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#### Abstract

We present finite automata for shuffle languages, iteration lemmata for languages with catenation and shuffle, closure properties of such language classes, as well as decidability results.
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## 1 Introduction

In [4] language classes based on the two operators catenation and shuffle were investigated, and a complete hierarchy was established. Such classes are important in the area of concurrency, in particular for modelling client/server systems $[2,3]$. This paper actually is a continuation of [4]. For definitions and results we refer to it. Here we deal in particular with the upper hierarchy of that article.

In section 2 we introduce a finite automaton with shuffle as bascic operation as an analogon to well known finite automata with catenation as basic operation and show the equivalence to shuffle-rational sets. Section 3 deals with structural properties as iteration lemmata and semilinearity. Finally, in section 4 closure properties under language operations are investigated, as union, intersection, catenation, shuffle, their iterations, intersection with regular and shuffle-rational sets, complement, set difference, reversal, prefix, and quotient. In section 5 some decidability results are presented. Some complexity results are given in [1].

In this article, $\odot$ always denotes concatenation of words or languages and $ш$ denotes the shuffle of words, $w w v=\left\{u_{1} v_{1} \odot \cdots \odot u_{n} v_{n} \mid w=\odot u_{i}, v=\bigodot v_{i}\right\}$, also extended to languages by $L_{1} ш L_{2}=\bigcup_{v \in L_{1}, w \in L_{2}} v ш w$.

## 2 Shuffle Finite Automata

In case of shuffle as basic operator one can also define a finite automaton, the shuffle finite automaton. Remember that $\mathcal{S H Z \mathcal { F }}=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(ш)=\left(\cup, ш,{ }^{\omega}\right)(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{I N})$ is the closure of the finite languages under union, shuffle and iterated shuffle.

Definition 1. Shuffle Finite Automaton
A non-deterministic shuffle finite automaton NSFA is defined similar to finite automata as $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_{0}, Q_{f}\right)$ with $\quad Q$ a finite set of states, $\quad Q_{0} \subseteq Q$
the initial states, $Q_{f} \subseteq Q$ the final states, $\Sigma$ a finite set of symbols, and $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma^{*} \times Q$ a finite set of transitions.

A configuration of $A$ is a pair $(q, w) \in Q \times \Sigma^{*}$. A direct step of $A$ is defined by $(q, w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ iff $\left(q, \alpha, q^{\prime}\right) \in \delta \wedge \exists w^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{*}: w \in\{\alpha\} ш\left\{w^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $\vdash^{*}$ denote the reflexive and transitive closure of $\vdash$. The language accepted by $A$ is
$L(A)=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid \exists q_{0} \in Q_{0} \exists q_{f} \in Q_{f}:\left(q_{0}, w\right) \vdash^{*}\left(q_{f}, \lambda\right)\right\}$.
Let $\mathcal{N S F A}$ denote the class of all languages accepted by NSFA.
Lemma 1. $\mathcal{R A \mathcal { T }}(ш) \subseteq \mathcal{N S F \mathcal { A }}$.
Proof. $L=\{w\}$ with $w \in \Sigma^{*}$. Then $L$ is accepted by the shuffle finite automaton $A=\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{f}\right\}, \Sigma,\left\{\left(q_{0}, w, q_{f}\right)\right\},\left\{q_{0}\right\},\left\{q_{f}\right\}\right)$.
$L=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$. Let $A_{i}=\left(Q_{i}, \Sigma_{i}, \delta_{i}, Q_{0 i}, Q_{f i}\right)$ accept $L_{i}$. Wlog assume $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}=\emptyset$. Then $L$ is accepted by $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_{0}, Q_{f}\right)$ where $Q=Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}, Q_{0}=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$, $Q_{f}=Q_{f 1} \cup Q_{f 2}, \Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$, and
$\delta=\left\{\left(q_{0}, \lambda, q_{0 i}\right) \mid q_{0 i} \in Q_{0 i},=i \in\{1,2\}\right\} \cup \delta_{1} \cup \delta_{2}$.
$L=L_{1} ш L_{2}$. Let $A_{i}=\left(Q_{i}, \Sigma_{i}, \delta_{i}, Q_{0 i}, Q_{f i}\right)$ accept $L_{i}$. Wlog assume $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}=\emptyset$.
Then $L$ is accepted by $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_{0}, Q_{f}\right)$ where
$Q=Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}, Q_{0}=Q_{01}, Q_{f}=Q_{f 2}, \Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$, and
$\delta=\left\{\left(q_{f 1}, \lambda, q_{02}\right) \mid q_{f 1} \in Q_{f 1}, q_{02} \in Q_{02}\right\} \cup \delta_{1} \cup \delta_{2}$
$L=L_{1}^{\amalg}$. Let $A^{\prime}=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta^{\prime}, Q_{0}, Q_{f}\right)$ accept $M$. Then $L$ is accepted by the NSFA
$A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_{0}, Q_{f}^{\prime}\right)$ where $Q_{f}^{\prime}=Q_{0} \cup Q_{f}$, and

$$
\delta=\left\{\left(q_{f}, \lambda, q_{0}\right) \mid q_{0} \in Q_{0}, q_{f} \in Q_{f}\right\} \cup \delta^{\prime}
$$


Proof. Let $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_{0}, Q_{f}\right)$ be a NSFA. Construct a system of equations, using the set of states $Q$ as variables: $q=q^{\prime} ш \alpha$ iff $\left(q, \alpha, q^{\prime}\right) \in \delta, q=\alpha$ iff $\left(q, \alpha, q_{f}\right) \in \delta^{*} \wedge q_{f} \in Q_{f}$. Then $L(A)=\bigcup_{q_{0} \in Q_{0}} q_{0}$.

