# Relating Personality Types with User Preferences in Multiple Entertainment Domains

Iván Cantador<sup>1</sup>, Ignacio Fernández-Tobías<sup>1</sup>, Alejandro Bellogín<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain <sup>2</sup> Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica, Amsterdam, Netherlands {ivan.cantador, i.fernandez, alejandro.bellogin}@uam.es

**Abstract.** We present a preliminary study on the relations between personality types and user preferences in multiple entertainment domains, namely movies, TV shows, music, and books. We analyze a total of 53,226 Facebook user profiles composed of both personality scores (openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, neuroticism) from the Five Factor model, and explicit interests about 16 genres in each of the above domains. As a result of our analysis, we extract personality-based user stereotypes and association rules for some of the considered domain genres, and infer similarities of personality types related to genres in different domains.

**Keywords:** types of personality, user profiles, personalization, cross domains.

### 1 Introduction

The majority of services for personalized information access, retrieval, and filtering deals with the exploitation of user preferences – tastes, interests, goals – obtained explicitly (e.g. by means of ratings) or implicitly (e.g. by mining click-through and log data). In addition to these preferences, contextual signals – such as the current time, and the user's location and social companion – are also taken into consideration [1].

Many effective personalization approaches have been proposed in a large number of applications [3]. However, little work has been done on the characterization of user models in personalized services with regard to *affective traits*, such as moods, emotions, and types of personality. Emotions are intense feelings that are directed at someone or something [9]. Moods, in contrast, are feelings that tend to be less intense than emotions, and often – though not always – lack a contextual stimulus [8]. Personality, on the other hand, can be defined as a combination of characteristics or qualities that form an individual's style of thinking, feeling and behaving in different situations [21].

Personality influences how people make their decisions [17]. In fact, it has been proved that people with similar personality characteristics are likely to have similar preferences. In [19] Rentfrow and Gosling show that "reflective" people with *openness to experiences* usually have preferences for jazz, blues and classical music, and "energetic" people with high degree of *extraversion and agreeableness* usually

appreciate rap, hip-hop, funk and electronic music. In [5] Chausson presents a preliminary study showing that people *open to experiences* are likely to prefer comedy and fantasy movies, *conscientious* individuals are more inclined to enjoy the action movie genre, and *neurotic* people tend to like romantic movies. Traits and types of personality could thus help explain why people prefer one option to other, and could be used to improve personalization services and enhance user experience [12][16].

In psychology the Five Factor model [6] – also known as *the Big Five* model – is a widely accepted theory that establishes five factors to describe the human personality: *openness* to experiences, *conscientiousness*, *extraversion*, *agreeableness* and *neuroticism*. Similarly to user preferences, the personality factors can be inferred explicitly, e.g. by means of personality questionnaires [6], or implicitly, e.g. by analyzing linguistic features of user texts [20], and by correlating personality traits with patterns of social network use – such as posting, rating, establishing friendship relations, and participating in user groups [2].

Once extracted, personality factors can be used to build personality-based user profiles that may be exploited by personalized information retrieval and filtering approaches. In [22] Tkalčič et al. apply and evaluate three user similarity metrics for the heuristic-based collaborative filtering strategy: a typical rating-based similarity, a similarity based on the Euclidean distance with the Big Five data, and a similarity based on a weighted Euclidean distance with the Big Five data. The reported results show that approaches using the Big Five data perform statistically equivalent or better than the rating-based approach. Following the findings of Rentfrow and Gosling [19], in [13] Hu and Pu present a collaborative filtering approach based on the correlations between personality types and music preferences, in which the similarity between two users is estimated by means of the Pearson's correlation coefficient on the users' Big Five personality scores. Combining this approach with a rating-based collaborative filtering, the authors show significant improvements over the baseline of considering only ratings data. Finally, in [20] Roshchina presents an approach that extracts Big Five factor-based profiles by analyzing hotel reviews written by users, and incorporates these profiles into a nearest neighbor algorithm to enhance personalized recommendations.

Before developing personality-based recommender systems, we aim to **establish** and understand relations existing between personality types and user preferences in multiple entertainment domains. Rentfrow's work [19] only addresses the music domain, and Chausson's analysis [5] considers a very limited number of movies. In this paper we present a preliminary, but extensive study on the relations between personality types and user preferences in multiple domains, namely movies, TV shows, music, and books. Specifically, we analyze a total of 53,226 Facebook<sup>1</sup> user profiles composed of both Big Five personality scores and explicit interests about 16 genres in each of the above domains. As a result of our analysis, we extract personality-based user stereotypes and association rules for some of the considered domain genres, and infer similarities of personality types related to genres in different domains.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Facebook social network, http://www.facebook.com

The reminder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we detail the Five Factor model. In Section 3 we describe the analyzed personality- and preference-based Facebook user profiles. In Sections 4 and 5 we present our analysis on the relations between personality types and user preferences on single and crossed domains respectively. Finally, in Section 6 we conclude with some lines of future work.

### 2 Personality Traits: The Five Factor Model

In psychology identifying the structure and types of human personality has been a fundamental goal. Researchers have extensively studied known personality traits, and have analyzed a large variety of measures of such traits – on self-report and questionnaire data, and objective measures from experimental settings – in order to find underlying personality factors.

The Five Factor model [6] is a theory that establishes five broad domains or dimensions – called factors – to describe human personality. These factors are commonly known as the *Big Five* personality traits, and can be defined as follows:

- Openness (OPE): from *cautious/consistent* to *curious/inventive*. This factor reflects a person's tendency to intellectual curiosity, creativity and preference for novelty and variety of experiences. A high score of openness entails strong degrees of imagination, artistic interest, emotionality, adventurousness, intellect and liberalism.
- Conscientiousness (COS): from *careless/easy-going* to *organized/efficient*. This factor reflects a person's tendency to show self-discipline and aim for personal achievements, and to have an organized (not spontaneous) and dependable behavior. A high score of conscientiousness entails strong degrees of self-efficacy, orderliness, dutifulness, achievement-striving and cautiousness.
- Extraversion (EXT): from *solitary/reserved* to *outgoing/energetic*. This factor reflects a person's tendency to seek stimulation in the company of others showing sociability, talkativeness and assertiveness traits –, and to put energy in finding positive emotions, such as happiness, satisfaction and excitation. A high score of extraversion entails strong degrees of friendliness, gregariousness, activity level, excitement-seeking and cheerfulness.
- Agreeableness (AGR): from *cold/unkind* to *friendly/compassionate*. This factor reflects a person's tendency to be kind, concerned, truthful and cooperative towards others. A high score of agreeableness entails strong degrees of morality, altruism, sympathy, modesty, trust, cooperation and conciliation.
- **Neuroticism** (**NEU**): from *secure/calm* to *unconfident/nervous*. This factor reflects a person's tendency to experience unpleasant emotions, such as anger, anxiety, depression and vulnerability, and refers to the degree of emotional stability and impulse control. A high score of neuroticism entails strong degrees of hostility, social anxiety, depression, immoderation, vulnerability and impulsivity.

It is important to note that although these factors are statistical aggregates, exceptions may exist on individual personality profiles. In general, people who register high in *openness* are willing to new experiences, receptive to emotions,

intellectually curious, and interested in art. A particular individual, however, may have a high overall *openness* score, and may be interested in learning and exploring new cultures, but may have no great interest in art.

