.4. Identify the thesaurus term, t_r, which are related terms of t_i. They are referenced in the same record that contains t_i. 2.5. For each one of the above identified thesaurus term t_r: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.5.1. Create the corresponding ontology class, C_r class, if it is not created yet. 2.5.2. Set up the <i>relatedClass</i> relation between C_r and C_i. 2.5.3. Repeat from step 2.4 for t_r as a new t_i. 2.6. Identify the thesaurus term, t_q, which are equivalent terms of t_i. They are referenced in the same record that contains t_i. 2.7. For each one of the above identified thesaurus term t_q: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.7.1. Apply the SynonymOrEquivalence (SOE) pattern. 	
Example	
<p>Suppose that someone wants to build a lightweight ontology based on the European Training Thesaurus (ETT), which is a term-based thesaurus and it follows the record-based model.</p>	

Non-Ontological Resource																
<p>The European Training Thesaurus (ETT) constitutes the controlled vocabulary of reference in the field of vocational education and training (VET) in Europe. The relation semantics between the sub-ordinate and the super-ordinate concepts is <i>subClassOf</i>. This classification scheme is available at http://libserver.cedefop.europa.eu/ett/en/</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Term</th> <th>BT</th> <th>NT</th> <th>RT</th> <th>UF</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>competence</td> <td>learning</td> <td>skill</td> <td>aptitude know how knowledge performance</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>performance</td> <td>personal development</td> <td>efficiency failure success</td> <td>competence productivity</td> <td>achievement</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Term	BT	NT	RT	UF	competence	learning	skill	aptitude know how knowledge performance		performance	personal development	efficiency failure success	competence productivity	achievement
Term	BT	NT	RT	UF												
competence	learning	skill	aptitude know how knowledge performance													
performance	personal development	efficiency failure success	competence productivity	achievement												
Ontology Generated																
<p>The ontology generated will be based on the lightweight ontology architectural pattern (AP-LW-01) [5]. Each thesaurus term is mapped to a class. A <i>subClassOf</i> relation is defined between the new classes for the BT/NT relation. A <i>relatedClass</i> relation is defined between the new classes for the RT relation. For the UF/USE relations the SynonymOrEquivalence (SOE) pattern [1] is applied.</p>	<p>The diagram shows a class hierarchy where 'learning' is the superclass of 'competence' and 'personal development'. 'competence' is the superclass of 'skill'. 'personal development' is the superclass of 'performance'. 'performance' is the superclass of 'efficiency', 'failure', and 'success'. A 'relatedClass' relationship is shown between 'competence' and 'performance'. 'achievement' is a label for 'performance'. 'skill' is a subClassOf 'competence'. 'efficiency', 'failure', and 'success' are subClasses of 'performance'.</p>															
Process - Solution																
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Create the learning class and the personal development class. 2. Create the competence class and assert that competence is <i>subClassOf</i> learning. 3. Create the performance class and assert that performance is <i>subClassOf</i> development. 4. Assert that achievement is label of the performance class. 5. Assert that competence is <i>relatedClass</i> of performance. 6. Create the skill class and assert that skill is <i>subClassOf</i> competence. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6.1. Create the efficiency class and assert that efficiency is <i>subClassOf</i> performance. 6.2. Create the failure class and assert that failure is <i>subClassOf</i> performance. 6.3. Create the success class and assert that success is <i>subClassOf</i> performance. 	<p>The flowchart starts with a start node and proceeds through the following steps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Create the learning class and the personal development class. 2. Create the competence class and assert that competence is subClassOf learning. 3. Create the performance class and assert that performance is subClassOf development. 4. Assert that achievement is label of performance. 5. Assert that competence is relatedClass of performance. 6. Create the skill class and assert that skill is subClassOf competence. 7. Create the efficiency class and assert that efficiency is subClassOf performance. 8. Create the failure class and assert that failure is subClassOf performance. 9. Create the success class and assert that success is subClassOf performance. </p>															
Related Resources																
<p>This pattern is related to the architectural pattern AP-LW-01 [5] for modelling a lightweight ontology.</p>																

3 Pattern Usage

This pattern is being applied to re-engineer the European Training Thesaurus (ETT)⁴ into a Education Ontology⁵, within the context of the SEEMP project. It contains over 2500 terms (1550 are descriptors, and 950 non descriptors). This term-based thesaurus is modelled following the record-based data model.

4 Summary and Future Work

We have presented a pattern for transforming a term-based thesaurus, which is modelled following a record-based data model, into a lightweight ontology. The pattern is included in a pool of patterns, which is a key element of our method for re-engineering non-ontological resources into ontologies [2].

We plan to develop software libraries within a framework that implement the transformation process suggested by the pattern. Moreover, we will include external resources to improve the quality of the resultant ontologies. Finally, we need to calculate how much effort do we save re-engineering classification schemes using patterns compared with re-engineering classification schemes without them.

Acknowledgments. This work has been partially supported by the European Comission projects NeOn(FP6-027595) and SEEMP(FP6-027347), as well as by an R+D grant from the UPM.

References

1. C. Roussey and O. Corcho. SynonymOrEquivalence (SOE) Pattern. <http://ontologydesignpatterns.org>, 2009.
2. A. García, A. Gómez-Pérez, M. C. Suárez-Figueroa, and B. Villazón-Terrazas. A Pattern Based Approach for Re-engineering Non-Ontological Resources into Ontologies. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Asian Semantic Web Conference (ASWC2008)*. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
3. V. Presutti, A. Gangemi, S. David, G. Aguado de Cea, M. C. Surez-Figueroa, E. Montiel-Ponsoda, and M. Poveda. NeOn Deliverable D2.5.1. A Library of Ontology Design Patterns: reusable solutions for collaborative design of networked ontologies. In *NeOn Project*. <http://www.neon-project.org>, 2008.
4. D. Soergel. Data models for an integrated thesaurus database. *Comatibility and Integration of Order Systems*, 24(3):47–57, 1995.
5. M. C. Suárez-Figueroa, S. Brockmans, A. Gangemi, A. Gómez-Pérez, J. Lehmann, H. Lewen, V. Presutti, and M. Sabou. Neon modelling components. Technical report, NeOn project deliverable D5.1.1, 2007.

⁴ <http://libserver.cedefop.europa.eu/ett/en/>

⁵ The ontology will be available at <http://droz.dia.fi.upm.es/hrmontology/>