From this we get

As an example, consider $\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\},\{a, b\},\left\{\left(q_{0}, a b, q_{1}\right),\left(q_{1}, c, q_{1}\right),\left(q_{1}, c d, q_{0}\right)\right\}\right.$, $\left.\left\{q_{0}\right\},\left\{q_{1}\right\}\right)$, which accepts the language $\{a b\} ш(\{c d\} ш\{a b\} \cup\{c\})^{ш}$.

## 3 Structural Properties

In this section we exhibit iteration lemmata for the rational, linear and algebraic languages on catenation and shuffle, which generalize the well known lemmata for languages based on catenation (see also [7]), and semilinearity of all classes considered. Structural properties are used to show part of the relationships of $\mathcal{R A \mathcal { A }}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L}(\odot, ш)$ to the Chomsky hierarchy $\mathcal{R E \mathcal { G }} \subset \mathcal{C} \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C S}$.

### 3.1 Iteration Lemmata

Let the classes defined by systems of equations be given by grammars in normal form, i.e. all productions are of the form $X \rightarrow Y \circ \alpha, X \rightarrow \alpha$ for $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$, $X \rightarrow Y \circ \alpha, X \rightarrow \beta \circ Y, X \rightarrow \alpha$ for $\mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$, and $X \rightarrow Y \circ Z, X \rightarrow \alpha$ for $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш)$, where $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{V}$ are variables, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ singletons, $\circ \in\{\odot, ш\}$.

Let $\|w\|$ denote the length of the word $\|w\|$ and for $\alpha \subseteq \Sigma^{*},\|\alpha\|:=$ $\max \{\|w\| \| w \in \alpha\}$. Let $X_{1} \in \mathcal{V}$ be a distinguished (initial) variable,
$k=\min \{\|\alpha\| \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \neq\{\lambda\}\}$, and $K=\max \{\|\alpha\| \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{C}\}$.
Furthermore, wlog it can be assumed that $X \rightarrow\{\lambda\}$ implies $X=X_{1}$ and in that case $X_{1}$ doesn't occur on any righthand side of another production.

Consider now a derivation tree with depth $d$. Then for the set $A$ defined by the yield of the tree, i.e. the set it evaluates to when seen as a term tree using $\odot$ and $ш$ on the leaves, the following facts hold for $d>1$ :
$\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш):(d-1) \cdot k \leq\|A\| \leq d \cdot K$,
$\mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш):(d-1) \cdot k \leq\|A\| \leq d \cdot K$,
$\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш):(d-1) \cdot k \leq\|A\| \leq 2^{d-1} \cdot K$.
Note that, by the property of $\odot$ and $ш$, all $x \in A$ have the same length: $\forall x \in A:\|x\|=\|A\|$.

In the derivation tree nodes are labelled by pairs $(X, O) \in \mathcal{V} \times\{\odot, ш\}$, in case $X \rightarrow Y \circ Z$ with labels of children $\left(Y, \circ^{\prime}\right),\left(Z, \circ^{\prime \prime}\right)$, in case $X \rightarrow Y \circ \alpha$ or $X \rightarrow \beta \circ Y$ with labels of children $\left(Y, \circ^{\prime}\right), \alpha$ or $\beta,\left(Y, \circ^{\prime}\right)$, respectively, and in case $X \rightarrow \alpha$ with label of child $\alpha$.

Let $\kappa=2 \cdot|\mathcal{V}|$ for $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{L I N}$, and $\kappa=2^{2 \cdot|\mathcal{V}|-1}$ for $\mathcal{A L G}$.
If now $\exists x \in L:\|x\|>\kappa \cdot K$ then there exists a derivation tree for which a longest path from the root to the leaf has $>2 \cdot|\mathcal{V}|$ nodes labelled with some $(X, O)$. Therefore there exist 2 nodes with the same label on such a path. Numbering the labels from root to leaf with $\left(X_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{1}\right)$ to $\left(X_{d}, \mathrm{O}_{d}\right)$ one has $\left(X_{i}, \bigcirc_{i}\right)=\left(X_{j}, \circ_{j}\right)$ for some $1 \leq i<j \leq d$ where $X_{\ell}$ are variables and $\mathrm{O}_{\ell} \in\{\odot, ш\}$. Note that for $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{L I N}$ there is just one longest path.