Nonetheless, the Big Five factors have been shown to encompass most known personality traits, and are assumed to represent the basic structure behind all personality traits [18]. They thus provide a rich conceptual framework for integrating all the research findings and theories in personality psychology.

Moreover, the Five Factor model is a comprehensive, empirical, data-driven research finding investigated, discovered and defined by distinct groups of researchers. Tupes and Christal presented an initial model of personality factors [23]. Digman [7] proposed a five factor model of personality, which was extended with a high level of organization by Goldberg [10]. Independently, Cattell et al. [4] and Costa and McCrae [15] used different methods with which the five personality factors were found. Hence, each set of five factors found has had different names and definitions. All of them, however, have been proved to be highly inter-correlated and factor-analytically aligned [11].

The measurement of the Big Five factors comprises items that are self-descriptive sentences or adjectives, commonly presented in the form of short tests. In this context, the International Personality Item Pool<sup>2</sup> (IPIP) is a publicly available collection of items for use in psychometric tests, and the 20-100 item IPIP proxy for Costa and McCrae's NEO-PI-R test [6] is one of the most popular and widely accepted questionnaires to measure the Big Five factors in adult (≥18 years old) men and women without overt psychopathology.

### 3 Personality- and Preference-based User Profiles

myPersonality [14] is a Facebook application with which users take real psychometric tests. As of May 2013, the tool has let record a database that contains more than 6 million test results and more than 4 million individual Facebook profiles with a variety of personal user information, such a demographic and geo-location data, *likes*, status updates, and friendship relations, among others.

The members of myPersonality project have made publicly available<sup>3</sup> part of such dataset. Specifically, the public dataset contains the Big Five personality scores of 3.1 million users, collected using 20 to 336 item IPIP proxy for Costa and McCrae's questionnaires, and from which around 40% of the users took the 100 item version. The users either decided the length of questionnaire they wanted to take in advance, or took extra questions in blocks of 10 until finishing all 100 items. The users filled the questionnaires to get feedback, so they were quite well motivated, which resulted in high accuracy (reliability > 0.8, better than in most supervised pen-and-paper applications of the same measure). The public dataset also contains 46 million *likes* of 220,000 users for 5.5 million items of diverse nature: people (celebrities, politicians,

International Personality Item Pool (IPIP), http://ipip.ori.org

<sup>3</sup> myPersonality project, http://mypersonality.org

directors, actors, musicians, writers, sportsmen, etc.), objects (movies, TV shows, songs, books, games, etc.), organizations, events, etc.

Due to the size and complexity of the dataset, in this paper we restrict our analysis to a subset of the dataset's items. Specifically, we selected all *like records* associated to items belonging to one of the following 4 categories: Movie genre, TV genre, Musical genre, and Book genre. Thus, for instance, selected items belonging to the Movie genre category are movie genres, such as *comedy*, *action*, *adventure*, *drama*, and *science fiction*. Note that we do not take into consideration a large number of potential valuable items, such as particular *movies* preferred by users. In our study, we discarded such items because no domain categories were available for them in the dataset, and acquiring their categories requires complex data acquisition and processing methods: 1) the items have to be found in external knowledge sources – like Wikipedia – with category information, and 2) the items in the dataset are identified by plain text names that do not follow a well-established naming convention: a certain concept (person, object, etc.) may have different names.

Next, we selected those users of the dataset that had *likes* for the considered items. Once the items and users were selected, we conducted text processing operations to consolidate morphological derivations of certain item names (e.g. *science fiction*, *science-fiction*, *sci-fi*, *sf*). Finally, we chose the items (i.e., domain genres) with the highest number of user *likes*. Specifically, we chose the top 16 genres of each of the 4 considered domains: movies, TV shows, music and books. In the end, the dataset used in our study contained 53,226 users (60.37% female, 39.63% male) and their corresponding Big Five personality scores,  $16 \times 4 = 64$  items, and 58,576 *like* records (12,420 in the movie domain, 3,705 in the TV show domain, 32,784 in the music domain, and 9,667 in the book domain).

# 4 Relations between Personality Types and User Preferences in Individual Domains

We have analyzed the dataset presented in Section 3 aiming to find meaningful relations between personality types and user preferences in each of the 4 considered entertainment domains: movies, TV shows, music and books. In the following we present preliminary results of our study: personality-based *user stereotypes* (Subsection 4.1) and *association rules* (Subsection 4.2) for some of the considered domain genres.

### 4.1 Domain-specific Personality-based User Stereotypes

Table 1 shows personality-based user stereotypes for the 16 genres selected in each domain, distinguishing female and male users. These stereotypes are vectors of 5 real values in the [1, 5] range that correspond to the average scores of the Big Five personality factors of the dataset users who had *likes* for the corresponding genres.

The colors of the cells are used to facilitate the analysis of differences in the factor scores for distinct stereotypes. In the table the colors are assigned for each column as follows. The highest scores of the column are marked in green, and the lowest ones are marked in red. The color intensities indicate how high/low the scores are. In this

section we will refer as "high" scores to those colored with darkest green, and as "low" scores to those colored with darkest red. For the sake of simplicity and due to the preliminary nature of this study, we do not provide results on the statistically significance of all score differences.

It is important to note that for some genres, the number of users whose profiles were used to build the stereotypes is quite small; e.g. in the movie domain, the personality stereotype associated to the *tragedy* genre for male users was built with only 8 profiles. This is taken into consideration in the discussions and conclusions we provide in the reminder of the paper, by discarding the analysis of personality-based stereotypes built with a few (less than 40) users. Moreover, in each domain, the numbers of user profiles are quite different among the genre stereotypes. We could perform the analysis with equally sized groups of profiles. However, we decided to use all the available profiles in order to not lose information. In the following we discuss obtained relations between user personality types and preferences.

In the **movie domain**, users with high degree of *openness* (OPE) tend to like tragedy, neo-noir, independent, cult, and foreign movies, whereas a low degree of this factor corresponds with user preferences for war, romance, action, and comedy movies. For the *conscientiousness* (CON) factor, high scores correspond to independent, adventure, and science fiction movies, whereas low values correspond to cult, animation, and cartoon movies. For the *extraversion* (EXT) factor, drama, romance, comedy, and action movies are linked to high scores, whereas animation, tragedy, neo-noir, and science fiction are associated with lower scores. Adventure, romance, comedy, and drama movies tend to be liked by people with high degree of *agreeableness* (AGR), whereas a low degree of this factor is associated with parody, animation, neo-noir, cult, and horror movies. Finally, users with high degrees of *neuroticism* (NEU) prefer cult, tragedy, and animation movies, while users with low values tend to like adventure, independent, and war movies.

In the **TV** show domain, high scores of OPE implies user preferences for surviving shows, documentaries, and standup comedies, while low score imply user preferences for soap operas, and game and sports shows. Moreover, high values of CON are associated to surviving, talk, and sports shows, whereas low degrees correspond to cartoon and animation genres. People with low degrees of EXT tend to prefer animation and cartoon shows, and people with high values of this factor tend to like sports and reality shows. Game and talk shows are liked by users with high scores of AGR, while surviving and prank shows are generally liked by users with low scores of that factor. Finally, high values of NEU are associated to preferences for cartoon, music videos and soap opera, whereas people with low values prefer sports, prank, and surviving shows.

