











recognition methods, which can extract the information about the biomedical concepts outside of the ontologies in texts. We are planning to use this information to develop a richer presentation of text and find relationships between the publication text and the queried biomedical concept.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to acknowledge Martin O'Connor and Siddharth Taduri for their comments on the approach. This research was supported in part by grant R01 MH87756 from the National Institutes of Health.

## References

1. Muller, H.M., Kenny, E.E., Sternberg, P.W.: Textpresso: An Ontology-Based Information Retrieval and Extraction System for Biological Literature. *PLoS Biol.* 2(11):e309. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020309 (2004)
2. Young, L., Tu, S.W., Tennakoon, L., Vismer, D., Astakhov, V., Gupta, A., Grethe, J.S., Martone, M.E., Das, A.K., McAuliffe, M.J.: Ontology Driven Data Integration for Autism Research. 22<sup>nd</sup> IEEE International Symposium on Computer Based Medical Systems, pp. 1–7, Albuquerque, NM (2009)
3. Tu, S.W., Tennakoon, L., Das, A.K.: Using an Integrated Ontology and Information Model for Querying and Reasoning about Phenotypes: The Case of Autism. *AMIA Annual Symposium*, pp. 727–731, Washington, DC (2008)
4. Hus, V., Pickles, A., Cook, E.H., Risi, S., Lord, C.: Using the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised to Increase Phenotypic Homogeneity in Genetic Studies of Autism. *Biol Psychiatry.* 61(4), 438–448 (2007)
5. McGuinness, D.L., van Harmelen, F.: OWL Web Ontology Language Overview. W3C Recommendation, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-owl-features-20040210/>> (2004)
6. Horrocks, I., Patel-Schneider, P.F., Boley, H., Tabet, S., Grosz, B., Dean, M.: SWRL: A Semantic Web Rule Language Combining OWL and RuleML. <<http://www.w3.org/Submission/SWRL/>> (2004)
7. Open Directory Project, <http://www.dmoz.org/>
8. Google support on snippets, <http://www.google.com/support/webmasters/bin/answer.py?hl=en&answer=35624>
9. Cooper, W.S.: Fact Retrieval and Deductive Question-Answering Information Retrieval Systems. *J. ACM.* 11(2), 117–137 (1964)
10. Miliaraki, S., Androutsopoulos, I.: Learning to Identify Single-snippet Answers to Definition Questions. 20<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Geneva, Switzerland (2004)
11. Radev, D.R., Prager, J., Samn, V.: Ranking Suspected Answers to Natural Language Questions Using Predictive Annotation. 6<sup>th</sup> Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing, pp.150–157, Seattle, WA (2000)
12. Joho, H., Sanderson, M., Retrieving Descriptive Phrases from Large Amounts of Free Text. 9<sup>th</sup> ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, pp. 180–186, McLean, VA (2000)
13. Salton, G., Wong, A., Yang C.S.: A Vector Space Model for Automatic Indexing. *Commun ACM.* 18(11), 613–620 (1975)
14. Porter stemmer, <http://tartarus.org/~martin/PorterStemmer>
15. List of English stop words, <http://members.unine.ch/jacques.savoy/clef>