In the sequel we shall use prefix notation.
The first case to be considered is $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}$. Consider a derivation for a language $L$ :
$\left(X_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{1}\left(X_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \alpha_{1} \rightarrow^{*} \mathrm{O}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{i-1}\left(X_{i}, \mathrm{O}_{i}\right) \alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}$
$\rightarrow^{*} \circ_{1} \cdots \bigcirc_{i-1} \bigcirc_{i} \cdots \bigcirc_{j-1}\left(X_{j}, \circ_{j}\right) \alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}$
$\rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{i-1} \mathrm{O}_{i} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{j-1} \mathrm{O}_{j}\left(X_{j+1}, \mathrm{O}_{j+1}\right) \alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}$
$\rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathrm{O}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{i-1} \mathrm{O}_{i} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{j-1} \mathrm{O}_{j} \mathrm{O}_{j+1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{d-1} X_{d} \alpha_{d-1} \cdots \alpha_{j} \alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}$
$\rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{i-1} \mathrm{O}_{i} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{j-1} \mathrm{O}_{j} \mathrm{O}_{j+1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{d-1} \alpha_{d} \alpha_{d-1} \cdots \alpha_{j} \alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}$
which can be written
$\left(X_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{1}\right) \rightarrow^{*} \varrho\left(X_{i}, \mathrm{O}_{i}\right) \xi \rightarrow^{*} \varrho \sigma\left(X_{j}, \mathrm{O}_{j}\right) \eta \xi \rightarrow^{*} \varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi$
where $\varrho=\mathrm{O}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{i-1}, \sigma=\mathrm{O}_{i} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{j-1}, \tau=\mathrm{O}_{j} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{d-1} \alpha_{d} \alpha_{d-1} \cdots \alpha_{j}$,
$\xi=\alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}, \eta=\alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i}$,
and $\tau, \sigma \tau \eta, \varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi$ are terms.
Since $\left(X_{i}, \bigcirc_{i}\right)=\left(X_{j}, \bigcirc_{j}\right)$ all $\sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k}$ and $\varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi$ are also terms and possible yields of derivation trees, and $\varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi \subseteq L$ for $k \geq 0$.

Choosing now $(i, j)$ with $\left(X_{i}, \mathrm{O}_{i}\right)=\left(X_{j}, \mathrm{O}_{j}\right)$ as the lowermost pair on the path, then $0<\|\sigma \tau \eta\| \leq \kappa$. Furthermore,

$$
0<\|\eta\|=: \sum_{k=j-1}^{i}\left\|\alpha_{k}\right\| \leq \kappa \cdot K
$$

Therefore
Theorem 2. For any $L \in \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$ there exists some $\kappa^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in L$ with $\|x\|>\kappa^{\prime}$ holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \in \varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi \text { where } \varrho=\mathrm{O}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{i-1}, \sigma=\mathrm{O}_{i} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{j-1}, \\
& \\
& \quad \tau=\mathrm{O}_{j} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{d-1} \alpha_{d} \alpha_{d-1} \cdots \alpha_{j}, \eta=\alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i}, \xi=\alpha_{i-1} \cdots \alpha_{1} \\
& \quad \mathrm{O} \in\{\odot, ш\}, \alpha \in \mathcal{C} \text { constants } \\
& 0<\|\sigma \tau \eta\| \leq \kappa^{\prime} \\
& \forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi \subseteq L .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$.
Proof. If the iterated part in Theorem 2 consists either only of $a$ 's or $b$ 's then iteration would only increase the number of $a$ 's or $b$ 's, producing a word $\notin L$. If the iterated part contains both $a$ 's and $b$ 's then, since $A \odot B \subseteq A$ ш would produce some word uavbwaxby $\notin L$ or ubvawbxay $\notin L$.

This implies
Theorem 3. $\mathcal{L I N} \nsubseteq \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$.
The case $\mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$ is similar. The difference is that the expressions $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ consists not only of operators $\circ$ but also constants $\alpha, \eta$ and $\xi$ not only of constants $\alpha$ but also operators $\circ$. $\tau, \sigma \tau \eta$, and $\varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi$, as well as $\sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k}$ and $\varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi(k \geq 0)$, are terms as in case $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$, and $\varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi \subseteq L$.

Taking now the uppermost pair $\left(X_{i}, \mathrm{O}_{i}\right)=\left(X_{j}, \mathrm{O}_{j}\right)(i<j)$ one gets

$$
\|\varrho \sigma \eta \xi\|=: \sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left\|\alpha_{k}\right\| \leq \kappa \cdot K
$$

From this one gets
Theorem 4. For any $L \in \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$ there exists some $\kappa^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in L$ with $\|x\|>\kappa^{\prime}$ holds:
$x \in \varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi$ where $\varrho, \sigma, \eta, \xi$ are expressions with operators and constants
$0<\|\varrho \sigma \eta \xi\| \leq \kappa^{\prime}$
$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi \subseteq L$.
Lemma 4. $L_{1}=\left\{a^{m} b^{m} c^{n} d^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{L I N}(\odot$, ш),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}=\left\{a^{m} b^{m} a^{n} b^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{L} \mathcal{I N}(\odot, ш), \\
& L_{3}=\left\{a^{m} b^{m} \mid m \geq 0\right\}^{\odot} \notin \mathcal{L} \mathcal{I N}(\odot, ш)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $L_{1}$ : If an iterated part contains 2 different symbols, e.g. $a, b$, then because of $A \odot B \subseteq A ш B$, some word uavbwaxby or ubvawbxay would be obtained. Therefore each iterated part can contain only 1 symbol. Now $\tau$ can contain only words $a^{j} b^{k} c^{\ell} d^{m}$. Hence the first iterated part can contain only $a$ 's or $b$ 's. But then words with different numbers of $a$ 's and $b$ 's would be obtained. Similarly for the second iterated part. Similar for $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$.