In the **music domain**, users with high degree of OPE tend to prefer blues, classical and indie music, whereas low values of this factor correspond to user preferences for r&b, rap, pop, and hip hop. For the CON factor, high values correspond to country, jazz, salsa, and r&b, while low values correspond to indie, metal, techno, and rap music. Users with high EXT scores prefer salsa music, but also tend to like hip hop and rap; low scores of that factor refer to user preferences for metal, techno and rock music. With respect to AGR, people with high degree of this factor tend to like

country, oldies, dance, and jazz music, while people with lower values prefer metal, rap, and indie genres. Finally, indie, metal, and rock music genres are also usually preferred by users with high degree of NEU, and salsa, jazz, and hip hop are preferred by those users with low values of that factor.

Finally, in the **book domain**, people with a high degree of OPE tend to like poetry and science fiction, whereas those with low degree prefer drama, scary and crime books. Regarding the CON factor, high values are related to educational books, and low values to like comic, fantasy, and poetry genres. Users with a low degree of EXT tend to like fantasy and comic genres, along with science fiction and war books, whereas users with high values of that factor prefer scary and humor books. Drama and educational books are generally preferred by people with high degree of AGR, and war, crime, and comic books are liked by those with a low degree of this factor. Finally, people with a high degree of NEU prefer crime and poetry books, while those with a lower degree prefer educational, thriller, mystery, and non-fiction books.

Some differences between genders are worth mentioning. For instance, in the movie domain the adventure, animation, and parody genres present significant differences with respect to which personality factors have higher and lower scores depending on the gender. Hence, adventure movies are preferred by female users with high EXT and AGR, whereas low degrees of EXT and neutral of AGR are observed for male users who like the genre. For animation movies, the opposite situation is observed; female users with low degrees of CON, EXT, and AGR tend to like the genre, but male users with high scores of EXT and AGR and neutral of CON are predominant. Similarly, for the parody genre, female users tend to have low degrees of CON and EXT and high degree of NEU, which is completely the opposite situation for male users. In the TV show domain, music videos, reality shows, soap operas, standup comedies, and surviving and talk shows present interesting differences between female and male users. On the other hand, in the music domain there are less differences, although some distinctions could be observed for jazz, pop, r&b, and salsa genres, where male users show higher degrees of AGR and CON factors. Finally, these differences are more remarkable in **the book domain**, where crime, drama, fiction, humor, mystery, non-fiction, romance, and self-help genres show significant variability in the personality scores for each gender. For instance, male users with high degrees of CON tend to like humor, non-fiction, romance, and selfhelp books, whereas for female users this factor seems to be neutral.

### 4.2 Association Rules Relating User Personality Factors and Domain-specific Preferences

In the previous section, relations between personality types and user preferences were derived by analyzing personality-based user stereotypes, and considered individual personality factors. In order to find more complex relations that take various personality factors into account, we applied the well-known Apriori algorithm, which generates association rules based on co-occurrences of attribute values in a set of data patterns.

To apply the Apriori algorithm we processed our dataset with the Weka<sup>4</sup> machine learning toolkit as follows. We transformed the dataset into a set of data patterns, each of them with 5 attributes corresponding to the Big Five personality factors of a particular user, and a discrete class label corresponding to a domain genre liked by such user. Each of the attributes had discrete values associated to 10 ranges of personality factor scores based on the attribute's factor score distribution, and are automatically generated by the Apriori algorithm. Moreover, aiming to generate generic (non overfitted) association rules, for each domain genre, we applied the Apriori algorithm on the 20 patterns most similar (i.e., with smallest Euclidean distance) to the genre's personality-based user stereotype. We ran the Apriori algorithm with other numbers of similar patterns, but here we do not report the rules obtained with them. When using less than 20 similar patterns we obtained a very small number of generic rules relating wide factor score ranges, whereas for more than 20 similar patterns we obtained a very large number of specific rules relating narrow factor score ranges.

The Apriori algorithm derives two strength measures for each rule  $X \to Y$ : *confidence* and *support*. The *confidence* represents the conditional probability  $\Pr(Y|X)$  and is computed as  $(X \cup Y).count/X.count$ , and the *support* metric represents the probability  $\Pr(X \cup Y)$  and is computed as  $(X \cup Y).count/n$ , where n is the total number of patterns, and X.count is the number of patterns that contain the set of attribute values X.

Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the generated association rules with highest confidence values. The reported support values give an idea of the "coverage" of the rules, i.e., the percentage of patterns satisfied by the rules.

The rules generated from **movie** preferences relate the OPE and EXT personality factors with different genres, depending on other personality factors. If a user with high OPE and EXT factors has high AGR, then she is likely to prefer comedy movies, but if she has low NEU, she is likely to prefer horror movies. Horror movies are also preferred by people with more complex personalities, such as those with high OPE, EXT, and AGR but low NEU. Additionally, cult movies tend to be liked by people with moderate CON and low AGR.

Regarding **TV** show preferences, only high confidence rules for two genres were generated: news and reality shows. People with high OPE and EXT, and moderately high CON tend to like news, whereas reality shows are liked by people with different types of personality: either high CON and EXT, and low NEU; high CON, EXT and OPE; or low NEU, and high OPE and AGR.

In the **music** domain, we found that people with high scores of OPE and EXT but low scores of NEU tend to like country music, whereas people with moderately low EXT and low NEU tend to like metal music. Jazz is liked by people with high EXT and AGR, and high CON or low NEU, whereas reggae is preferred by people with high OPE and AGR, and salsa is preferred by people with high scores of CON and EXT.

Finally, regarding **book** preferences, the rules indicate that people with high OPE and CON tend to like education books, whereas if CON is lower and OPE and AGR are high, people prefer science fiction books.

\_

Weka machine learning toolkit, http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka

Taken into account the users' **gender**, it is worth noting that significant differences exist in the support values of the rules generated for female and male users. In the movie, TV show, and music domains, the support of the rules generated for male users was higher than that of the rules generated for female users, which may reflect a higher variability or complexity of the female personality-preference relations. In the movie domain, there was an interesting difference in the generation of rules for the animation genre, which is preferred by female users with low EXT and high NEU, and by male users with low CON and (much lower than female) NEU. In the TV **show** domain, news seemed to be preferred by female and male users sharing high OPE and CON and low NEU. In the music domain, the rules extracted for country music are very similar for female and male users (moderately high OPE, CON, and AGR). On the other hand, the rules for r&b music show differences: female users with NEU higher than male and high OPE, and male users with a moderately low CON and high EXT. Finally, in the book domain, no rules where generated for common genres, since the rules for female users were associated to crime, scary, and poetry books, and rules for male users were associated to humor books.

# 5 Relations between Personality Types and User Preferences in Crossed Domains

Table 6 shows the similarities between types of personality related to genres across the analyzed domains. In the table the colors of the cells correspond to relative values of the Euclidean distances between the personality-based user stereotypes associated to each pair of genres (maybe in distinct domains). Green cells correspond to high relative similarities (small distances), and red cells correspond to low relative similarities (large distances).

In the **movie domain** users who like action and comedy genres present very similar personality-based profiles. Similarly, people who like romance films show personality traits close to those who like comedy or drama. Users with tastes for cult movies show low similarity with other genres, in particular with those who like adventure movies. We can also observe that people who like foreign movies and people who like TV documentaries have similar personalities. Users that prefer tragic, independent or cult movies are in general dissimilar to people who like any kind of TV shows, especially to users that watch sports shows, a pattern that also appears in the music and book domains. Specifically, salsa and r&b are the farthest music genres, whereas educational, scary and thriller are the least similar book genres.