From this follows
Theorem 5. $\mathcal{C F} \nsubseteq \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$.
The case $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{G}(\odot, ш)$ is even more general. Here the expressions $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ consists not only of operators O but also constants $\alpha$ and entire terms, $\eta$ and $\xi$ not only of constants $\alpha$ but also operators $\circ$ and entire terms. $\tau, \sigma \tau \eta$, and $\varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi$, as well as $\sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k}$ and $\varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi(k \geq 0)$, are terms as in case $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$, and $\varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi \subseteq L$.

Choosing the lowermost pair $\left(X_{i}, \mathrm{O}_{i}\right)=\left(X_{j}, \mathrm{O}_{j}\right)(i<j)$ yields $\|\sigma \tau \eta\| \leq \kappa \cdot K$. From this follows

Theorem 6. For any $L \in \mathcal{A L \mathcal { G }}(\odot, ш)$ there exists some $\kappa^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in L$ with $\|x\|>\kappa^{\prime}$ holds:
$x \in \varrho \sigma \tau \eta \xi$ where $\varrho, \sigma, \eta, \xi$ are expressions with operators, constants, and terms
$0<\|\sigma \tau \eta\| \leq \kappa^{\prime}$
$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \varrho \sigma^{k} \tau \eta^{k} \xi \subseteq L$.
Lemma 5. $L_{1}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш)$, $L_{2}=\left\{a^{m} c^{n} b^{m} d^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$.

Proof. Similar to the case $\mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$.

### 3.2 Semilinearity

Theorem 7. Any $L \in \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F}$ can be represented in the form

$$
L=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(\alpha_{i} ш A_{i}^{\amalg}\right)
$$

where $k<\infty, \alpha_{i}$ are singletons, and $A_{i}$ finite sets.
Proof. Since $ш$ is commutative this is a special case of a general theorem in [8].
From this follows that $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{U F}$ is the same family that one gets when the operators iterated shuffle, shuffle and finally union of languages are applied any number of times, but in that order.

Lemma 6. $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A T}(ш)=(\cup)(ш)\left({ }^{( }\right)(\mathcal{F I N})$.
Any of the classes considered contain only semilinear sets.

Theorem 8. Any $L \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$ is a semilinear set.
Proof. Any $L \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$ can be generated by a grammar in normal form. From that construct a multiset grammar (also in normal form) as follows: for $X \rightarrow Y \circ Z$ take $X \rightarrow X \oplus Y$, for $X \rightarrow \alpha$ take $X \rightarrow \psi(\alpha)$, where $\psi(\alpha)$ is the Parikh image of $\alpha$ and $\oplus$ multiset addition. By the property of $\odot$ and $ш$ with respect to addition of multiplicites of symbols and [9] one gets a semilinear set, more precisely

$$
\psi(L)=\bigcup_{i}^{k}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \oplus \psi\left(A_{i}\right)^{\oplus}\right)
$$

Since $\left\{a^{2^{n}} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \in \mathcal{C S}$ is not semilinear one gets
Lemma 7. $\left\{a^{2^{n}} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{G}(\odot, ш)$.
Theorem 9. $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш) \subset \mathcal{C S}$.

## 4 Closure Properties

In this section we consider closure properties of language classes under certain operators, primarily for the more important classes from [4]. These are, apart from $\mathcal{R E G}, \mathcal{L I N}$ and $\mathcal{C \mathcal { F }}$, the classes $\mathcal{E R}$ (extended regular expressions of [6]), $\mathcal{S H U \mathcal { Z }}, \mathcal{E S}=\left(\cup, ш,{ }^{\odot},{ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\right)(\mathcal{F I N})$ (extended shuffle expressions, in analogy to $\mathcal{E R}), \mathcal{S E}=\left(\cup, \odot, ш,{ }^{\odot},{ }^{\amalg}\right)(\mathcal{F I N})$ (the languages defined by the so-called shuffle expressions of [5]), $\mathcal{R A \mathcal { A }}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$, and $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$. In the sequel $\wedge$ applied on language classes has the meaning $\mathcal{L}_{1} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left\{L_{1} \cap L_{2} \mid L_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{1}, L_{2} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{L}_{2}\right\}$. Similarly for operators $\vee($ for $\cup), \odot$, and ш.

All closure results achieved so far are summarized in Table 1 on the facing page, where $\cup, \cap, \odot, ш,{ }^{\odot},{ }^{ш}, \cap R, \cap S,{ }^{-}, \backslash,{ }^{R}, \pi$, and $/ 1$ denote union, intersection, catenation, shuffle, catenation and shuffle iteration, intersection with regular and shuffle-rational sets, complement, set difference, reversal, prefix, and left quotient with single words, respectively.

## 1. Union

Trivially, the classes $\mathcal{R E G}, \mathcal{L I N}, \mathcal{C} \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A T}(ш)=\left(\cup, ш,{ }^{w}\right)(\mathcal{F I N})$, $\mathcal{E R}=\left(\cup, \odot,{ }^{\odot},{ }^{\omega}\right)(\mathcal{F I N}),\left(\cup, ш,{ }^{\odot},{ }^{\omega}\right)(\mathcal{F I N}), \mathcal{S E}$ are closed under $\cup$. For the classes $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$, and $\mathcal{A L \mathcal { G }}(\odot, ш)$ this follows by construction of a grammar as follows:

Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be generated by grammars in normal form with initial disjoint sets of variables and initial variables $X_{11}, X_{21}$. Then $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is generated by a grammar with the union of variables for $L_{1}, L_{2}$, with additional initial variable $X_{1}$ and additional productions $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{11}, X_{1} \rightarrow X_{21}$.

## 2. Intersection

It is well known that $\mathcal{R E G}$ is closed under $\cap$, whereas $\mathcal{L I N}$ and $\mathcal{C \mathcal { F }}$ are not.
Lemma 8. $\mathcal{R E G} \wedge \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F} \nsubseteq \mathcal{A L G}(\odot$, ш).


Proof．Consider $L_{1}=\{a\}^{\odot} \odot\{b\}^{\odot} \odot\{c\}^{\odot}$ and $L_{2}=\{a b c\}^{\omega}$ ．
Then $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{A L \mathcal { G }}(\odot$, ш）by Lemma 5.
Note that $L_{1} \in\left(\odot,^{\odot}\right)(\mathcal{F I N})$ and $L_{2} \in\left({ }^{\amalg}\right)(\mathcal{F I N})$ ．
The hierarchy results in［4］imply that $\mathcal{E R}, \mathcal{E S}, \mathcal{S E}, \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I N}(\odot$, ш）， and $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш)$ are not closed under $\cap$ ．It remains to investigate $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{U F}$ ．

Lemma 9． $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{U F} \nsubseteq \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H F}$ ．
Proof．Consider $L_{1}=\{d a, b d, b a, c\}^{\amalg} \in \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F}$ and $\{d d, a c b\}^{\omega} \in \mathcal{S H Z \mathcal { F }}$ ，and assume $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \in \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H F}$ ．It is easy to see that $x \in L_{1} \cap L_{2} \Rightarrow x=\lambda \vee x=d x^{\prime} d$ ．

Furthermore $X=\left\{x_{m n}=d^{n} a^{n} c^{n} b^{n} d^{n} d(a c b)^{m} d \mid m, n \geq 1\right\} \subseteq L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ since $x_{m n} \in\{d a\}^{(\amalg) n} ш\{b d\}^{(\amalg) n} ш\{c\}^{(\amalg) n} ш\{d a\} ш\{b d\} ш\{b a\}^{(\amalg)(m-1)} ш\{c\}^{(山) m}$ and $x_{m n} \in\{d d\}^{(\amalg) n} ш\{a c b\}^{(\amalg) n} ш\{d d\} ш\{a c b\}^{(\amalg)} m$ ．

By the normal form theorem for $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H F}$

$$
L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\} ш A_{i}^{山}\right) .
$$

The structure of $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ implies $x_{i}=\lambda \vee x_{i}=d y_{i} d$ and $\forall z \in A_{i}: z=d z^{\prime} d$ ． Since $|X|=\infty, \exists \ell \exists n: \mid\left\{x_{n m}\left|x_{n m} \in\left\{x_{\ell} ш A_{\ell}^{山}\right\}\right|=\infty\right.$（otherwise $\left|L_{1} \cap L_{2} \cap X\right|<$ $\infty)$ ．There is a maximal $m$ with $u(a c b)^{m} d \in\left\{x_{\ell}\right\} \cup A_{\ell}$ since $\left\{x_{\ell}\right\} \cup A_{\ell}$ is finite． To get some $v(a c b)^{m^{\prime}} d \in X$ with $m^{\prime}>m$ the only possibility is to use some $w(a c b)^{j} d \in A_{\ell}$ ．But that cannot produce $u(a c b)^{m^{\prime}} d$ with $m^{\prime}>m$ ．

## 3．Catenation

From the well known closure of $\mathcal{R E G}$ and $\mathcal{C \mathcal { F }}$ under $\odot$ ，and the definition of the language classes it follows that $\mathcal{E R}$ and $\mathcal{S E}$ are closed under $\odot$ ．For $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$ ，and $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{G}(\odot, ш)$ this is shown in a similar way as for union，adding a new production $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{11} \odot X_{21}$ ．From the hierarchy results in ［4］follows that $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E S}$ are not closed under catenation．

## 4．Shuffle

The hierarchy result in［4］and the definition of the language classes im－ ply that $\mathcal{R E G}, \mathcal{S H U \mathcal { F }}, \mathcal{E} \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S E}$ are closed under ш，and that $\mathcal{E R}$ is not．For
$\mathcal{R A T}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$, and $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш)$ closure is shown as for catenation, replacing $\odot$ by $ш$.
$\mathcal{L I N}$ and $\mathcal{C F}$ are not closed under $ш$ since $L_{1}=\left\{a^{m} b^{m} \mid m \geq 0\right\} \in \mathcal{L I N}$ and $L_{1}=\left\{c^{n} d^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \in \mathcal{L I N}$ but
$\left(L_{1} ш L_{2}\right) \cap\left(\{a\}^{\ominus} \odot\{c\}^{\odot} \odot\{b\}^{\ominus} \odot\{d\}^{\ominus}\right)=\left\{a^{m} b^{n} c^{m} d^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{C} F$.

## 5. Catenation Iteration

Closure under catenation iteration is well known for $\mathcal{R E G}$ and $\mathcal{C F}$. For $\mathcal{E R}, \mathcal{E S}$ and $\mathcal{S E}$ this follows by definition of the classes. For $\mathcal{R A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$ and $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$ this is shown as follows:

Let $L$ be generated by a grammar in normal form with initial variable $X_{1}$. For $\mathcal{R A T}(\odot, ш)$ replace all productions $Y \rightarrow \alpha$ ( $\alpha$ a constant) by productions $Y \rightarrow X_{1} \odot \alpha$. For $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш)$ add a production $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{1} \odot X_{1}$.