In the **TV** show domain there is little positive correlation between personality stereotypes of different genres. We can observe for instance differences between users who like sports shows and those who prefer animation and cartoons. We also notice that news, music videos, sitcoms and cooking shows are mostly independent of the user personality. With regard to the music domain there is a high similarity between documentaries and classical music, reality shows and dance music, and news and jazz. We also observe dissimilarity between people who like cartoons and those who enjoy hip hop, jazz or salsa. Regarding books, we see that comics and fantasy are the genres

with users with similar personalities who like animation TV shows. On the other hand, people who like cartoons have on average a dissimilar personality to those who prefer educational books, and users who prefer sports shows have low similarity with respect to book preferences in general, specially comic, fantasy, science fiction and poetry.

In the **music domain** we can see that stereotypical users who like indie and metal music are quite dissimilar to those who like country, hip hop, r&b and salsa music. Interestingly, people who enjoy country and dance music show similar personality traits.

Finally, in the **book domain** we see that the genres with the closest associated user personalities are thrillers and mystery, as opposed to educational and war, or science fiction and scary books. Relating domains, we observe that in general, comic, fantasy, science fiction, poetry and war books link to a few personality traits in common with most music genres. Moreover, people who enjoy humor, mystery and romance books, on the other hand, have associated personality-based stereotypes similar to most of the music genre personality-based stereotypes.

#### 6 Future Work

In this paper, we have preliminary analyzed the relations between user personality types and preferences. We have restricted our analysis to explicit preferences for a limited number of genres in the movie, TV, music, and book domains. However, as done by other authors [5], it would also be interesting to analyze those relations for particular items, such as representative or popular movies, TV shows, songs, and books. In our analysis we have focused on the users' gender as a characteristic that may influence the underlying personality-based preferences. In addition to or in combination with it, other user characteristics could be utilized. In this context, we consider interesting to explore the users' age and educational attainment. For instance, we may hypothesize that people with highest levels of education are more openminded, and have larger and more diverse sets of preferences. Moreover, we have focused on the relations of personality-based stereotypes with preferences for single domains and genres. We plan to extend our analysis by considering relations involving several domains and genres. For instance, we could analyze the number and diversity of user preferences for particular personality-based stereotypes.

In any case, we believe that, although preliminary, the obtained relations between personality types and user preferences can be very valuable to enhance personalization services, not only on single domains, but also in cross-domain scenarios where user preferences on a source domain are used to infer user preferences on a different target domain, which can be a useful approach in e-commerce systems, among others. Hence, for example, we could investigate if a fact like "people with personality type x enjoy items i and j" (i and j belonging to different domains), could be used to suggest i, j (and other related items) to a person from whom we only known that has personality type x, or could be used to suggest item j to a person from whom we only know that has a preference for i (of a different domain of j). This, together with the investigation of more exhaustive automatic processes to infer and model user personality-preference relations, represents the next steps of our research.

			All	users					Femal	e user	s				Male	users		
MOVIE GENRE	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users
action	3.87	3.45	3.57	3.58	2.72	2488	3.89	3.46	3.57	3.61	2.85	1361	3.85	3.44	3.57	3.56	2.57	1127
adventure	3.91	3.56	3.54	3.68	2.61	179	3.88	3.55	3.64	3.77	2.66	104	3.96	3.57	3.39	3.55	2.52	75
animation	4.04	3.22	3.26	3.35	3.02	85	4.02	3.14	3.10	3.22	3.28	56	4.09	3.39	3.55	3.59	2.52	29
cartoon	3.95	3.33	3.49	3.57	2.81	957	3.92	3.32	3.48	3.55	2.88	655	4.03	3.34	3.51	3.61	2.66	302
comedy	3.88	3.44	3.58	3.60	2.75	3969	3.87	3.48	3.61	3.62	2.86	2392	3.89	3.39	3.54	3.56	2.60	1577
cult	4.27	3.10	3.45	3.40	3.16	38	4.21	2.97	3.54	3.27	3.34	22	4.36	3.26	3.33	3.59	2.90	16
drama	3.99	3.43	3.66	3.60	2.86	905	3.96	3.44	3.65	3.63	2.89	668	4.07	3.41	3.67	3.51	2.78	237
foreign	4.15	3.46	3.47	3.54	2.81	112	4.20	3.52	3.53	3.54	2.81	90	3.95	3.22	3.22	3.53	2.84	22
horror	3.90	3.38	3.52	3.47	2.91	2284	3.89	3.38	3.53	3.47	2.98	1614	3.94	3.37	3.49	3.45	2.75	670
independent	4.31	3.59	3.51	3.55	2.69	104	4.27	3.56	3.49	3.53	2.79	65	4.36	3.65	3.56	3.60	2.52	39
neo-noir	4.34	3.35	3.33	3.37	2.97	92	4.39	3.41	3.40	3.39	3.07	46	4.28	3.28	3.25	3.35	2.87	46
parody	4.13	3.36	3.35	3.28	2.73	25	4.18	3.08	2.84	3.22	3.07	10	4.10	3.56	3.68	3.33	2.50	15
romance	3.84	3.48	3.62	3.62	2.85	776	3.83	3.48	3.63	3.62	2.93	610	3.88	3.50	3.59	3.63	2.59	166
science fiction	3.99	3.55	3.33	3.57	2.73	215	3.95	3.59	3.36	3.58	2.84	96	4.02	3.51	3.30	3.57	2.63	119
tragedy	4.40	3.34	3.27	3.52	3.11	26	4.31	3.45	3.37	3.44	3.09	18	4.60	3.07	3.05	3.69	3.15	8
war	3.82	3.51	3.49	3.50	2.71	148	3.99	3.57	3.62	3.56	2.94	44	3.75	3.49	3.44	3.47	2.62	104
	4.05	3.41	3.46	3.51	2.84		4.05	3.40	3.46	3.50	2.95		4.07	3.40	3.45	3.54	2.69	

			All	users					Femal	le user	s				Male	users		
TV GENRE	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users
animation	4.03	3.23	3.25	3.48	2.83	166	4.07	3.22	3.25	3.51	2.96	108	3.96	3.23	3.24	3.43	2.58	58
cartoon	3.77	3.21	3.22	3.48	3.03	64	3.84	3.18	3.27	3.47	3.10	39	3.65	3.26	3.13	3.51	2.91	25
cooking show	3.71	3.54	3.54	3.51	2.76	134	3.68	3.56	3.53	3.53	2.80	108	3.83	3.45	3.59	3.46	2.60	26
documentary	4.12	3.45	3.37	3.53	2.85	722	4.14	3.45	3.41	3.57	2.88	466	4.09	3.46	3.30	3.45	2.79	256
game/quiz show	3.58	3.45	3.30	3.68	2.90	72	3.53	3.55	3.32	3.62	3.09	44	3.65	3.29	3.27	3.78	2.61	28
lgbt show	4.28	3.28	3.54	3.51	2.95	62	4.24	3.31	3.44	3.42	2.98	39	4.35	3.21	3.72	3.66	2.90	23
music video	3.98	3.32	3.56	3.55	3.02	163	3.95	3.30	3.50	3.60	3.13	123	4.09	3.38	3.76	3.39	2.67	40
news	3.97	3.58	3.58	3.54	2.74	676	3.96	3.59	3.65	3.58	2.82	374	3.98	3.56	3.49	3.50	2.63	302
prank show	4.08	3.41	3.51	3.33	2.65	65	4.13	3.40	3.63	3.36	2.78	31	4.04	3.43	3.41	3.31	2.54	34
reality show	3.76	3.56	3.61	3.58	2.75	808	3.73	3.55	3.63	3.58	2.78	623	3.86	3.61	3.56	3.55	2.64	185
sitcom	3.93	3.37	3.22	3.44	2.78	53	3.82	3.26	3.26	3.50	2.98	29	4.06	3.49	3.16	3.36	2.53	24
soap opera	3.57	3.46	3.56	3.42	3.01	151	3.56	3.45	3.51	3.40	3.05	135	3.66	3.52	3.96	3.59	2.67	16
sports show	3.67	3.58	3.67	3.64	2.52	119	3.68	3.73	3.62	3.72	2.74	37	3.66	3.52	3.70	3.60	2.42	82
standup comedy	4.10	3.36	3.53	3.49	2.85	339	4.07	3.43	3.59	3.42	3.06	156	4.12	3.29	3.48	3.55	2.67	183
surviving show	4.11	3.62	3.48	3.26	2.66	43	4.06	3.89	3.66	3.26	2.75	16	4.14	3.46	3.37	3.26	2.60	27
talk show	3.81	3.58	3.58	3.68	2.67	61	3.79	3.71	3.67	3.62	2.50	38	3.86	3.38	3.43	3.77	2.95	23
•	3.90	3.44	3.47	3.51	2.81		3.89	3.47	3.50	3.51	2.90		3.94	3.41	3.47	3.51	2.67	

			All	users					Femal	le user	s				Mal	e users	s	
MUSIC GENRE	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users
blues	4.08	3.48	3.55	3.57	2.71	1054	4.05	3.51	3.57	3.64	2.81	501	4.10	3.46	3.53	3.52	2.62	553
classical	4.10	3.50	3.39	3.54	2.77	2235	4.10	3.55	3.40	3.57	2.87	1231	4.11	3.44	3.37	3.50	2.66	1004
country	3.79	3.55	3.64	3.65	2.78	7475	3.79	3.54	3.65	3.67	2.86	5370	3.79	3.55	3.62	3.58	2.56	2105
dance	3.79	3.50	3.66	3.60	2.79	456	3.74	3.51	3.64	3.61	2.95	275	3.86	3.50	3.69	3.58	2.54	181
hip hop	3.82	3.49	3.75	3.52	2.66	2510	3.81	3.50	3.78	3.52	2.77	1513	3.82	3.46	3.71	3.53	2.49	997
indie	4.06	3.26	3.51	3.49	2.98	476	4.05	3.22	3.45	3.50	3.12	309	4.09	3.35	3.63	3.47	2.73	167
jazz	4.03	3.55	3.61	3.60	2.64	1735	4.02	3.61	3.65	3.63	2.73	944	4.05	3.48	3.57	3.58	2.53	791
metal	3.97	3.30	3.37	3.34	2.87	1273	4.03	3.34	3.35	3.40	3.05	474	3.93	3.27	3.38	3.30	2.76	799
oldies	3.86	3.51	3.57	3.65	2.75	932	3.83	3.53	3.59	3.67	2.81	693	3.93	3.45	3.51	3.59	2.58	239
pop	3.79	3.41	3.55	3.55	2.77	412	3.80	3.39	3.56	3.54	2.86	285	3.79	3.45	3.53	3.58	2.57	127
r&b	3.69	3.54	3.63	3.57	2.71	1367	3.66	3.55	3.63	3.56	2.78	974	3.75	3.54	3.64	3.58	2.52	393
rap	3.74	3.33	3.69	3.43	2.67	323	3.73	3.36	3.66	3.45	2.88	163	3.75	3.30	3.72	3.41	2.45	160
reggae	4.00	3.43	3.66	3.53	2.67	2802	3.98	3.46	3.68	3.52	2.77	1701	4.02	3.37	3.63	3.56	2.53	1101
rock	3.93	3.37	3.48	3.51	2.83	6196	3.95	3.38	3.46	3.50	2.99	3429	3.91	3.35	3.51	3.52	2.64	2767
salsa	3.94	3.55	3.80	3.55	2.62	467	3.93	3.53	3.82	3.56	2.69	334	3.98	3.58	3.77	3.53	2.45	133
techno	4.00	3.32	3.51	3.50	2.81	2481	4.01	3.31	3.51	3.49	2.97	1249	3.99	3.33	3.51	3.51	2.64	1232
	3.91	3.44	3.59	3.54	2.75		3.91	3.46	3.59	3.55	2.87		3.93	3.43	3.58	3.52	2.58	

			All	users					Femal	le user	s	•			Mal	e users	5	
BOOK GENRE	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users	OPE	CON	EXT	AGR	NEU	#users
comic	4.06	3.28	3.38	3.47	2.86	1107	4.05	3.24	3.36	3.49	2.98	540	4.08	3.31	3.40	3.45	2.73	567
crime	3.83	3.44	3.43	3.47	2.99	191	3.82	3.46	3.38	3.47	3.06	146	3.88	3.39	3.59	3.46	2.76	45
drama	3.81	3.36	3.53	3.67	2.84	66	3.83	3.38	3.55	3.72	2.95	52	3.75	3.29	3.43	3.51	2.44	14
educational	4.02	3.66	3.57	3.66	2.74	977	3.97	3.71	3.59	3.68	2.82	656	4.12	3.55	3.53	3.62	2.57	321
fantasy	4.04	3.34	3.27	3.54	2.87	994	4.03	3.34	3.29	3.56	2.97	624	4.05	3.35	3.23	3.51	2.70	370
fiction	4.00	3.41	3.42	3.55	2.82	339	3.97	3.43	3.45	3.53	2.90	214	4.04	3.36	3.37	3.60	2.67	125
humor	3.90	3.40	3.62	3.56	2.78	743	3.88	3.36	3.61	3.56	2.94	470	3.93	3.47	3.64	3.56	2.51	273
mystery	3.91	3.53	3.51	3.61	2.76	302	3.93	3.58	3.53	3.63	2.77	219	3.83	3.39	3.43	3.55	2.71	83
non fiction	4.01	3.51	3.43	3.62	2.76	319	4.02	3.51	3.49	3.65	2.87	205	4.00	3.52	3.31	3.57	2.58	114
poetry	4.16	3.34	3.38	3.54	2.94	160	4.11	3.35	3.41	3.59	2.98	108	4.25	3.32	3.33	3.45	2.86	52
romance	3.89	3.52	3.49	3.60	2.85	1132	3.88	3.52	3.49	3.61	2.86	987	3.99	3.52	3.47	3.60	2.80	145
scary	3.81	3.41	3.68	3.55	2.83	1084	3.83	3.43	3.68	3.54	2.89	822	3.75	3.36	3.67	3.55	2.61	262
science fiction	4.13	3.42	3.25	3.51	2.81	1191	4.15	3.44	3.25	3.52	2.95	552	4.12	3.40	3.25	3.50	2.68	639
self help	4.03	3.50	3.42	3.62	2.83	196	4.05	3.49	3.45	3.71	2.98	129	3.98	3.53	3.35	3.45	2.55	67
thriller	3.85	3.54	3.51	3.59	2.76	639	3.86	3.55	3.53	3.61	2.87	410	3.84	3.50	3.47	3.55	2.56	229
war	3.87	3.44	3.33	3.23	2.80	108	4.14	3.45	3.41	3.29	3.05	15	3.83	3.44	3.32	3.22	2.76	93
·	3.96	3.44	3.45	3.55	2.83		3.97	3.45	3.47	3.57	2.93		3.97	3.42	3.42	3.51	2.66	

 Table 1. Personality-based user stereotypes in individual domain genres.