It is also well known that $\mathcal{L I N}$ is not closed under catenation closure. $\mathcal{S H U F}$ is not closed under ${ }^{\odot}$ by the hierarchy result in [4]. $\mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$ is not closed under ${ }^{\circ}$ by Lemma 4.

## 6. Shuffle Iteration

$\mathcal{E R}, \mathcal{E S}, \mathcal{S E}$ are closed under shuffle iteration by definition of the classes. For $\mathcal{R A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш)$ and $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$ this is shown as for catenation iteration, replacing $\odot$ by $\omega . \mathcal{R E G}$ is not closed under ${ }^{\omega}$ by the hierarchy results in [4] and definition.
$\mathcal{L I N}, \mathcal{C F}$ and $\mathcal{L I N}(\odot, ш)$ are not closed under shuffle iteration since
$L=\left\{a^{m} b^{m}, c^{n} d^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\} \in \mathcal{L I N}$ but
$L^{山} \cap\left(\{a\}^{\odot} \odot\{c\}^{\odot} \odot\{b\}^{\odot} \odot\{d\}^{\odot}\right)=\left\{a^{m} c^{n} b^{m} d^{n} \mid m, n \geq 0\right\} \notin \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L G}(\odot, ш)$.

## 7. Intersection with Regular Sets

Closure of $\mathcal{R E G}, \mathcal{L I N}$ and $\mathcal{C F}$ under intersection with regular sets is well known. All other classes, except for $\mathcal{E R}$, are not closed under $\cap R$ by Lemma 8 .

## 8. Intersection with Shuffle-rational Sets

An analogous closure property is intersection with shuffle-rational sets $(\cap S)$. Lemma 8 implies that apart from $\mathcal{R A \mathcal { A }}$ and $\mathcal{E S}$ all other classes are not closed under $\cap S$.

## 9. Complement

Since except for $\mathcal{R E G}$ all classes are not closed under intersection these classes are not closed under complement either. Thus the existence of an equivalent deterministic shuffle finite automaton is rather improbable.

## 10. Set Difference

Since all classes contain the language $\Sigma^{*}$ they are not closed under set difference either, except for $\mathcal{R E G}$.

## 11. Reversal

All language classes considered are closed under reversal (or mirror image). For the classes defined by algebraic closure this is a consequence from reversing all constants, and for those defined by grammars in normal form a consequence from reversing all constants and replacing productions $X \rightarrow Y \circ Z$ by $X \rightarrow Z \circ Y$.

## 12. Prefix

The set of prefixes of a language is defined as $\pi(L)=\{x \mid \exists y: x y \in L\}$. Similarly suufixes are given by $\sigma(L)=\{x \mid \exists y: y x \in L\}$. Then one has:

Lemma 10. 1. $\pi\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)=\pi\left(L_{1}\right) \cup \pi\left(L_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 2. } & \pi\left(L_{1} \odot L_{2}\right)=\pi\left(L_{1}\right) \cup L_{1} \odot \pi\left(L_{2}\right), \\
\text { 3. } & \pi\left(L^{\odot}\right)=L^{\odot} \odot \pi(L), \\
\text { 4. } & \pi\left(L_{1} ш L_{2}\right)=\pi\left(L_{1}\right) ш \pi\left(L_{2}\right), \\
\text { 5. } & \pi\left(L^{\amalg}\right)=(\pi(L))^{山} .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. 1., 2.: trivial.

$$
\text { 3.: } \begin{aligned}
\pi\left(L^{\odot}\right) & =\pi\left(L^{\odot} \odot L \cup\{\lambda\}\right)=\pi\left(L^{\odot} \odot L\right) \cup\{\lambda\} \\
& =L^{\odot} \odot \pi(L) \cup \pi(L) \cup\{\lambda\}=L^{\odot} \odot \pi(L) .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.: Wlog the shuffle of two languages can be expressed as

$$
L_{1} ш L_{2}=\left\{x \in \Sigma^{*} \mid x=\bigodot_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} z_{i} \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \in L_{1} \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} \wedge\left\|y_{i}\right\| \leq 1 \wedge\left\|z_{i}\right\| \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $\odot$ denotes finite application of $\odot$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(L_{1} ш L_{2}\right)=\left\{u \in \Sigma^{*} \mid\left(\left(u=\left(\bigodot_{i=1}^{m-1} y_{i} z_{i}\right) \odot y_{m} \wedge v=z_{m} \odot \bigodot_{i=m+1}^{n} y_{i} z_{i}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\vee\left(u=\bigodot_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} z_{i} \wedge v=\bigodot_{i=m+1}^{n} y_{i} z_{i}\right)\right) \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \in L_{1} \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \in L_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{u \in \Sigma^{*} \mid\left(u=\bigodot_{i=1}^{m-1} y_{i} z_{i}\right) \odot y_{m} \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \in \pi\left(L_{1}\right) \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{m-1} z_{i} \in \pi\left(L_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\vee\left(u=\bigodot_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} z_{i} \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \in \pi\left(L_{1}\right) \wedge \bigodot_{i=1}^{m} z_{i} \in \pi\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right\}=\pi\left(L_{1}\right) ш \pi\left(L_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

5.: By induction from 4.