MOVIES	Rule	Confidence	Support
	$con \in (3, 3.25] \land agr \in [2.55, 2.87] \rightarrow cult$	67 %	1.87 %
	$ope \in (3.6, 3.80] \land ext \in (3.35, 3.62] \land agr \in (3.52, 3.85] \rightarrow comedy$	67 %	1.87 %
	$ope \in (3.8, 4] \land con \in (3.25, 3.5] \land agr \in (3.2, 3.52] \land neu \in (2.85, 3.17] \rightarrow horror$	67 %	1.87 %
	$ope \in (4.88, 5] \rightarrow tragedy$	63 %	2.50 %
All users (support $\leq 20.30 \%$ )	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(4.4, 4.6] \land ext$ ∈ $(3.62, 3.89] \rightarrow foreign$	63 %	2.50 %
(support \(\triangle 20.50 \(\triangle 0\)	ope ∈ $(3.6, 3.8] \land ext$ ∈ $(3.62, 3.89] \land agr$ ∈ $(3.2, 3.52] \rightarrow horror$	57 %	2.19 %
	$ope \in (3.6, 3.8] \land ext \in (3.62, 3.89] \land agr \in (3.20, 3.52] \land neu \in (2.53, 2.85] \rightarrow horror$	57 %	2.19 %
	ope ∈ (3.6, 3.8] $\land$ agr ∈ (3.2, 3.52] $\land$ neu ∈ (2.53, 2.85] $\rightarrow$ horror	50 %	2.50 %
	<i>ope</i> ∈ (3.8, 4] $\land$ <i>ext</i> ∈ (3.62, 3.89] $\land$ <i>agr</i> ∈ (3.52, 3.85] $\rightarrow$ comedy	50 %	2.81 %
	$ext \in (2.37, 2.75] \land neu \in (3.7, 4.02] \rightarrow animation$	67 %	1.95 %
Female users (support ≤ 6.82 %)	$ope \in (3.8, 4.1] \land ext \in (3.5, 3.87] \land neu \in (2.4, 2.72] \rightarrow cartoon$	57 %	2.27 %
(support = 0.02 70)	$con \in (3.67, 3.98] \land agr \in (3.3, 3.6] \land neu \in (2.72, 3.05] \rightarrow romance$	50 %	2.60 %
	$ext \in (4.2, 4.6] \land neu \in (1.79, 2.1] \rightarrow independent$	100 %	1.34 %
	$ope \in (4.6, 4.8] \land con \in (3.25, 3.6] \rightarrow neo-noir$	100 %	1.00 %
	$con \in (3.25, 3.6] \land neu \in (1.47, 1.79] \rightarrow animation$	100 %	1.00 %
	$ope \in (4.2, 4.4] \land con(3.25, 3.6] \land ext \in (3.8, 4.2] \rightarrow animation$	100 %	1.00 %
	$ope \in (4.2, 4.4] \land con(3.6, 3.95] \land neu \in (2.74, 3.058] \rightarrow drama$	80 %	1.67 %
	$con \in (1.85, 2.2] \rightarrow \text{cult}$	75 %	1.34 %
Male users (support ≤ 81.61 %)	$ope \in (3.4, 3.6] \land ext \in (3.8, 4.2] \rightarrow war$	75 %	1.34 %
(support = 01.01 /0)	$con \in (4.65, 5] \land agr \in (3.7, 4.05] \rightarrow independent$	75 %	1.34 %
	$ext \in (3, 3.4] \land neu \in (2.10, 2.42] \rightarrow adventure$	75 %	1.34 %
	$ope \in (3.8, 4] \land ext \in (3, 3.4] \land neu \in (2.42, 2.74] \rightarrow comedy$	75 %	1.34 %
	$ope \in (4.2, 4.4] \land agr \in (3.35, 3.7] \land neu \in (1.786, 2.104] \rightarrow adventure$	75 %	1.34 %
	$con \in (3.6, 3.9] \land ext \in (3, 3.4] \land neu \in (2.74, 3.06] \rightarrow drama$	75 %	1.34 %
	$ope \in (3.6, 3.8] \land ext \in (3.4, 3.8] \land agr \in (3.7, 4.05] \land neu \in (2.42, 2.74] \rightarrow action$	75 %	1.34 %

 Table 2. Association rules relating user personality factors and movie preferences.

TV	Rule	Confidence	Support
	$ope \in (3.65, 3.87] \land con \in (3.18, 3.4] \land ext \in (3.47, 3.73] \rightarrow news$	100 %	1.25 %
All users	$con \in (3.62, 3.84] \land ext \in (3.47, 3.73] \land neu \in (2.65, 2.87] \rightarrow reality show$	100 %	1.25 %
(support ≤ 5.93 %)	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(3.65, 3.87] \land agr \in (3.69, 3.87] \land neu \in (2.65, 2.87] \rightarrow reality show$	80 %	1.56 %
	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(3.65, 3.87] \land con$ ∈ $(3.62, 3.84] \land ext$ ∈ $(3.47, 3.73] \rightarrow reality show$	67 %	1.87 %
Female users	$ope \in (4, 4.25] \land con \in (3.55, 3.84] \land neu \in (2.66, 3.00] \rightarrow news$	100 %	1.27 %
(support $\leq 3.48 \%$ )	$con \in (4.13, 4.42] \rightarrow talk show$	57 %	2.21 %
	$con \in (3.78, 4.08] \land agr \in (3.2, 3.5] \land neu \in (2.08, 2.43] \rightarrow sports$	100 %	1.27 %
	$ope \in (4.33, 4.67] \land con \in (2.86, 3.17] \rightarrow lgbt show$	57 %	2.22 %
	$ope \in (4.67, 5] \land agr \in (2.9, 3.2] \rightarrow surviving show$	57 %	2.22 %
Male users (support $\leq 14.58 \%$ )	ope ∈ $(3.67, 4] \land ext \in (3.47, 3.78] \land neu \in (2.77, 3.12] \rightarrow news$	57 %	2.22 %
(Support 2 14.56 /0)	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(4.33, 4.67] \land ext$ ∈ $(3.47, 3.78] \land neu$ ∈ $(2.43, 2.77] \rightarrow standup comedy$	57 %	2.22 %
	$agr \in (3.2, 3.5] \land neu \in (2.08, 2.43] \rightarrow sports$	50 %	1.90 %
	$ope \in (4, 4.33] \land neu \in (3.12, 3.46] \rightarrow music video$	50 %	2.53 %

**Table 3.** Association rules relating user personality factors and TV preferences.

MUSIC	Rule	Confidence	Support
	$con \in (3.64, 3.76] \land ext \in (3.635, 3.774] \land agr \in (3.598, 3.731] \rightarrow jazz$	80 %	1.56 %
	$ope \in (3.75, 3.875] \land agr \in (3.465, 3.598] \rightarrow reggae$	67 %	1.87 %
	$con \in (3.64, 3.76] \land ext \in (3.913, 4.052] \rightarrow salsa$	67 %	1.87 %
All users (support $\leq 14.35\%$ )	$ope \in (3.625, 3.75] \land con \in (3.4, 3.52] \land ext \in (3.496, 3.635] \rightarrow country$	67 %	1.87 %
(support = 14.55 %)	$ext \in (3.635, 3.774] \land agr \in (3.598, 3.731] \land neu \in (2.49, 2.61] \rightarrow jazz$	67 %	1.87 %
	$ext \in (3.218, 3.357] \land neu \in (2.97, 3.09] \rightarrow metal$	57 %	2.19 %
	ope ∈ $(3.625, 3.75] \land ext ∈ (3.496, 3.635] \land neu ∈ (2.49, 2.61] \rightarrow country$	50 %	3.12 %
	$ope \in (4.25, 4.375] \land con \in (3.42, 3.57] \rightarrow classic$	80 %	1.56 %
Female users	$ope \in (3.625, 3.75] \land con \in (3.42, 3.57] \land ext \in (3.4, 3.55] \rightarrow country$	80 %	1.56 %
(support ≤ 7.81 %)	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(3.625, 3.75] \land con$ ∈ $(3.42, 3.57] \land agr$ ∈ $(3.652, 3.789] \rightarrow$ country	57 %	2.19 %
	$ope \in (3.375, 3.5] \land neu \in (2.91, 3.058] \rightarrow r\&b$	50 %	2.50 %
	$agr \in [1, 2.915] \rightarrow rap$	80 %	1.56 %
	$ope \in (3.35, 3.525] \land con \in (2.9, 3.05] \rightarrow pop$	80 %	1.56 %
Male users	$ope \in (3.7, 3.875] \land con \in (3.35, 3.5] \land agr \in (3.41, 3.575] \rightarrow country$	80 %	1.56 %
(support ≤ 12.49 %)	$con \in (3.2, 3.35] \land ext \in (3.47, 3.625] \land neu \in (2.618, 2.776] \rightarrow r\&b$	80 %	1.56 %
	$con \in (2.9, 3.05] \land agr \in (2.915, 3.08] \rightarrow metal$	67 %	1.87 %
	$ope \in (3.875, 4.05] \land agr \in (2.915, 3.08] \rightarrow metal$	57 %	2.19 %
	$con \in (3.35, 3.5] \land agr \in (3.41, 3.575] \land neu \in (2.144, 2.302] \rightarrow country$	57 %	2.19 %

**Table 4**. Association rules relating user personality factors and music preferences.

BOOKS	Rule	Confidence	Support
	$ope \in (4.09, 4.28] \land con \in (3.9, 4.07] \rightarrow education$	67 %	1.87 %
All users	$ope \in (3.91, 4.09] \land con \in (3.375, 3.55] \land agr \in (3.5, 3.65] \rightarrow $ science fiction	67 %	1.87 %
(support $\leq 8.74 \%$ )	$ope \in (1, 3.37] \rightarrow drama$	57 %	2.19 %
	$ope \in (3.91, 4.09] \land agr \in (3.5, 3.65] \rightarrow science fiction$	56 %	2.81 %
	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(3.4, 3.6] \land agr \in (3.95, 4.3] \rightarrow crime$	80 %	1.59 %
Female users	<i>ope</i> ∈ $(3.8, 4] \land ext$ ∈ $(3.62, 3.9] \land neu$ ∈ $(3, 3.4] \rightarrow scary$	63 %	2.54 %
(support $\leq 9.53\%$ )	$ext \in (2.52, 2.8] \rightarrow poetry$	56 %	2.86 %
	$ope \in (4.6, 4.8] \rightarrow poetry$	50 %	2.54 %
	$ope \in (3.52, 3.89] \land agr \in (3.25, 3.55] \land neu \in (2.04, 2.32] \rightarrow humor$	80 %	1.57 %
Male users (support $\leq 7.21\%$ )	$ext \in (3.86, 4.16] \land neu \in (2.04, 2.32] \rightarrow \text{humor}$	57 %	2.19 %
(support ≤ 7.21 %)	$ope \in (3.52, 3.89] \land neu \in (2.04, 2.32] \rightarrow humor$	55 %	3.45 %

**Table 5**. Association rules relating user personality factors and book preferences.

MOVIES/MOVIES	action	adventure	animation	cartoon	comedy	cult	drama	foreign	horror	independent	neo-noir	parody	romance	science fiction	tragedy	war		MOVIES/TV	animation	cartoon	cooking show	documentary	game/quiz show	glbt show	music video	news	prank show	reality show	sitcom	soap opera	sports show	standup comedy	surviving show	talk show
action																		action											_		_	4	4	
adventure																		adventure												_		_		
animation																		animation											_	_		_		
cartoon																		cartoon																
comedy cult																		comedy cult																
drama																		drama														_		
foreign																		foreign																_
horror																		horror																
independent																		independent														7		
neo-noir																		neo-noir														T		
parody																		parody																
romance																		romance																
science fiction																		science fiction																
tragedy																		tragedy																
war																		war																
MOVIES/MUSIC	blues	classical	country	dance	hip hop	indie	jazz	metal	oldies	dod	r&b	rap	reggae	rock	salsa	techno		MOVIES/BOOKS	comic	crime	drama	educational	fantasy	fiction	humor	mystery	non fiction	romance	scary	science fiction	self help	thriller	poetry	war
action																		action																
adventure																		adventure																
animation																		animation																
cartoon																		cartoon																
comedy																		comedy																
cult																		cult																
drama																		drama																
foreign																		foreign																
horror																		horror																
independent																		independent														_		
neo-noir																		neo-noir												_		4		
parody																		parody																
romance	-																	romance				-										4		_
science fiction																		science fiction tragedy																
tragedy war																		war												-				_
wai																	ı	wai																
TV/TV	animation	cartoon	cooking show	documentary	game/quiz show	glbt show	music video	news	prank show	reality show	sitcom	soap opera	sports show	standup comedy	surviving show	talk show		TV/MUSIC	blues	classical	country	dance	hip hop	indie	jazz	metal	oldies	dod	r&b	rap	reggae	rock	salsa	techno
animation																		animation																
cartoon																		cartoon																
cooking show																		cooking show																
documentary																		documentary																
game/quiz show																_		game/quiz show														_		
glbt show																		glbt show													_	_		
music video																		music video																
news																		news																
prank show																		prank show															4	
reality show sitcom																		reality show sitcom														-		
soap opera																		soap opera																
sports show																		sports show																
standup comedy																		standup comedy																
surviving show																	ĺ	surviving show																
talk show																	ĺ	talk show														T		
				_	_									_			•			_														