From Lemma 10, the algebraic definition of classes and by induction on the structure of the expression follows that $\mathcal{R E \mathcal { G }}, \mathcal{E R}, \mathcal{S H U \mathcal { F }}$ and $\mathcal{S E}$ are closed under prefix operation.

For the closure of $\mathcal{L I N}$ and $\mathcal{C \mathcal { F }}$ we recall the following proofs:
$\mathcal{L I N}$ : Consider a normal form grammar. Define a copy $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ of the set of variables $\mathcal{V}$, with $\hat{X}_{1}$ as new initial variable, add a production $\hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ for any production $X \rightarrow Y \odot \alpha, \hat{X} \rightarrow \alpha \odot \hat{Y}$ for any production $Y \rightarrow \alpha \odot Y$, and $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ for any variable.
$\mathcal{C \mathcal { F }}$ : Similar to $\mathcal{L I N}$, to a grammar in normal form add new productions $\hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y} \cup Y \odot \hat{Z}$ for any production $X \rightarrow Y \odot Z$, and $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ for any variable.
$\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}(\odot, ш), \mathcal{L I} \mathcal{N}(\odot, ш)$ and $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot, ш)$ are also closed under the prefix operation. Transform the equations of the equation system in normal form as follows: For $X \rightarrow Y ш Z$, add a new production $X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime} ш Z^{\prime}$. Otherwise proceed as above. The correctness follows from lemma 10.
$\mathcal{E S}$ is not closed under prefix since $\pi\left(\{a b\}^{\odot}\right)=\{a b\}^{\odot} \odot\{a, \lambda\} \notin \mathcal{E S}$. This holds because for $x \in\{a b\}^{\odot} \odot\{a\}$ one has $\|x\|_{a}=\|x\|_{b}+1$, and any iteration
by ${ }^{\odot}$ or ${ }^{\amalg}$ yields some $y$ with $\|y\|_{a}>\|y\|_{b}+1$. Therefore $a$ can only be added after an iteration. But for that only $w$ is available, giving e.g. uaav $\notin \pi\left(\{a b\}^{\odot}\right)$.

## 13. Quotient

The left (catenation) quotient of a language $A$ by $B$ is defined by $A / B=\left\{y \in \Sigma^{*} \mid \exists x \in B: x y \in A\right\}$. A special case is $A=\{x\}$ with $x \in \Sigma^{*}$. Trivially one has

Lemma 11. $A /(B \cup C)=A / B \cup A / C, \quad(A \cup B) / C=A / C \cup B / C$,
$A \subseteq B \odot(A / B)$,
$A /\{\lambda\}=A$,
$\lambda \in B \Rightarrow A \subseteq A / B$,
$A / B \subseteq \sigma(A)$,
$\|\{x\} / B\|<\infty$.
Furthermore
Lemma 12. $A /(B \odot C)=(A / B) / C, \quad(A \odot B) / C=(A / C) \odot B \cup B /(C / A)$.

```
Proof. \(z \in A /(B \odot C) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in B \exists y \in C:(x y \in B \odot C \wedge x y z \in A)\)
    \(\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in B \exists y \in C:(x y \in B \odot C \wedge y z \in A / B \wedge x y z \in A)\)
    \(\Leftrightarrow z \in(A / B) / C\)
    \(z \in(A \odot B) / C \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in C \exists y^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{*} \exists z^{\prime} \in B:\left(x y^{\prime} \in A \wedge z=y^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right)\)
            \(\vee \exists x \in C \exists y \in A \exists z^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{*}:\left(x=y z^{\prime} \wedge z^{\prime} z \in B\right)\)
    \(\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in C \exists y^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{*} \exists z^{\prime} \in B:\left(y^{\prime} \in A / C \wedge z=y^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right)\)
        \(\vee \exists x \in C \exists y \in A \exists z^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{*}:\left(z^{\prime} \in C / A \wedge z^{\prime} z \in B\right)\)
    \(\Leftrightarrow z \in(A / C) \odot B \vee z \in B /(C / A)\).
```

This implies
Corollary 1. $(A \odot B) /\{x\}=(A /\{x\}) \odot B \cup B /(\{x\} / A)$.
Note that $\|\{x\} / A\|<\infty$. For $O \in\{\odot, ш\}$ define

$$
A^{(\mathrm{O}) 0}=\{\lambda\}, A^{(\mathrm{O})(j+1)}=A \bigcirc A^{(\mathrm{O}) j}
$$

Lemma 13. $\quad A / B^{\odot}=A / \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} B^{(\odot) i}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(A / B^{(\odot) i}\right)$.
Proof. Applying Lemma 11.
Note that $A / B^{\odot}$ is the least fix point of the monotone operator $\tau$ defined by $\tau(A)=A \cup A / B$.
Lemma 14. $\quad A^{\odot} /\{x\}=\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{m}\left(A^{(\odot) i} /\{x\}\right)\right) \odot A^{\odot} \quad, m \leq\|x\|$.
Proof. Wlog assume $x \neq \lambda . x$ as a prefix can only be cut off from a a finite product $A^{(\odot) m}$ with $m \leq\|x\|$.