TV/BOOKS	comic	crime	drama	educational	fantasy	fiction	humor	mystery	non fiction	romance	scary	science fiction	self help	thriller	poetry	war	MUSIC/MUSIC	blues	classical	country	dance	hip hop	indie	jazz	metal	oldies	dod	r&b	rap	reggae	rock	salsa	techno
animation																	blues																
cartoon						Ш											classical																
cooking show						Ш											country														Ш		
documentary																	dance																
game/quiz show																	hip hop																
glbt show																	indie																
music video																	jazz																
news																	metal																
prank show																	oldies																
reality show																	pop																
sitcom																	r&b																
soap opera																	rap																
sports show																	reggae																
standup comedy																	rock																
surviving show																	salsa																
talk show																	techno																
MUSIC/BOOKS	comic	crime	drama	educational	fantasy	fiction	humor	mystery	non fiction	romance	scary	science fiction	self help	thriller	poetry		BOOKS/BOOKS	iic	ne	drama	educational	fantasy	ion	humor	mystery	non fiction	romance	scary	science fiction	self help	thriller	poetry	war
blues								$\vdash$	ĭ	1.0	sc	sci	sel	thr	poe	war		comic	crir	dra	edı	far	fict	h	пy	no	<u>[0</u>	S	S				
								I	n	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	poe	war	comic	con	crime	dra	edı	far	fiction	huı	my	noı	ro	SC	s				
classical								I	n	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	poe	war	comic	con	crir	dra	edı	far	fict	ınq	my	iou	ro	SC	S				
classical country								L	nc	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	boe	war		con	crir	dra	edu	far	fict	ınq	my	iou	rol	SC	S				
								ı	ne	rc	sc	sci	les	thri	e poe	war	crime	con	crir	dra	npa edr	far	fict	hu	my	lou	roi	SC	S				
country								r	n	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	e de la constant de l	war	crime drama	con	crir	dra	npa edn	far	fict	hu	m	ou	roi	SC	S				
country dance									n	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	e de la constant de l	war	crime drama educational	com	crir	dra	npa edu	far	fict	hu	m	iou	roi	SC	S				
country dance hip hop									n	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	e de la constant de l	war	crime drama educational fantasy	con	crir	dra	npa equ	far	fict	hu	m	iou	roi	98	s				
country dance hip hop indie									n	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	эод	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction	con	crir	dra	npa equ	far	fict	hu	m	iou	rol	38	s				
country dance hip hop indie jazz									ou le	TC	os estados est	sci	sel	thri	od loo	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor	con	crir	dra	npa equ	far	fict	hul	m	ou	roi	38	s				
country dance hip hop indie jazz metal									n no	IC	SC	sci	sel	thri	od bod	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor mystery	con	crir	dra	edt	far	fict	hul	m	iou	IOI	38	s				
country dance hip hop indie jazz metal oldies									no	rc	sc	sci	sel	thri	ood boot	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor mystery non fiction	con	crir	dra	edu	far	fict	ını	h	lou	roi	38	S				
country dance hip hop indie jazz metal oldies pop									и	ıc	sc	sci	sel	thri	od bod	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor mystery non fiction romance	con	crir	dra	edu	far	fict	huı	h	lou	rol	38	s				
country dance hip hop indie jazz metal oldies pop r&b									п	ıc	sc	sci	sel	thri	ood book book book book book book book b	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor mystery non fiction romance scary	con	crir	dra dra	edu	far	fict	hui	h	lou	rol	98	s				
country dance hip hop indie jazz metal oldies pop ræb									ou la	D.I.	Sc	sci	les	thri	od bo	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor mystery non fiction romance scary science fiction	соп	crir	dra	apa eqr	far	fict	hui	h	iou	OJ	36	S				
country dance hip hop indie jazz metal oldies pop r&b rap									DU	J. L. C. L.	SC	sci	sel	thri	ood Door Door Door Door Door Door Door D	war	crime drama educational fantasy fiction humor mystery non fiction romance scary science fiction self help	com	crir	dra	edt	far	fict	hui	h	iou	O	98	S				

**Table 6**. Similarities between personality-based user stereotypes for genres in different domains.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Government (TIN2011-28538-C02) and the Regional Government of Madrid (S2009TIC-1542). The authors sincerely thank the members of myPersonality project for their kind attention and help on downloading and processing the provided data.

### References

- 1. Adomavicius, G., Tuzhilin, A.: Context-Aware Recommender Systems. In: Ricci, F., Rokach, L., Shapira, B., Kantor, P. B. (Eds.), *Recommender Systems Handbook*, pp. 217-253 (2011)
- 2. Bachrach, Y., Kohli, P., Graepel, T., Stillwell, D. J., Kosinski, M.: Personality and Patterns of Facebook Usage. In: *Proceedings of the 4th Web Science Conference (WebSci'12)*, pp. 24-32 (2012)

- 3. Brusilovsky, P., Kobsa, A., Nejdl, W.: *The Adaptive Web, Methods and Strategies of Web Personalization*. Springer Verlag (2007)
- 4. Cattell, R. B., Marshall, M. B., Georgiades, S.: Personality and Motivation: Structure and Measurement. *Journal of Personality Disorders* 19(1), pp. 53-67 (1957)
- 5. Chausson, O.: Who Watches What? Assessing the Impact of Gender and Personality on Film Preferences. *myPersonality project*, University of Cambridge (2010)
- Costa, P. T., McCrae, R. R.: Revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) and NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) Manual. *Psychological Assessment Resources* (1992)
- 7. Digman, J. M.: Five Robust Trait Dimensions: Development, Stability, and Utility. *Journal of Personality* 57 (2), 195-214 (1989)
- 8. Ekman, P., Davidson, R. J.: *The Nature of Emotions: Fundamental Questions*. Oxford University Press (1994)
- 9. George, J. M.: Trait and State Affect. In: Murphy, K. R. (Ed.), *Individual Differences and Behavior in Organization*, pp. 145-171 (1996)
- 10. Goldberg, L. R.: The Structure of Phenotypic Personality Traits. *American Psychologist* 48(1), pp. 26-34 (1993)
- 11. Grucza, R. A., Goldberg, L. R.: The Comparative Validity of 11 Modern Personality Inventories: Predictions of Behavioral Acts, Informant Reports, and Clinical Indicators. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 89(2), pp. 167-187 (2007)
- 12. Hu, R., Pu, P.: A Study on User Perception of Personality-Based Recommender Systems. In: *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation, and Personalization (UMAP'10)*, pp. 291-302 (2010)
- Hu, R., Pu, P.: Enhancing Collaborative Filtering Systems with Personality Information.
   In: Proceedings of the 5th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys'11), pp. 197-204 (2011)
- Kosinski, M., Stillwell, D. J.: myPersonality Research. myPersonality project, University of Cambridge, http://mypersonality.org (2011)
- McCrae, R. R., Costa, P. T.: Validation of the Five-factor Model of Personality across Instruments and Observers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 52(1), pp. 81-90 (1987)
- Nunes, M. A. S. N.: Recommender Systems based on Personality Traits: Could Human Psychological Aspects Influence the Computer Decision-making Process? VDM Verlag (2009)
- 17. Nunes, M. A. S. N., Hu, R.: Personality-based Recommender Systems: An Overview. In: *Proc. of the 6th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys'12)*, pp. 5-6 (2012)
- 18. O'Connor, B.: A Quantitative Review of the Comprehensiveness of the Five-Factor Model in Relation to Popular Personality Inventories. *Assessment* 9(2), pp. 188-203 (2002)
- Rentfrow, P.J. and Gosling, S. D.: The Do Re Mi's of Everyday Life: The Structure and Personality Correlates of Music Preferences. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 84(6), pp. 1236-1256 (2003)
- Roshchina, A.: TWIN: Personality-based Recommender System. MSc thesis, Institute of Technology Tallaght, Ireland (2012)
- 21. Schacter, D. L., Gilbert, D. T., Wegner, D. M.: Psychology. Worth Publishers (2010)
- 22. Tkalčič, M., Kunaver, M., Tasič, J., Košir, A.: Personality Based User Similarity Measure for a Collaborative Recommender System. In: *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Emotion in Human-Computer Interaction Real World Challenges*, pp. 30-37 (2009)
- Tupes, E. C., Christal, R. E.: Recurrent Personality Factors Based on Trait Ratings. Technical Report ASD-TR-61-97, Personnel Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command, Lackland Air Force Base, TX, USA (1961)