Lemma 15. $(A ш B) /\{x\}=\bigcup_{y \in \pi(A), z \in \pi(B), x \in\{y\} \amalg\{z\}}(A /\{y\} ш B /\{z\})$.

Proof. $w \in(A ш B) /\{x\} \Leftrightarrow x w \in A ш B$
$\Leftrightarrow \exists y \in A \exists z \in B: x w \in\{y\} ш\{z\}$
$\Leftrightarrow \exists y_{1} \in A \exists y_{2} \in A \exists z_{1} \in B \exists z_{2} \in B:$

$$
\left(y=y_{1} y_{2} \wedge z=z_{1} z_{2} \wedge x \in\left\{y_{1}\right\} ш\left\{z_{1}\right\} \wedge w \in\left\{y_{2}\right\} ш\left\{z_{2}\right\}\right)
$$

$\Leftrightarrow y_{1} \in \pi(A) \wedge z_{1} \in \pi(B) \wedge x \in\left\{y_{1}\right\} ш\left\{z_{1}\right\} \wedge w \in\left(A /\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) ш\left(B /\left\{z_{1}\right\}\right)$.
Note that for given $x$ the union is finite.
Lemma 16. $\quad A^{\amalg} /\{x\}=\bigcup_{y_{j} \in \pi(A), x \in W} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(A /\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right) \quad, \quad W=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{y_{i}\right\}$.
Proof. By induction. Wlog let $x \neq \lambda . A^{(\amalg) 0} /\{x\}=\{\lambda\} /\{x\}=\emptyset$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{(\omega) 1} /\{x\}=A /\{x\}=\bigcup_{y_{1} \in \pi(A), x \in\left\{y_{1}\right\}} A /\{x\} \\
& A^{(\omega) k} /\{x\}=\bigcup_{y_{j} \in \pi(A), x \in W_{k}} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(A /\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right), W_{k}=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{y_{i}\right\} . \\
& A^{(\amalg)(k+1)} /\{x\}=\left(A^{(\amalg) k} ш A\right) /\{x\} \\
& =\bigcup_{y \in A^{(Ш) k}, y_{(k+1)} \in \pi(A), x \in\{y\} \uplus\left\{y_{(k+1)}\right\}}\left(A^{(山) k} /\{y\}\right) ш\left(A /\left\{y_{(k+1)}\right\}\right) \\
& =\bigcup_{y \in \pi\left(A^{(Ш) k}\right), y_{(k+1)} \in \pi(A), x \in\{y\} \amalg\left\{y_{(k+1)}\right\}} \bigcup_{y_{j} \in \pi(A), y \in W_{k}} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(A /\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right) ш\left(A /\left\{y_{(k+1)}\right\}\right) \\
& =\bigcup_{y_{j} \in \pi(A), x \in W_{(k+1)}} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(A /\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right), W_{(k+1)}=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{y_{i}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\bigsqcup$ denotes the finite application of $ш$. Again, for given $x$ union and $\bigsqcup$ are finite since there are only finitely many possible $y_{j} \in \pi(A)$. Therefore, for some $m$

$$
A^{\amalg} /\{x\}=\bigcup_{y_{j} \in \pi(A), x \in W} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m}\left(A /\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right) \quad, \quad W=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m}\left\{y_{i}\right\} .
$$

From the considerations above follows
Lemma 17. $\mathcal{S H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F}$ is closed under left quotient with a single word.
Proof. By structural induction using lemmata 11, 15, 16.
Lemma 18. $\mathcal{S E}$ is closed under left quotient with a single word.
Proof. By structural induction using lemmata 11, 1, 15, 14, 16.

Symmetrically,both are also closed under right quotient with a single word.
For the classes $\mathcal{R E G}, \mathcal{L I N}$, and $\mathcal{C \mathcal { F }}$ it is well known that they are closed under left and right quotient with regular sets, hence also under left and right quotient with a single word.

Since $\{a b\}^{\odot} / 1\{a\}=\{b\} \odot\{a b\}^{\odot} \notin \mathcal{E S}$ which can be shown as for the nonclosure of $\mathcal{E S}$ under prefix, $\mathcal{E S}$ is not closed under left quotient with a single word. $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{R}$ is not because $\{a b c\}^{ш} /\{a\}=\{b c\} ш\{a b c\}^{\amalg} \notin \mathcal{E} \mathcal{R}$ by [4] Lemma 7 .

## 5 Decidability Properties

In this section we present some decidability results.
Since $\mathcal{A L G}(\odot$, ш) $\subset \mathcal{C S}$ it follows that
Theorem 10. The membership problem for $\mathcal{A L \mathcal { G }}(\odot, ш)$ is decidable.
Theorem 11. Emptiness and finiteness for $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{G}(\odot, ш)$ are decidable.
Proof. For the emptiness problem check whether there exists some $w \in L$ with $\|w\| \leq 2 \cdot \kappa^{\prime}$, and for the finiteness problem whether there exists some $w \in L$ with $\kappa^{\prime}<\|w\| \leq 2 \cdot \kappa^{\prime}$, using the iteration lemma for $\mathcal{A L \mathcal { G }}(\odot, ш)$.

## 6 Outlook

Further research should be done in particular for more decidability problems, as language equivalence, whether the intersection of two languages is empty, or given a language of some class whether it belongs to a lower one. Such results are important in the area of concurrency.
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